

State of Washington
Recommendation Summary

Agency: 540 Employment Security Department

2:00:11PM

10/30/2014

Dollars in Thousands

	FY2 FTEs	General Fund State	Other Funds	Total Funds
2013-15 Current Biennium Total				
Total Carry Forward Level				
Percent Change from Current Biennium				
Carry Forward plus Workload Changes				
Percent Change from Current Biennium				
M2 9F Federal Funding Adjustment	(600.0)		(73,929)	(73,929)
Total Maintenance Level	(600.0)		(73,929)	(73,929)
Percent Change from Current Biennium				
Subtotal - Performance Level Changes	0.0			
2013-15 Total Proposed Budget	(600.0)		(73,929)	(73,929)
Percent Change from Current Biennium				

M2 9F Federal Funding Adjustment

The Employment Security Department (ESD) has significantly more appropriation authority in General Fund - Federal, Appropriation 020 and the Unemployment Compensation Account, Appropriation 080 than current estimates of federal revenue. The department also has a significant number of unfunded FTEs. ESD requests a technical adjustment to reflect expected revenues and FTEs.

**State of Washington
Decision Package**

Agency: 540 **Employment Security Department**
Decision Package Code/Title: 9F **Federal Funds Adjustment**

Budget Period: 2013-2015

Budget Level: M2 – Inflation and Other Rate Changes

Recommendation Summary Text:

The Employment Security Department (ESD) has significantly more appropriation authority in General Fund – Federal, Appropriation 020 and the Unemployment Compensation Account, Appropriation 080 than current estimates of federal revenue. The department also has a significant number of unfunded FTEs. ESD requests a technical adjustment to reflect expected revenues and FTEs.

Agency Total

<u>Fiscal Detail</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>Total</u>
Operating Expenditures			
001-2 General Fund-Federal	\$0	(\$52,296,000)	(\$52,296,000)
119-2 Unemployment Compensation Account	\$0	(\$21,633,000)	(\$21,633,000)
Total Operating Expenditures	\$0	(\$73,929,000)	(\$73,929,000)
	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>Annual</u>
Staffing			<u>Average</u>
FTEs	0.0	(600.0)	(300.0)

Package Description

What is the problem or opportunity the agency wants to address?

The department's expenditure authority is overstated for the 2013-2015 Biennial Operating Budget in General Fund-Federal (001-2) and Unemployment Compensation Account (119-2).

What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?

The department requests the appropriation authority level in General Fund-Federal (001-2) and Unemployment Insurance Administration (119-2) reduced to represent current revenue estimates. This is a technical correction to the expenditure authority for the department.

Exactly how does the agency want to address this problem or opportunity?

To bring the appropriation authority in line with revenue estimates, the department recommends adjustments to Fund 001-2 and Fund 119-2.

What will the package funding actually buy?

N/A

Department Contact: Trent Howard (360) 902-9425

Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

What desired results will be achieved?

N/A

What undesired results will be reduced?

N/A

Will efficiency increase and if so, how?

N/A

Will outputs change and if so, how?

N/A

What is the expected impact on clients, services provided, citizens or other agencies or governments?

None

Performance Measure Detail

Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan? If so, please describe.

N/A

Does this decision package provide essential support to one or more of the Governor's Results Washington priorities?

N/A

Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process? If so, please describe.

N/A

What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?

None

What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?

What are the consequences of adopting or not adopting this package?

The department's appropriation authority would be overstated.

What is the relationship, if any, to the state capital budget?

None

What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?

None

Expenditure Revenue Calculations and assumption:

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>Total</u>
A-Salaries & Wages	\$0	(\$37,603,000)	(\$37,603,000)
B-Employee Benefits	\$0	(\$10,474,000)	(\$10,474,000)
C-Professional Service Contracts	\$0	(\$225,000)	(\$225,000)
E-Goods and Other Services	\$0	(\$15,598,000)	(\$15,598,000)
G-Travel	\$0	(\$252,000)	(\$252,000)
J-Capital Outlays	\$0	(\$188,000)	(\$188,000)
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services	\$0	(\$9,589,000)	(\$9,589,000)
Total	\$0	(\$73,929,000)	(\$73,929,000)

What costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?

➤ *Budget impacts in future biennia*

N/A

➤ *One-time versus ongoing functions and costs*

➤ *N/A*