#### 2022 PROJECT PROPOSAL CHECKLIST 2023-25 Biennium Four-year Higher Education Scoring Process

INSTITUTION	CAMPUS LOCATION
365 - Washington State University	Pullman, WA
PROJECT TITLE	OFM/CBS Project #
Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS	40000346
PROJECT CATEGORY	FPMT UNIQUE FACILITY ID # (OR NA)
Infrastructure	NA (multiple facilities)
PROP	OSAL IS
New or Updated Proposal (for scoring)	Resubmitted Proposal (retain prior score)
⊠ New proposal	□ Resubmittal from 2018 (2019-21 biennium)
□ Resubmittal to be scored (more than 2 biennia old or significantly changed)	□ Resubmittal from 2020 (2021-23 biennium)
CONTACT	PHONE NUMBER

Proposal content

- Project Proposal Checklist: this form; one for each proposal
- Project Proposal Form: Specific to category/subcategory (10-page limit)
- Appendices: templates, forms, exhibits and supporting/supplemental documentation for scoring.

#### Institutional priority

Institutional Priority Form. Sent separately (not in this packet).

Check the corresponding boxes below if the proposed project meets the minimum threshold or if the item listed is provided in the proposal submittal.

#### Minimum thresholds

- Project is not an exclusive enterprise function such as a bookstore, dormitory, or contract food service.
- Project meets LEED Silver Standard requirements.
- ☑ Institution has a greenhouse gas emissions reduction policy in place in accordance with RCW 70A.45.050 and vehicle emissions reduction policy in place per RCW 47.01.440 or RCW 43.160.020 as applicable.
- □ A complete predesign report was submitted to OFM by July 1, 2022 and approved.
- Growth proposals: Based on solid enrollment projections and is more cost-effectively providing enrollment access than alternatives such as university centers and distance learning.
- □ Renovation proposals: Project should cost between 60 80% of current replacement value and extend the useful life of the facility by at least 25 years.
- □ Acquisition proposals: Land acquisition is not related to a current facility funding request.
- Infrastructure proposals: Project is not a facility repair project.

#### 2022 PROJECT PROPOSAL CHECKLIST 2023-25 Biennium Four-year Higher Education Scoring Process

Stand-alone, infrastructure and acquisition proposals is a single project requesting funds for one biennium.

Required appendices

- ☑ Project cost estimate: Excel C-100
- Degree Totals and Targets template to indicate the number of Bachelors, High Demand and Advanced degrees expected to be awarded in 2023. (Required for Overarching Criteria scoring criteria for Major Growth, Renovation, Replacement and Research proposals).
- □ Availability of Space/Campus Utilization template for the campus where the project is located. (Required for all categories/subcategories except Infrastructure and Acquisition proposals).
- □ Assignable Square Feet template to indicate program-related space allocation. (Required for Growth, Renovation and Replacement proposals, all categories/subcategories).

#### **Optional appendices**

Attach supplemental and supporting project documentation, *limit to materials directly related to and needed for the evaluation criteria*, such as:

- Degree and enrollment growth projections
- □ Selected excerpts from institutional plans
- □ Data on instructional and/or research space utilization
- □ Additional documentation for selected cost comparables (acquisition)
- □ Selected materials on facility conditions
- $\Box$  Selected materials on code compliance
- □ Tables supporting calculation of program space allocations, weighted average facility age, etc.
- □ Evidence of consistency of proposed research projects with state, regional, or local economic development plans
- □ Evidence of availability of non-state matching funds
- Selected documentation of prior facility failures, high-cost maintenance, and/or system unreliability for infrastructure projects
- Documentation of professional assessment of costs for land acquisition, land cleanup, and infrastructure projects
- Selected documentation of engineering studies, site survey and recommendations, or opinion letters for infrastructure and land cleanup projects
- □ Other: Click or tap here to enter text.

I certify that the above checked items indicate either that the proposed project meets the minimum thresholds, or the corresponding items have been included in this submittal.

Name:	Kathleen Kamerrer	Title:	AVP, Capital Budget & Facilities Business Administration
Signature:	Kathleen Kamerrer	Date:	8/8/22

Office of Financial Management June 2022

#### INFRASTRUCTURE – STANDALONE

2022 Higher Education Project Proposal Form

INSTITUTION	CAMPUS		
Washington State University	Pullman, WA		
PROJECT TITLE			
Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS			

#### SUMMARY NARRATIVE

#### **§** Problem statement (short description of the project – the needs and the benefits)

Washington State University requests \$5 million in the 2023-25 capital budget to implement energy efficiency measures in the system's largest complexes requiring compliance in 2026 with Washington's new Clean Buildings Performance Standard (CBPS). WSU has identified 115 buildings/complexes across the system totaling almost 11.3 million gsf which must comply with this standard between 2026 and 2029. Early audits indicate significant renovations will be necessary to achieve energy efficiency and compliance with CBPS.

Buildings are the fastest growing source of greenhouse gas emissions in Washington. Investment in building energy efficiency is the most cost-efficient way to significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

#### **§** History of the project or facility

Washington's new CBPS is designed to secure energy efficiency opportunities. All buildings and/or complexes (multiple buildings connected via conditioned space) that exceed 20,000 gross square feet (gsf) must comply with this standard or face financial penalties. The general path to compliance is as follows:

- Develop and maintain an energy management plan
- Install metering and collect consumption data on all building utilities
- Track Energy Use Intensity (EUI) for each building/complex
- Calculate an Energy Use Intensity Target (EUIt) for each building/complex based on occupancy use
- · Identify and implement energy efficiency measures such that EUI is less than EUIt
- Conduct energy auditing for buildings/complexes where EUI is greater than EUIt and implement additional energy efficiency measures
- · Satisfy all necessary administrative and reporting requirements

System-wide, WSU, has 115 buildings/complexes totaling almost 11.3 million gsf that must comply with this standard between 2026 and 2029. Based on the results of preliminary energy audits of five representative buildings, WSU estimates a significant energy efficiency effort will be necessary to bring these buildings/complexes into compliance. Therefore, the university's 10-year Facility Development Plan (go.wsu.edu/WSUDevelopmentPlan2022) includes reoccurring funding requests over multiple biennia in order to achieve compliance with this standard.

#### **§** University programs addressed or encompassed by the project

Energy efficiency improvements in all major WSU buildings will benefit all campuses, all colleges and all organizations. On the surface, energy improvements will reduce the university's carbon footprint and lower utility costs. In addition, these improvements will also improve operations, enhance reliability and

reduce deferred maintenance because it will not be possible to achieve the required energy reductions without addressing aging infrastructure, building systems and controls.

#### GENERAL CATEGORY SCORING CRITERIA

#### 1. Significant health, safety, and code issues

A. Identify whether the project is needed to bring the facility within current life safety (including seismic and ADA), energy, utilities or transportation code requirements.

This funding is needed to identify and execute energy efficiency measures necessary to bring numerous university buildings/complexes into compliance with the state's CBPS.

B. Clearly identify the applicable standard or code, and describe how the project will improve consistency with it. Provide selected supporting documentation in appendix and reference in the body of the proposal.

The applicable standards and codes are as follows:

- · Clean Buildings Performance Standard (formally known as House Bill 1257).
- Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 194-50 has amended and adopted a version of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) Standard 100 to govern energy efficiency in existing buildings.

#### 2. Evidence of increased repairs and/or service interruption

Identify prior facility repairs, work order repair history or contractor repair call-outs, increased utility and/or maintenance costs, and/or system unreliability. Provide selected supporting documentation in appendix, and reference them in the body of the proposal.

The CBPS focuses on building-level energy efficiency improvements which will directly lower utility costs and improve system reliability. WSU's systemwide deferred maintenance backlog is estimated to exceed \$1.6 billion. As a result, the university operates at a high risk of service interruptions, system failures and rising maintenance costs. WSU proposes to dedicate a portion of this funding to address the deferred maintenance in buildings/complexes that would otherwise prohibit the success of each energy efficiency measure necessary for compliance.

**Table 1:** Summary of repair/renewal work (exceeding \$5,000/work order) since 2016 in the largest complexes requiring compliance with the CBPS in 2026. A significant amount of repair and renewal work is required each year to avoid service interruptions in these facilities. Reference **Appendix B** for a detailed breakdown by complex.

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent	
All	2016	116	\$	7,126,126
Complexes	2017	143	\$	7,655,693
Poquiring	2018	108	\$	7,068,793
Complianco	2019	119	\$	6,554,811
by 2026	2020	90	\$	4,942,609
by 2020	2021	89	\$	2,323,841
		665	\$	35,671,872

Table 1 - Summary of Work History

#### 3. Impact on institutional operations without the infrastructure project

# Describe how and the extent to which there would be an impact on existing operations and programs. Describe the potential impact on future, already funded or planned construction projects or program needs should this infrastructure project not occur.

Not taking action would have a serious impact on existing operations and programs, funded future construction projects, and planned construction projects. The financial penalty for non-compliance with the CBPS is an annual fine as high as \$1/gsf, which for WSU could reach as high as \$11.3 million per year. For WSU, a financial penalty of this magnitude would negatively impact other projects in the 10-year capital plan, impede ongoing preservation and deferred maintenance reduction initiatives, and frustrate CBPS compliance. Reducing energy use and greenhouse gas emissions is necessary for the long-term success of WSU, the state, and the nation.

#### 4. Reasonable estimate

# Provide as much detailed cost estimate information as possible, including documentation of professional assessment of costs (may contain opinions of external experts or experienced project management staff from the institution).

A detailed project cost estimate has been prepared based on results of energy audits developed by applicable specialty professionals (**Appendix C**).

In 2021, WSU hired an energy engineering consultant to conduct ASHRAE level 2 energy audits on five Pullman campus buildings. The goal of this study was to evaluate the potential impact (cost and schedule) of the CBPS on WSU buildings of different occupancy type (office, classroom and lab). The results of this study identified energy efficiency measures in these five building/complexes totaling approximately \$9.5 million and predicted energy savings of approximately \$790,000 per year. Extrapolating this data across the entire WSU system results in a projected cost of approximately \$100 million along with noteworthy energy savings and greenhouse gas reductions. Understanding that the state's capital budget capacity is limited, WSU requests \$5 million in 2023-25 to start this effort and reoccurring requests in future biennia to continue towards compliance.

Reference the C100 (Appendix A) for a detailed project cost estimate.

#### 5. Engineering study

## Identify whether there is a completed comprehensive engineering study, site survey and recommendations or opinion letter. Provide referenced supporting documentation in appendix.

During the comprehensive engineering study (**Appendix C**) referenced in question 4 above, the energy consultant identified a wide variety of energy efficiency measures in these five building/complexes including, but not limited to:

- · Retro-commissioning and controls modernization
- HVAC improvements (transition from constant volume to variable volume systems)
- LED lighting upgrades
- Filter, coil, and trap renewal
- Envelope enhancements

#### 6. Support by planning

#### Describe the proposed project's relationship and relative importance to the institution's:

A. Campus/facilities master plan

#### B. Ongoing academic and/or research program need and strategic plan

This funding request and resulting energy efficiency work is integral to the university's 10-year Facility Development Plan, strategic plan, and ongoing program needs.

On May 7, 2019, the CBPS was signed into law. While this legislation aligned with the university's inclusion of energy improvements and greenhouse gas reductions in strategic planning and project execution for new construction and renovations, it requires a more focused and proactive approach to addressing energy efficiency concerns in existing facilities.

In addition, the 10-year capital plan reflects the university's continued commitment to reinvestment in existing facilities and infrastructure while also advancing programmatic priorities. It is focused on identifying and prioritizing capital projects that balance stewardship and renewal within a framework for responsible growth, as informed by WSU's Facility Development Plan. This plan also begins the process of identifying important legacy facilities in the core of WSU's oldest campus, and prioritizing space optimization and renovation in that area.

Identifying and implementing energy efficiency measures in buildings across the system must be coordinated with existing deferred maintenance and operational issues within those same buildings. Potential fines associated with the CBPS could negatively impact the university's academic and research mission.

#### 7. Resource efficiency and sustainability

## Document project benefits associated with low-impact stormwater management techniques, improvements in energy and resource conservation, and use of renewable energy sources.

100% of this standalone infrastructure request will contribute to improvements in energy efficiency and resource conservation, reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, and exploration into the use of alternative energy sources.

#### APPENDICES

- S Appendix A C100
- S Appendix B Work History Summary
- S Appendix C ASHRAE Level 2 Energy Audit / Cost Estimate Prepared by: Glumac

STATE OF WASHINGTON				
AGENCY / INSTITUTION PROJECT COST SUMMARY				
Updated June 2022				
Agency	Washington State University			
Project Name				
OFM Project Number 40000346				

Contact Information			
Name	Phil Johnson		
Phone Number	509-335-9029		
Email	philrjohnson@wsu.edu		

Statistics					
Gross Square Feet	N/A	MACC per Gross Square Foot			
Usable Square Feet	N/A	Escalated MACC per Gross Square Foot			
Alt Gross Unit of Measure					
Space Efficiency		A/E Fee Class	А		
Construction Type	Research Facilities	A/E Fee Percentage	13.97%		
Remodel	Yes	Projected Life of Asset (Years)	Varies		
	Addition	al Project Details			
Procurement Approach	DB-Progressive	Art Requirement Applies	Yes		
Inflation Rate	4.90%	Higher Ed Institution	Yes		
Sales Tax Rate %	7.90%	Location Used for Tax Rate	3,812		
Contingency Rate	10%				
Base Month (Estimate Date)	June-22	OFM UFI# (from FPMT, if available)	Multiple Facilities		
Project Administered By	Agency				

Schedule				
Predesign Start		Predesign End		
Design Start	August-23	Design End	December-23	
Construction Start	February-24	Construction End	December-24	
Construction Duration	11 Months			

Green cells must be filled in by user

Project Cost Estimate			
Total Project	\$4,563,135	Total Project Escalated	\$5,000,327
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$5,000,000

#### **Cost Estimate Summary**

Acquisition

Acquisition Subtotal	\$0	Acquisition Subtotal Escalated	\$0
	Consul	tout Comisso	
	Consul	tant Services	
Predesign Services	\$0		
Design Phase Services	\$227,969		
Extra Services	\$550,000		
Other Services	\$167,421		
Design Services Contingency	\$94,539		
Consultant Services Subtotal	\$1,039,930	Consultant Services Subtotal Escalated	\$1,116,937

Construction					
Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC)	\$2,150,000	Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC) Escalated	\$2,372,095		
DB-Progressive Risk Contingencies	\$100,000		\$110,330		
DB-Progressive Management	\$350,000		\$386,155		
Owner Construction Contingency	\$215,000		\$237,210		
Non-Taxable Items	\$0		\$0		
Sales Tax	\$222,385	Sales Tax Escalated	\$245,357		
Construction Subtotal	\$3,037,385	Construction Subtotal Escalated	\$3,351,147		

Equipment				
Equipment	\$50,000			
Sales Tax	\$3,950			
Non-Taxable Items	\$0			
Equipment Subtotal	\$53,950	Equipment Subtotal Escalated	\$59,524	

Artwork					
Artwork Subtotal	\$24,877	Artwork Subtotal Escalated	\$24,877		

Agency Project Administration							
Agency Project Administration Subtotal	\$275,358						
DES Additional Services Subtotal	\$0						
Other Project Admin Costs	\$81,635						
Project Administration Subtotal	\$356,993	Project Administration Subtotal Escalated	\$393,871				

Other Costs					
Other Costs Subtotal	\$50,000	Other Costs Subtotal Escalated	\$53,970		

Project Cost Estimate					
Total Project	\$4,563,135	Total Project Escalated	\$5,000,327		
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$5,000,000		

#### **Funding Summary**

			New Approp Request		
	Project Cost (Escalated)	Funded in Prior Biennia	2023-2025	2025-2027	Out Years
Acquisition					
Acquisition Subtotal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Consultant Services					
Consultant Services Subtotal	\$1,116,937	\$0	\$1,116,937	\$0	\$0
Construction					
Construction Subtotal	\$3,351,147	\$0	\$3,351,147	\$0	\$0
Equipment					
Equipment Subtotal	\$59,524	\$0	\$59,524	\$0	\$0
Artwork					
Artwork Subtotal	\$24,877	\$0	\$24,877	\$0	\$0
Agency Project Administration					
Project Administration Subtotal	\$393,871	\$0	\$393,871	\$0	\$0
Other Costs					
Other Costs Subtotal	\$53,970	\$0	\$53,970	\$0	\$0
Project Cost Estimate					
Total Project	\$5,000,327	\$0	\$5,000,326	\$0	\$1
	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000	Ş0	\$0
	Percentage requested as a	new appropriation	100%		

What is planned for the requested new appropriation? (*Ex. Acquisition and design, phase 1 construction, etc.*) A standalone infrastructure project to identify, execute and verify energy efficiency measures in some of the largest complexes in the WSU system. The 23-25 request includes design and construction. *Insert Row Here* 

What has been completed or is underway with a previous appropriation?

Utility metering has been installed/renewed and energy audits have been conducted in the past to prepare for compliance with the Clean Building Performance Standard.

Insert Row Here

What is planned with a future appropriation?

Similar standalone infrastructure projects are included in the university's 10-year plan to continue along the road to compliance with the Clean Building Performance Standard.

Insert Row Here

Acquisition Costs							
ltom	Basa Amount		Escalation	Eccolated Cost	Notos		
Item	Base Amount		Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes		
Purchase/Lease							
Appraisal and Closing							
Right of Way							
Demolition							
Pre-Site Development							
Other							
Insert Row Here							
ACQUISITION TOTAL	\$0		NA	\$0			

Green cells must be filled in by user

Consultant Services							
ltem	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes			
1) Pre-Schematic Design Services							
Programming/Site Analysis							
Environmental Analysis							
Predesign Study							
Other							
Insert Row Here							
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0537	\$0	Escalated to Design Start			
2) Construction Documents							
A/E Basic Design Services	\$227,969			69% of A/E Basic Services			
Other							
Insert Row Here		I					
Sub TOTAL	\$227,969	1.0642	\$242,606	Escalated to Mid-Design			
3) Extra Services							
Civil Design (Above Basic Svcs)							
Geotechnical Investigation							
Commissioning	\$250,000			Including retro-			
Site Survey				commissioning			
Testing	\$50.000						
LEED Services	+/						
Voice/Data Consultant							
Value Engineering							
Constructability Review							
Environmental Mitigation (EIS)							
Landscape Consultant							
Other	\$250,000			ASHRAE Level 2 Energy			
	<i>\$250,000</i>			Auditing			
Insert Row Here							
Sub TOTAL	\$550,000	1.0642	\$585,310	Escalated to Mid-Design			
4) Other Services	4						
Bid/Construction/Closeout	\$102,421			31% of A/E Basic Services			
HVAC Balancing	\$65,000						
Staffing							
Other							
	¢167 / 21	1 1022	6101 71C	Escalated to Mid Const			
SUDIOTAL	\$107,421	1.1033	\$184,716				
5) Design Services Contingency							
Design Services Contingency	¢94 530						
Other	τ,						
Insert Row Here							

#### Appendix A – C100 Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS

Sub TOTAL	\$94,539	1.1033	\$104,305 Escalated to Mid-Const.
CONSULTANT SERVICES TOTAL	\$1,039,930		\$1,116,937

Green cells must be filled in by user

Construction Contracts							
ltem	Base Amount	Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notes			
	base Amount	Factor	LSCalated COSt	Notes			
1) Site Work							
G10 - Site Preparation							
G20 - Site Improvements							
G30 - Site Mechanical Utilities							
G40 - Site Electrical Utilities							
G60 - Other Site Construction							
Other							
Insert Row Here		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0794	\$0				
2) Related Project Costs							
Offsite Improvements							
City Utilities Relocation							
Parking Mitigation							
Stormwater Retention/Detention							
Other							
Insert Row Here	4.0		4.0				
Sub TOTAL	Ş0	1.0794	Ş0				
3) Facility Construction							
A10 - Foundations							
A20 - Basement Construction							
B10 - Superstructure							
B20 - Exterior Closure							
B30 - Roofing							
C10 - Interior Construction							
C20 - Stairs							
C30 - Interior Finisnes							
DIU - Conveying							
	\$1 200 000						
D30 - HVAC Systems	\$1,500,000						
DEO Electrical Systems	\$200,000						
E10 - Special Construction	\$500,000						
FIO - Special Construction							
General Conditions	\$50,000						
Other Direct Cost	\$50,000			Controls Ontimization			
	\$300,000						
	\$2 150 000	1 1022	¢2 272 005				
SubTOTAL	şz,150,000	1.1055	<i>ş</i> 2,372,095				
4) Maximum Allowable Construction Co	ost						
MACC Sub TOTAL	\$2.150.000		\$2.372.095				
	,,,		τ_,21_,200 ΝΑ	per GSF			

5) GCCM Risk Contingency							
GCCM Risk Contingency	\$100,000		_				
Other							
Insert Row Here							
Sub TOTAL	\$100,000	1.1033	\$110,330				
6) GCCM or Design Build Costs							
GCCM Fee	\$100,000						
Bid General Conditions	\$150,000						
GCCM Preconstruction Services	\$50,000						
Bonds/Insurance	\$50,000						
Insert Row Here							
Sub TOTAL	\$350,000	1.1033	\$386,155				
7) Owner Construction Contingency	4.5.1.5						
Allowance for Change Orders	\$215,000						
Other							
Insert Row Here	40.00		1				
Sub TOTAL	\$215,000	1.1033	\$237,210				
0) New Touchie Heavy							
			1				
Utner							
	ćo	1 1022	<u>éo</u>				
SUBTOTAL	Ş0	1.1033	Ş0				
Q) Salas Tax							
JJ JAIES I AX	6222.205		6245 257				
SUBTOTAL	\$222,385		\$ <b>24</b> 5,357				
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS TOTAL	¢2 027 205		\$2 251 147				
	Ş3,U37,585		ə3,351,147				

Green cells must be filled in by user

Equipment							
ltem	Base Amount		Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notes		
	buse Amount		Factor	Estalated Cost	10105		
1) Equipment							
E10 - Equipment	\$50,000						
E20 - Furnishings							
F10 - Special Construction							
Other							
Insert Row Here							
Sub TOTAL	\$50,000		1.1033	\$55,165			
2) Non Taxable Items							
Other							
Insert Row Here							
Sub TOTAL	\$0		1.1033	\$0			
3) Sales Tax							
Sub TOTAL	\$3,950			\$4,359			
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	\$53,950			\$59,524			
Green cells must be filled in by user							

Artwork						
Item	Base Amount		Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes	
1) Artwork						
Project Artwork	\$0				0.5% of total project cost for new construction	
Higher Ed Artwork	\$24,877				0.5% of total project cost for new and renewal construction	
Other						
Insert Row Here						
ARTWORK TOTAL	\$24,877		NA	\$24,877		
Green cells must be filled in by user					-	

Project Management						
ltom	Dece Amount	Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notos		
item	base Amount	Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes		
) Agency Project Management						
Agency Project Management	\$275,358					
Additional Services						
Other	\$81 635			On-Site Construction		
other	Ş01,033			Management (2.9%)		
Insert Row Here						
Subtotal of Other	\$81,635					
PROJECT MANAGEMENT TOTAL	\$356,993	1.1033	\$393,871			

Green cells must be filled in by user

Other Costs						
ltom	Base Amount Escalation Escalated Cast	Notos				
item	base Amount		Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes	
Mitigation Costs						
Hazardous Material						
Remediation/Removal						
Historic and Archeological Mitigation						
Other	\$50,000				WSU Shops Support	
Insert Row Here						
OTHER COSTS TOTAL	\$50,000		1.0794	\$53,970		

Green cells must be filled in by user

### C-100(2022) Additional Notes

Tab A. Acquisition

Insert Row Here

Tab B. Consultant Services

Insert Row Here

Tab C. Construction Contracts

Insert Row Here

Tab D. Equipment

Insert Row Here

Tab E. Artwork		
Insert Row Here		

ab F. Project Management	
sert Row Here	

Tab G. Other Costs

Insert Row Here

**Complex Summary Requiring Compliance in 2026** WSU Compliance Compliance **Complex ID** WSU Campus **WSU Facility Name** Area (GSF) Year Pullman C-0011 PEB+Smith+Bohler+Bohler Addition 395,895 2026 434,506 2026 Pullman C-0082A Owen+Abelson+Heald+Eastlick Pullman C-0807 VTH+ADBF+Bustad+McCoy 482,138 2026 Pullman C-0055 Todd+Todd Addition+Johnson Tower 272,169 2026 Pullman C-0063 CUB+Terrell+Holland 663,829 2026 Pullman C-0093E Stephenson South+Dining Hall+East+North 268,963 2026 Pullman C-0058 ETRL+EEME+Sloan+Dana 414,449 2026 Pullman C-0003A Fulmer Lab+Annex+Synthesis+Vibration Free 224,263 2026 Pullman C-0836 VBR+BLS+REC5 347,592 2026

Clean Buildings Performance Standard (CBPS)

Subtotal = 3,503,804 9

	Project/Repair/Service Interuption Summary				
Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent		
	2016	116	\$ 7,126,126		
All Complexes	2017	143	\$ 7,655,693		
Requiring	2018	108	\$ 7,068,793		
Compliance	2019	119	\$ 6,554,811		
by 2026	2020	90	\$ 4,942,609		
	2021	89	\$ 2,323,841		
		665	\$ 35,671,872		

#### Clean Buildings Performance Standard (CBPS)

Complex Project/Repair/Service Interuption History

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
Bobler -	2016	24	\$ 890,823
Bohler	2017	26	\$ 2,263,938
Addition -	2018	19	\$ 1,554,301
Smith Gym	2019	22	\$ 1,131,275
	2020	14	\$ 1,039,458
FED	2021	10	\$ 271,387
		115	\$ 7,151,183

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
	2016	12	\$ 695,610
Todd - Todd	2017	19	\$ 1,237,587
Addition -	2018	10	\$ 1,454,171
Johnson	2019	13	\$ 670,834
Tower	2020	10	\$ 572,456
	2021	8	\$ 141,388
		72	\$ 4,772,046

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
Eulmor	2016	6	\$ 256,240
Fulmer Syn -	2017	8	\$ 256,596
Eulmor	2018	11	\$ 346,827
	2019	8	\$ 367,484
	2020	9	\$ 314,679
Fuiller VIF	2021	4	\$ 26,946
		46	\$ 1,568,772

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
	2016	25	\$ 3,049,119
	2017	24	\$ 1,193,712
VIH - ADBF -	2018	19	\$ 803,259
McCov	2019	27	\$ 1,159,801
IVICCOV	2020	17	\$ 558,759
	2021	18	\$ 546,232
		130	\$ 7,310,881

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
	2016	16	\$ 636,909
Owen -	2017	18	\$ 667,673
Abelson -	2018	14	\$ 1,588,425
Eastlick -	2019	9	\$ 380,951
Heald	2020	10	\$ 885,287
	2021	11	\$ 622,897
		78	\$ 4,782,143

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
	2016	12	\$ 605,133
	2017	14	\$ 625,386
Terrell -	2018	8	\$ 452,440
Holland	2019	15	\$ 472,269
	2020	5	\$ 364,711
	2021	8	\$ 72,461
		62	\$ 2,592,399

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
	2016	4	\$ 204,154
VBR -	2017	11	\$ 396,850
Biotech -	2018	6	\$ 231,833
Plant	2019	9	\$ 500,097
Sciences	2020	14	\$ 495,192
	2021	15	\$ 167,523
		59	\$ 1,995,649

Complex	Year	# of Projects	Total Spent
	2016	17	\$ 788,139
	2017	23	\$ 1,013,950
ETRL - EEME -	2018	21	\$ 637,537
Sloan - Dana	2019	16	\$ 1,872,099
	2020	11	\$ 712,067
	2021	15	\$ 475,008
		103	\$ 5,498,799



### Washington State University Pullman Building Existing Energy Usage

Home EEMs Map

Building	CHW EUI	Steam EUI	Electrical EUI	Total EUI
Clark Hall	108.9	204.1	103.4	416.4
Eastlick Hall	29.2	98.5	68.6	196.2
Franch Admin	28.0	107.2	66.4	202.6

202.6 French Admin 28.9 107.2 66.4 34.6 79.3 Lighty 15.5 29.1 SCUE 12.2 47.3 94.3 34.8 Select an individual building or Ctrl+select multiple buildings to look at only certain buildings.

None of the buildings in this study have CHW meters. All CHW energy numbers are from energy modeling. In order to show compliance with the EUI target, CHW meters are required for each building.



	Audit Results Summary													
Building	Target EUI	Current EUI	Туре	Aud	lit \$/SF		EEM \$/SF	A Ene	Annual ergy \$/SF	SF	E	EM Total \$	En	~Annual ergy Savings
			Classroom +											
SCUE	94	121	Office	\$	0.18	\$	1.20	\$	0.38	102,050	\$	122,653	\$	38,970.00
Clark	249	416	Research Lab	\$	0.26	\$	45.19	\$	3.95	104,207	\$	4,709,400	\$	412,000.00
French	68	203	Office	\$	0.17	\$	20.54	\$	1.37	110,000	\$	2,259,230	\$	150,434.46
Lighty	68	107	Office	\$	0.18	\$	1.30	\$	0.28	94,924	\$	123,225	\$	26,153.36
			Teaching Lab +											
			Research Lab +											
Eastlick	183	196	Animal	\$	0.27	\$	18.94	\$	1.32	123,241	\$	2,334,705	\$	162,154.60
										534,422	\$	9,549,213	\$	789,712

	Extrapolated System-Wide Estimates												
Space	Data	Audit						EEľ	N		Annual En	erg	y Savings
General Use	Total ASF		~\$/SF		~\$		~\$/SF		~\$		~\$/SF		~\$
Animal	213,891	\$	0.27	\$	57,751	\$	10.00	\$	2,138,910	\$	1.00	\$	213,891
Circulation	2,049,230	\$	0.18	\$	368,861	\$	2.00	\$	4,098,460	\$	0.30	\$	614,769
Classroom	1,285,122	\$	0.18	\$	231,322	\$	2.00	\$	2,570,244	\$	0.30	\$	385,537
Clinic	938,921	\$	0.27	\$	253,509	\$	5.00	\$	4,694,605	\$	1.00	\$	938,921
Food	160,276	\$	0.18	\$	28,850	\$	5.00	\$	801,380	\$	0.30	\$	48,083
Greenhouse	352,423	\$	0.18	\$	63,436	\$	5.00	\$	1,762,115	\$	1.00	\$	352,423
Library	435,840	\$	0.18	\$	78,451	\$	2.00	\$	871,680	\$	1.00	\$	435,840
Mechanical	990,569	\$	0.18	\$	178,302	\$	5.00	\$	4,952,845	\$	1.00	\$	990,569
Office	1,892,444	\$	0.18	\$	340,640	\$	5.00	\$	9,462,220	\$	1.00	\$	1,892,444
Other	285,234	\$	0.18	\$	51,342	\$	5.00	\$	1,426,170	\$	0.30	\$	85,570
Research Lab	1,458,526	\$	0.27	\$	393,802	\$	40.00	\$	58,341,040	\$	3.00	\$	4,375,578
Residential	835,252	\$	0.18	\$	150,345	\$	2.00	\$	1,670,504	\$	0.30	\$	250,576
Restroom	179,345	\$	0.18	\$	32,282	\$	2.00	\$	358,690	\$	0.30	\$	53,804
Support	448,196	\$	0.18	\$	80,675	\$	2.00	\$	896,392	\$	0.30	\$	134,459
Teaching Lab	296,229	\$	0.27	\$	79,982	\$	10.00	\$	2,962,290	\$	1.00	\$	296,229
	11,821,498			\$	2,389,551			\$	97,007,545			\$	11,068,692

1

Estimated system-wide cost to implement energy efficiency measures. Extrapolated from the results of (5) ASHRAE level 2 energy audits.

#### Smith Center for Undergraduate Eduction (SCUE)

- Target EUI = **94**
- Current EUI = **121**
- Current EUI w/o Snow Melt<sup>1</sup> 94

  - Annual Utility Cost = \$ 336,154 Utility Cost \$/sf = \$ 3.29
- Annual Carbon Emissions (Tons) = 689
  - EEM \$ = **\$ 122,653**
  - Area SF = 102,050 EEM \$/SF = **\$** 1.20 Potential Rebates = \$
  - 26,930 Potential Rebates/SF = \$ 0.26 Audit \$ = **\$ 18,369** 
    - Audit \$/SF = **\$** 0.180

			Meets Payhack		EUI		Cost S	avings		Carbon		First Cost <sup>2</sup>		Cost ner FUI	Simple	Measure	Potential	Pavback	
			Criteria?	New	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction (Tons)	% Savings	Annual Cars Off the Road		\$	\$/sf	Reduction	Payback	Lifespan	Incentives	w/Incentives
	EEM 1	VFDs on Garage Exhaust Fans	Yes	88	6	5%	\$ 15,000	4.5%	48	7%	242	\$	86,935	\$ 0.85	\$ 2,387	5.8	20	\$ 19,080	4.5
	EEM 2	Temperature Setbacks	Yes	92	2	2%	\$ 2,120	0.6%	17	2%	86	\$	-	\$-	\$ 953	0.0	10	\$-	0.0
EEMs	EEM 3	EEM 2+ Economizer High Limit	Yes	92	3	2%	\$ 2,470	0.7%	18	3%	89	\$	-	\$-	\$ 950	0.0	10	\$ -	0.0
	EEM 4	EEM 3 + SAT reset	Yes	85	9	8%	\$ 8,290	2.5%	62	9%	316	\$	-	\$-	\$ 874	0.0	10	\$ -	0.0
	EEM 6	Retro-commissioning <sup>3</sup>	Yes	83	11	9%	\$ 11,090	3.3%	74	11%	374	\$	35,718	\$ 0.35	\$ 1,003	3.2	10	\$ 7,850	2.5
						D	oes Not Meet	Payback Crit	eria - Not Req	uired for Cor	mpliance with Ann	nex X							
	EEM 5	VFDs on pumps	No	94	0	0%	\$ 200	0.1%	1	0%	4	\$	117,163	\$ 1.15	\$ 2,157	585.8	20	\$ 7,850	546.6
Pundlac	Bundled Measures																		
Bunales	Bundles	EEM 1 and EEM 4	Yes	79	16	13%	\$ 23,290	6.9%	110	16%	559	\$	86,935	\$ 0.85	\$ 1,477	3.7	22	\$ 7,850	3.4

1. Commerce will allow energy use from the snow melt system to be deducted if it is properly submetered. Since the snow melt system has a large EUI for SCUE, we recommend submetering this system.

2. First cost includes:

12% for General Conditions

10% for Overhead/Profit

10% for Contingency

3. This assumes the entire building undergoes retro-commissioning. Costs for EEM 2, 3, and 4 are included in this measure.

Target EUI prorated - See calculation below. This approach was approved by WA Commerce.

(Including chilled water energy use from energy modeling)

Snow melt EUI is estimated based on controls and metered energy data.

SCUE summary: In general, SCUE operates relatively well and should be able to meet the EUI target with comparatively low first cost. We successfully made the case to Commerce that the snow melt energy should be excluded from the EUI calculation, as long as that system is properly submetered. Commerce will be providing formal guidance on this issue later in 2021.

Puilding	EENA #	Moacuro	Current Conditions	Proposed Chapter				
Dununig	EEIVI #	VFDs on Garage Exhaust Fans	Currently the two garage exhaust fans are controlled by CO sensors and run at constant	Add VFDs to the garage exhaust fans as well as the necessary controls to allow the fans to run at reduced speed when				
	EEM 2 Temperature Setbacks During unoccupied hours at night the building is heated to 65F and cooled to 78F.		speed when required. During unoccupied hours at night the building is heated to 65F and cooled to 78F.	Turndown the heating setback to 63F and turn up the cooling setback to 81F				
20115	EEM 3	3 EEM 2 + Adjust Economizer High Limit In addition to the conditions listed in the measure above, the AHUs have upper economization limits of 65F, fully opening the OA damper when the outdoor drybulb air temperature is below 65F and building spaces are in cooling mode.		Everything in the measure above and increase the economizer high limit to 70F which will reduce the need for cooling from the chilled water system.				
SCUE	EEM 4	EEM 3 + SAT Reset	In addition to the conditions listed in the measure above the supply air temperature currently resets up to 60F when there is minimal need for cooling.	Everything in the measure above and the supply airflow is allowed to reset up to 65F when there is minimal call for cooling. This will reduce the energy required to reheat the air when some spaces are calling for cooling while other spaces are calling for heating.				
	EEM 5	VFDs on Pumps	The primary chilled water pumps that serve the building and the secondary pumps which serve the AHUs are constant speed. The hot water pumps which serve the AHUs are also constant speed.	Replace the secondary hot water and chilled water pumps with variable speed pumps. Include controls to allow the pumps to reduce the flowrate down to what is needed by the AHUs. This measure does not affect the primary chilled water pumps which serve the building.				
	EEM 6	EEM 4 + General Retro-Commissioning		Implement EEM 4 controls measures as part of a general Retro-commissioning effort.				

Appendix C – ASHRAE Level 2 Energy Audit / Cost Estimate Prepared by: Glumac Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS





## OVERVIEW

#### **BUILDING INFORMATION**

**SCUE** 300 Troy Ln Pullman, WA 99164 Report Type

Gross Floor Area: Building ID #: Project Name WA Commerce Grants Report 102,050.0 ft<sup>2</sup> 12403 WSU Audits Report Status: Report Date: Year Built: Software Release: In Progress 05/27/2021 2003 2021.1.0.1459

#### AUDIT TEAM

**Glumac** 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland, OR 97204 (503) 345-6337

#### **DATA SUMMARY**

This report was generated from data entered into the Building Energy Asset Score (Asset Score) tool, developed by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Asset Score is a national standardized tool for assessing the physical and structural energy efficiency of commercial and multifamily residential buildings. It also facilitates building energy audit data collection and reporting.

This report follows the ASHRAE/ACCA Standard 211P, Standard for Commercial Building Energy Audits. It also includes additional data fields required by specific cities, where applicable. The icons below identify data categories.

SHRAE Level 2 inputs

City specific inputs

If this report is used to comply with a local energy audit ordinance, the fields marked with \* indicate the minimum data to be reported. The audit team listed above is responsible for any information entered and reported through Asset Score. DOE and PNNL do not warranty data accuracy, completeness, legality, and reliability.



# CONTACT INFORMATION AND AUDIT DETAILS

Building Name: SCUE

Subi	Submission Information								
୯	Date of Submission	Never							
S	Submission Version	None							
Audi	t Details								
C	Date of Completion for Level 1 Audit		☑ N/A						
S	Date of Completion for Level 2 Audit	05/01/2021							
C	Date of Completion for Level 3 Audit		⊠ N/A						
C	Year of Last Renovation		⊠ N/A						
C	Year of Prior Energy Audit		⊠ N/A						
C	Year Last Commissioned		⊠ N/A						
୯	Additional Comments								

#### Audit Team and Building Staff

#### **Auditor**

<b>R R R R R R</b>	Name* Company Name or Organization* Street Address City State Postal Code Phone* Email*	Mike Prier Glumac 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland OR 97204 (503) 345-6337 mprier@glumac.com
Buile	ding Owner	
C	Name*	Phil Johnson
S	Company Name or Organization*	WSU
S	Street Address	
S	City	
S	State	
୯	Postal Code	
S	Phone*	(509) 335-9029
୯	Email*	philrjohnson@wsu.edu



## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: SCUE

#### **Building Characteristics**

ତ	Gross Floor Area*	102050.0
୯	Spaces Excluded from Gross Floor Area	
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Cooled Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated and Cooled	102050.0
୯	Total Conditioned Floor Area	102050.0
୯	Number of Floors Above-Grade, Conditioned	4
୯	Number of Floors Below-Grade, Conditioned	0
୯	General Building Shape	Rectangular
ଙ	Building Automation System?	Yes
୯	Historic Building?*	No

#### **Use Types**

#### Office

୯	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area	Office
ତ	Original Intended Use	
୯	Gross Floor Area*	25513.0
S	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0
୯	Number of Occupants*	250
୯	Use (hours/week)*	50.0
C	Use (weeks/year)*	52.0
C	Approximate Plug Loads	1.2
S	Number of Dwelling Units	⊠ N/A
୯	Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently Occupied	⊠ N/A
୯	Principal HVAC Type	VAV with Hot Water Reheat
C	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent T8
Colle	ege/University	
୯	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area Type*	College/University
S	Original Intended Use	
S	Gross Floor Area*	76538.0
୯	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0
S	Number of Occupants*	750

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

#### Building Name: SCUE

୯	Use (hours/week)*	60.0	
ଙ	Use (weeks/year)*	52.0	
C	Approximate Plug Loads	1.0	
୯	Number of Dwelling Units		⊠ N/A
୯	Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently		⊠ N/A
	Occupied		
C	Principal HVAC Type	VAV with Hot Water	Reheat
୯	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent T8	

#### Roofs

#### **Built-Up with Concrete Deck**

୯	Roof Construction	Built-Up with Concrete Deck
C	Roof R Value	30.0
୯	Roof Condition	Good
C	Cool Roof	No
C	Green Roof	No
୯	Blue Roof	No
ଙ	Roof Area	20400.0

#### Walls

Windows

Metal, Double Pane

#### Brick/Stone on Steel Frame

ନ	Wall Construction	Brick/Stone on Steel Frame
ନ	Above Grade Wall Insulation R Value	9.0
ନ	Below Grade Wall Insulation R Value	☑ N/A
ର	Total Exposed Above Grade Wall Area	39704.0
ର	Below Grade Wall Area	0.0
ର	Above Grade Demising Wall Area	0.0
୍ ୧ ୧	Overall Enclosure Tightness Assessment	3 (standard = normal rate of infiltration/exfiltration)

4



5

## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

#### Building Name: SCUE

- Framing Material
- ☑ Window Glass Type
- Fenestration Seal Condition
- Window Wall Ratio

Metal Double Pane 3 (standard = normal rate of infiltration/exfiltration)

0.33000001311302185

#### **Foundation Types**

#### Slab-On-Grade

- Floor Construction Type
- Ventilated Crawlspace
- R Value

#### **Exterior Floors**

None given

#### Lighting

Controls								
Fixture (^^^); *	Manual	Photocell	Timer	Occupancy Sensor	Building Automation System	Advanced	Other	
Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Fixture 2: LED; ^^^3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Fixture 3: LED; ^^^3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Slab-On-Grade No 0.0



Building Name: SCUE

Fixture Locations								
Fixture (^^^); * Location Quantity Definition Area Served (%) Area Ser								
Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3								
	Office	% Area Served	75.0	0.0				
Fixture 2: LED; ^^^3								
	Office	% Area Served	25.0	0.0				
<b>Fixture 3:</b> LED; ^^^3								
	College/University	% Area Served	100.0	0.0				

#### \* Fixture Key

- ^^^ Ballast Type:
- 1. Premium Electronic
- 2. Standard Electronic
- 3. Magnetic
- 4. N/A

#### **Heating Plants**

#### Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam

ତ	Heating Plant Type	Utility District Steam
S	Fuel Type	Utility District Steam
	Controls	
S	Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
S	Direct Digital (DDC)	Yes
C	Pneumatic	No
Coo	ing Plants	
Disti	rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water	
Disti ©	r <b>ict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</b> Cooling Plant Type	District Chilled Water
Disti © ©	<b>rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</b> Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type	District Chilled Water Chilled Water
Disti ଙ ଙ	<i>rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</i> Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type <b>Controls</b>	District Chilled Water Chilled Water
Disti ଙ ଙ	<i>rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</i> Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type <b>Controls</b> Building Automation System (BAS)	District Chilled Water Chilled Water Yes
Disti ଙ ଙ ଙ	<i>rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</i> Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type <b>Controls</b> Building Automation System (BAS) Direct Digital (DDC)	District Chilled Water Chilled Water Yes Yes
Disti © © © ©	<i>rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</i> Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type <b>Controls</b> Building Automation System (BAS) Direct Digital (DDC)	District Chilled Water Chilled Water Yes Yes



Building Name: SCUE

#### **Condenser Plants**

None given

#### **HVAC Systems**

#### HVAC System 20524

#### Heating

Heating Source Plant Heating Plant Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam Cooling Cooling Source Plant Cooling Plant District Chilled Water, Chilled Water **Distribution Equipment** Delivery Equipment Type Central Fan Other Delivery Equipment Type Central Distribution Type Forced Air Other Central Distribution Type San Control Variable Volume Energy Recovery Ventilation None Outdoor Air Control **Temperature Economizer Zone Controls** Direct Digital Controls (DDC) Yes Pneumatic Control No Manual Thermostat No Programmable Thermostat No None No HVAC System 20525 Heating Heating Source Plant Heating Plant Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam Cooling Cooling Source Plant Cooling Plant District Chilled Water, Chilled Water **Distribution Equipment Central Fan** Delivery Equipment Type ଙ Other Delivery Equipment Type Central Distribution Type Forced Air



## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

#### Building Name: SCUE

R	Other Central Distribution Type	
0	Other Gentral Distribution Type	
S	Fan Control	Variable Volume
S	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None
C	Outdoor Air Control	Temperature Economizer
	Zone Controls	
C	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	Yes
C	Pneumatic Control	No
C	Manual Thermostat	No
C	Programmable Thermostat	No
C	None	No

#### Service Hot Water Systems

Plant						
System Type	Plant					

#### **Process Loads: Renewables**

	Solar Thermal System	No
Â	Solar PV System	No
Â	Wind System	No
<b>A</b>	None	No
Ê	Peak Generating Capacity	

#### **Process Loads: Backup Generation**

System Type

#### **Process Loads: Data Centers**

- 👛 Total Area
- Metered Space
- Connected Load

No



9

## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: SCUE

- UPS Capacity
- 🛎 PUE

#### **Process Loads: Commercial Kitchens**

- Connected Load
- Total Area of Commercial Kitchen



11

## UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: SCUE

#### Metered Energy Supply Source Details

None given

#### **Energy Reporting Years**

Start Date	End Date	Metering entries	Delivery entries
07/01/2018	06/30/2019	2	0
07/01/2019	06/30/2020	0	0

#### **Metered Energy**

#### Energy Type: Electricity

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (kWh)	Cost (\$)	Peak (kW)	Load Factor	kWh / day	kBtu / day
07/01/2018	07/01/2019	366	183700.0	17000.0		0%	502	1713
	Averag	e Annual Total	1835700	282000	0	0%		

#### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (MIbs)	Cost (\$)	MIbs / day	kBtu / day	
07/01/2018	07/01/2019	366	4.06	42000.0	0	13245	
		Average Annual Total	4	42000			

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

#### **Delivered Energy**

None given

#### Building Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

Energy Type	Total Annual Use	Units	<b>Conversion Multiplier</b>	Thousands BTU	Total Annual Cost (\$)
Electricity	1835700.0	kWh	3.412	6263408.291	282000.0
Chilled Water	103000.0	Ton-hour	12.0	1236000.0	12154.0
Utility District Steam	4.073	Mlbs	1194000.0	4863161.945	42000.0
			Total	12362570	336154


UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: SCUE

# Shared System Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

No annual summary available.

# Annual Summary for On-Site Renewable Energy Production

No annual summary available.

# Annual Summary for Exported Energy

No annual summary available.

# **Existing Building EUI/ECI**

Building Name	SCUE
Gross Conditioned Square Feet	102050.0
EUI <sub>BLD</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	121.142
EUI <sub>SITE</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	121.142
Site ECI (energy cost index or \$/ft²/yr)	3.294

### Benchmarking

- Benchmarking Source
- Benchmarking Source (Other)
- Year Benchmarked
- Benchmark Site Energy Use Intensity
- Benchmark Site Energy Cost Intensity 0.0 0.0
- ✓ Target Site Energy Intensity
- Target Site Energy Cost
- Annual Energy Savings to Reach Target
- ଙ Annual Cost Savings to Reach Target 0.0
- Additional Comments

0.0

0



13

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: SCUE

# **Building Energy Use by End Use**

Energy Type: Electricity

End Use	Electricity (kWh)	Electricity (kBtu)
Lighting	233000.0	794996
Plug Loads	193000.0	658516
Air Distribution	607000.0	2071084
Water Distribution	8000.0	27296
Other - Snow Melt	788000.0	2688656
Total	1829000	6240548
Total (from annual summary)	1835700	6263408
Difference	-6700	-22860
% Difference	0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Chilled Water

End Use	Chilled Water (Ton-hour)	Chilled Water (kBtu)
Space Cooling	103000.0	1236000
	Total 103000	1236000
Total (from annual	summary) 103000	1236000
1	Difference 0	0
% [	Difference 0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

End Use		Utility District Steam (MIbs)	Utility District Steam (kBtu)
Space Heating		4.045	4829730
	Total	4	4829730
	Total (from annual summary)	4	4863162
	Difference	0	-33432
	% Difference	-1%	-1%

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

# **Building End Use Summary**

End Use	Total Energy Use (kBtu)	% of Total Energy Use (kBtu)
Lighting	794996	6%
Plug Loads	658516	5%
Air Distribution	2071084	17%
Water Distribution	27296	0%
Other	2688656	22%
Space Cooling	1236000	10%
Space Heating	4829730	39%

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



14

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: SCUE

Total 12306278 Total (from annual summary) 12362570 Difference -56292 % Difference 0% 100%



15

# ENERGY SAVINGS OPPORTUNITIES

Building Name: SCUE

Ar	nual Energy	& Cost Sav	ings						
Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure Descrij	otion		Total Cost Savings	Peak Dema Savin (kW)	Elect nd Savir gs (kWh	ricity ngs )	Chilled Water Savings (Ton-hour	Utility District Steam ) Savings (MIbs)
Low Cost and No Cost Recommendations									-
VFD Garage Fans + Controls Adjustement Other; ^1; ^^2 Upgrade operating protocols, calibration, and/or sequencing; ^1; ^^2	Parking Garage \ Economizer High Reset	/FD Fans Limit, Setbacks	s, SAT	17000.0		1840	00.0	1000.0	0.168
Totals (recomm. measures) 17000.0 184000.0 1000.0 0.168									
	Payback wit	h Incentive	s						
Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure cost	Potential incentives	Measure (years)	life Net mo cost	easure	Simple RO (%)	I Sir Pa (w/ inc yea	nple yback ⁄o :entives - ars)	Simple Payback (w/ incentives - years)
Low Cost and No Cost Recommendations									
VFD Garage Fans + Controls Adjustement Other; ^1; ^^2 Upgrade operating protocols, calibration, and/or sequencing; ^1; ^^2	86935.0		20.0 10.0	86935		20%	5.1		5.1
Totals (recomm. measures)	86935.0	0		86935.	0				

#### ^ Status:

- 1. Recommended
- 2. Further Study Recommended
- 3. Not Recommended
- 4. Implemented

- ^^ Modeling/Calculation Approach:
- 1. Spreadsheet Calculations
- 2. Energy Modeling Software
- Note: fields displayed in italics indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

\* Measure Key

French Admin

Target EUI = 68

(Including chilled water energy use from energy modeling)

	203	Current EUI <sup>1</sup> =
298,279	\$	Annual Utility Cost =
2.71	\$	Utility Cost \$/sf =
	1,589	Annual Carbon Emissions (Tons) =
2,259,230	\$	EEM \$ =
00	110,0	Area SF =
20.54	\$	EEM \$/SF =
273,287	\$	Potential Rebates =
2.48	\$	Potential Rebates/SF =
18,810	\$	Audit \$ =
0.171	\$	Audit \$/SF =

			Moote Deviced		EUI		Cost Savings		Carbon		First Cost <sup>2</sup>		Castara	Circula		Detected	Devkeelr	
			Criteria? <sup>7</sup>	New	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction (Tons)	% Savings	Annual Cars Off the Road	\$	\$/sf	Cost per EUI Reduction	Payback	Measure Lifespan	Potential Incentives	w/Incentives
	EEM 1	SF1 CAV to VAV Conversion <sup>3</sup>	Yes	126	77	38%	\$ 92,286	30.9%	655	41%	129	\$ 1,370,371	\$12.5	\$ 17,815	14.8	20	\$ 273,287	11.9
	EEM 2	SF2 CAV to VAV Conversion <sup>4</sup>	Yes	168	35	17%	\$ 45,680	15.3%	296	19%	58	\$ 878,011	\$8.0	\$ 25,078	19.2	20	\$ -	19.2
	EEM 7	Coil and Filter Maintenance	Yes	200	3.0	1%	\$ 7,385	2.5%	24	2%	5	\$ 2,400	\$0.0	\$ 809	0.3	0.5	\$-	0.3
	EEM 10	Replace/Repair Steam Traps <sup>6</sup>	Yes	197	5.4	3%	\$ 5,083	1.7%	49	3%	10	\$ 8,448	\$0.1	\$ 1,576	1.7	10	\$-	1.7
EEMs	Does Not Meet Payback Criteria - Not Required for Compliance with Annex X																	
	EEM 3	VFD Pumps	No	202	1	0%	\$ 2,138	0.7%	7	0%	1	\$ 74,884	\$0.7	\$ 87,172	. 35.0	20	\$ 4,200	33.1
	EEM 4	New LED Lighting System and Controls <sup>8</sup>	No	196	6.9	3%	\$ 17,997	6.0%	50	3%	10	\$ 560,460	\$5.1	\$ 80,783	. 31.1	20	\$ 68,003	27.4
	EEM 6	SF2 VAV Plus Dual Fan Array <sup>5</sup>	No	157	45	22%	\$ 63,898	21.4%	382	24%	75	\$ 1,326,369	\$12.1	\$ 29,29	20.8	20	\$-	20.8
	EEM 11	Solar PV Array - 41 kW (3700 sf Roof Area)	No	201	1.6	1%	\$ 3,860	1.3%	13	1%	3	\$ 164,000	\$1.5	\$ 105,713	42.5	25	\$ 114,800	12.7
							B	undled Measu	ires									
<b>.</b>	Bundle 1	EEM1, EEM 2	Yes	91	112	55%	\$ 137,966	46.3%	951	60%	188	\$ 2,248,382	\$20.4	\$ 20,08	16.3	20	\$ 273,287	14.3
Bundle	Bundle 2	EEM1, EEM2, EEM3, EEM4	Yes	83	120	59%	\$ 158,101	53.0%	1,008	63%	199	\$ 2,883,726	\$26.2	\$ 24,085	18.2	20	\$ 345,490	16.1
	Bundle 3	EEM 1, EEM 3, EEM 4, EEM 6, EEM 7, EEM 10	Yes	68	134	66%	\$ 165,452	55.5%	1,049	66%	207	\$ 2,894,574	\$26.3	\$ 21,574	17.5	20	\$ 335,125	15.5
	Bundle 4	Bundle 3 + PV	Yes	67	136	67%	\$ 169,312	56.8%	1,062	67%	209	\$ 3,058,574	\$27.8	\$ 22,536	18.1	20	\$ 449,925	15.4

1. The French EUI includes the snow melt system as it is relatively small (EUI = 4) compared to other buildings. However, we still recommend submetering the system.

2. First cost includes:

12% for General Conditions

10% for Overhead/Profit

10% for Contingency

3. Includes Induction unit replacements, new DDC controls, and demand controlled ventilation (DCV)

4. Includes terminal unit replacements, new DDC controls, and DCV

5. This is an alternate to EEM 2. This EEM replaces the existing air handler with a new custom unit with fan array.

6. We were unable to verify the number of steam traps per building and/or identify the number in need of repair. We assumed 7 traps for French with 20% needing repair/replacement now, and 5% annual maintenance moving forward. New installed steam traps typically cost \$650-\$1,750 depending on material.

7. "Payback criteria" refers to the Investment Criteria in Annex X. If a measure has a shorter payback than its lifespan, it must be implemented in order to comply with Annex X.

8. There are various LED lighting retrofit options - this one is shown since it has the highest first cost but also the lowest lifecycle cost due to lower maintenance and longer lifespan. The saved material and labor costs usually reduce the payback down to the 10-year range. This option also has the lowest energy use and is the best for meeting the EUI target. Other LED retrofit options would meet the Payback Criteria and be required for Annex X compliance.

#### Appendix C – ASHRAE Level 2 Energy Audit / Cost Estimate Prepared by: Glumac Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS

uilding	EEM #	Measure	Current Conditions	Proposed Changes
French	EEM 1	SF1 Constant Volume (CAV) to Variable Volume (VAV) Conversion	SF1 is a single duct air handler with a VFD installed but no downstream controls to allow for variable flow operation. EF1 serves as the return fan for SF1 and SF2. The perimeter induction units, which receive primary air from SF1, are original and function poorly. The pneumatic controls are original and function poorly; facility staff recieve numerous comfort complaints. Temperature setbacks during unoccupied hours are not implemented because the pnuematic controls have limited functionality. The supply air temperature resets up to 65F when the need for cooling is small. The Heat recovery run around loop no longer functions and is not used. Per discussions with the building mechanic, the outside air duct has been modified as a maintenance workaround, which results in the system providing significantly more outdoor air then is required for ventilation.	Replace the EF-1 motor with an inverter duty-rated motor and install a VFD. Replace the Induction units and replace the pneumatic controls with DDC. Newer induction units can turn down lower and have lower static pressure drops, resulting in fan energy savings. Add the controls and functionality necessary for variable flow operation. This includes one VAV box per exposure per floor being added to the primary air ductwork serving the induction units. Ensure that thermostats are set to 70F heating and 74F cooling. Implement a heating nighttime setback of 65F and a cooling nighttime setback of 80F. Per discussions with the Controls shop, the building has difficulty maintaining occupied setpoint during the day ; this measure will hopefully remedy that issue. Ensure that the supply air temperature resets up to 65F. Remove the heat recovery coil and piping to reduce the fan system static pressure. Remove the current OA intake system (including heat recovery coil) and replace with a typical single intake including an actuated damper to provide minimum OA and economizing. Add a CO2 sensor in the return duct and DCV controls. In addition to the energy savings, this measure will increase occupant comfort and reduce maintenance costs.
	EEM 2	SF2 Constant Volume (CAV) to Variable Volume (VAV) Conversion	SF2 is a single fan dual duct air handler with a VFD installed but no downstream controls to allow for variable flow operation. EF1 serves as the return fan for SF1 and SF2. The dual duct boxes are constant volume units with a single actuator controlling heating and cooling. The pneumatic controls are original and function poorly; facility staff recieve numerous comfort complaints. Temperature setbacks during unoccupied hours are not implemented because the pnuematic controls have limited functionality. The supply air temperature resets up to 60F when the need for cooling is small. The Heat recovery run around loop no longer functions and is not used. The OA damper allows more outside air in then is required for ventilation purposes.	If the SF1 measure above is not implemented, replace the EF-1 motor with an inverter duty-rated motor and install a VFD. Replace the terminal mixing boxes with variable volume dual actuator mixing boxes and replace the pneumatic controls with DDC. Add the controls and functionality necessary for variable flow operation. Ensure that thermostats are set to 70F heating and 74F cooling. Implement a heating nighttime setback of 65F and a cooling nighttime setback of 80F. Adjust the supply air temperature reset to 65F. Remove the heat recovery coil and piping to reduce the fan system static pressure. Remove the three OA intakes and replace with a single intake including an actuated damper to provide minimum OA and economizing. Add a CO2 sensor in the return duct and DCV controls.
	EEM 3	Pump VFDs	The primary chilled water pumps that serve the building and the secondary pumps which serve the AHUs are constant speed. The hot water pumps which serve the AHUs are also constant speed.	Replace the hot water pumps (H-19 and H-20) with variable speed pumps. Replace the secondary chilled water pumps (CCP-1 and CCP-2) with variable speed pumps. Include controls to allow the pumps to reduce the flowrate down to what is needed by the AHUs. This measure does not affect the primary chilled water pumps which serve the buildings.
	EEM 4	LED Lighting System Upgrade	Interior lighting in the building consists of flourescent T8 tube lighting controlled by manual switches. It is common for mechanical rooms to be lit 24/7.	Replace the flourescent fixtures with a new code-compliant LED lighting system. This includes daylighting and occupancy sensors where required. The first cost for this measure assumes the entire system is replaced - this has the highest first cost, lowest maintenance cost and longest system lifespan of LED upgrade options. Cheaper LED upgrade options are available (with lower energy savings) to reduce first cost.
	EEM 6	SF2 CAV to VAV Plus Air Handler with Fan Array	See SF2 measure above.	Implement the changes as described in the SF2 measure above. In addition, remove the existing single fan air handler and replace with a custom unit with a fan array. The fan array saves energy by allowing the fans to control to the hot deck and cold deck individually. It also allows for better fan pressure reset.
	EEM 7	Filter and Coil Maintenance	It was reported to the audit team that hydronic coils in AHUs are seldom cleaned. Some filters are changed out regularly, every 3-6 months, while others are not	Clean hydronic coils annually. Replace the pre-filters every 3 months and the MERV 13 filters every 6 months.
	EEM 8	Potential Envelope Measures	French's building envelope is original construction. The exterior walls are red brick on the exterior with steel framing and 2 inches of rigid insulation on the interior. The membrane roof has 2 inches of rigid insulation with concrete below. It was reported that the perimeter spaces in the building get very cold, partially due to infiltration/leakage and a poorly insulated thermal envelope.	Envelope measures were not included in this study, as other viable measures are available to reach the Target EUI. In general, envelope measures have a very high first cost, are invasive, and do not have reasonable paybacks. They are more commonly implemented as a maintenance measure than an energy reduction measure. If envelope measures are to be explored, the most viable ones would be: 1) Reseal window framing to reduce infiltration/leakage
	EEM 10	Replace/Repair Steam Traps	Steam systems require frequent maintenance and should be inspected annually. While it was reported that the steam traps are in good condition and function relatively well, they don't receive regular scheduled maintenance.	Inspect and maintain the steam system annually to ensure optimal operation. Savings estimates for this measure are based on previous experience with steam traps and industry references.
	EEM 11	Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Array	No PV array currently exists.	This is an extra measure that could be implemented if needed to achieve the Target EUI. For demonstration purposes, 50 kW array reduces the building EUI by 1.6 and requires approximately 3,700 sf of roof space. Roof structural and electrical system capacities need to be verified to ensure viability. If needed the array could most likely go on the roof of Lighty, which will most likely have more capacity in the roof structure and the electrical system. Both French and Lighty have roofs with good solar exposure and availability for solar panels.

French summary: French operates relatively poorly and requires large investments to the HVAC system. The proposed measures bring the EUI very close to the target EUI. French has a smaller snow melt system, so its energy use was not deducted for this study. If additional savings are needed, a PV array may be a viable option. If PV is not viable and additional savings are needed, the last resort would be an envelope measure. This is described in the table below.

# Appendix C – ASHRAE Level 2 Energy Audit / Cost Estimate Prepared by: Glumac Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS





# **OVERVIEW**

### **BUILDING INFORMATION**

French Report Type 1815 Wilson Rd Pullman, WA 99164 Gross Floor Area: Building ID #:

Report 110,000.0 ft<sup>2</sup> 12396 **Project Name WSU Audits** 

**WA Commerce Grants** 

**Report Status:** Report Date: Year Built: Software Release: In Progress 05/27/2021 1967 2021.1.0.1459

# AUDIT TEAM

Glumac 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland, OR 97204 (503) 345-6337

# DATA SUMMARY

This report was generated from data entered into the Building Energy Asset Score (Asset Score) tool, developed by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Asset Score is a national standardized tool for assessing the physical and structural energy efficiency of commercial and multifamily residential buildings. It also facilitates building energy audit data collection and reporting.

This report follows the ASHRAE/ACCA Standard 211P, Standard for Commercial Building Energy Audits. It also includes additional data fields required by specific cities, where applicable. The icons below identify data categories.

SHRAE Level 2 inputs

City specific inputs

If this report is used to comply with a local energy audit ordinance, the fields marked with \* indicate the minimum data to be reported. The audit team listed above is responsible for any information entered and reported through Asset Score. DOE and PNNL do not warranty data accuracy, completeness, legality, and reliability.



# CONTACT INFORMATION AND AUDIT DETAILS

Building Name: French

Sub	mission Information		
୯	Date of Submission	Never	
S	Submission Version	None	
Aud	it Details		
୯	Date of Completion for Level 1 Audit		☑ N/A
ତ	Date of Completion for Level 2 Audit	05/01/2021	
୯	Date of Completion for Level 3 Audit		☑ N/A
S	Year of Last Renovation		☑ N/A
S	Year of Prior Energy Audit		☑ N/A
S	Year Last Commissioned		☑ N/A
C	Additional Comments		

# Audit Team and Building Staff

### **Auditor**

8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Name* Company Name or Organization* Street Address City State Postal Code Phone* Email*	Mike Prier Glumac 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland OR 97204 (503) 345-6337 mprier@glumac.com
Buii ୧ ୧	Name* Company Name or Organization*	Phil Johnson WSU
୍ ତ ତ	City State Postal Code	
ତ ତ	Phone* Email*	(509) 335-9029 philrjohnson@wsu.edu



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: French

# **Building Characteristics**

୯	Gross Floor Area*	110000.0
୯	Spaces Excluded from Gross Floor Area	
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Cooled Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated and Cooled	110000.0
୯	Total Conditioned Floor Area	110000.0
୯	Number of Floors Above-Grade, Conditioned	4
୯	Number of Floors Below-Grade, Conditioned	0
୯	General Building Shape	Rectangular
୯	Building Automation System?	Yes
୯	Historic Building?*	No

# **Use Types**

# Office (Original: Office)

୯	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area Type*	Office	
ତ	Original Intended Use	Office	
୯	Gross Floor Area*	110000.0	
୯	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0	
୯	Number of Occupants*	500	
୯	Use (hours/week)*	50.0	
୯	Use (weeks/year)*	52.0	
୯	Approximate Plug Loads	1.2	
୯	Number of Dwelling Units		⊠ N/A
୯	Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently		⊠ N/A
	Occupied		
ତ	Principal HVAC Type	Other	
୯	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent T8	

# Roofs

# Built-Up with Concrete Deck

- Roof Construction
- Roof R Value

Built-Up with Concrete Deck 4.0



Brick/Stone on Steel Frame

1 (poor = high infiltration/exfiltration)

4.0

0.0

47593.0

Insulated metal

5832.0

# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: French

୯	Roof Condition	Poor
୯	Cool Roof	No
୯	Green Roof	No
୯	Blue Roof	No
୯	Roof Area	25589.0

# Walls

# Brick/Stone on Steel Frame

- Wall Construction
- Above Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- Below Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- Total Exposed Above Grade Wall Area
- Below Grade Wall Area
- Above Grade Demising Wall Area
- ☑ Overall Enclosure Tightness Assessment
- Type of Exterior Door Construction ଙ

# **Windows**

# Metal, Single Pane

Framing Material Metal ତ Window Glass Type Single Pane Fenestration Seal Condition ତ C Window Wall Ratio 0.17000000178813934

# **Foundation Types**

# Slab-On-Grade

- Floor Construction Type
- Ventilated Crawlspace
- R Value

# **Exterior Floors**

None given

2 (bad = higher rate of infiltration/exfiltration)

☑ N/A

Slab-On-Grade No 0.0



Building Name: French

# Lighting

Controls Occupancy Sensor Building Automation System Fixture (^^^); \* Manual Timer Other Photocell Advanced Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3 Yes No No No No No No



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: French

Fixture Locations						
Fixture (^^^); *	Location	Quantity Definition	Area Served (%)	Area Served (ft <sup>2</sup> )		
Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3						
	Office (Original: Office)	% Area Served	100.0	0.0		

#### \* Fixture Key

^^^ Ballast Type:

- 1. Premium Electronic
- 2. Standard Electronic
- 3. Magnetic
- 4. N/A

# **Heating Plants**

# Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam

୯	Heating Plant Type	Utility District Steam
C	Fuel Type	Utility District Steam
	Controls	
C	Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
C	Direct Digital (DDC)	No
C	Pneumatic	Yes

# **Cooling Plants**

# District Chilled Water, Chilled Water

୯	Cooling Plant Type	District Chilled Water
C	Fuel Type	Chilled Water
	Controls	
୯	Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
S	Direct Digital (DDC)	No
୯	Pneumatic	Yes

# **Condenser Plants**

None given



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: French

# **HVAC Systems**

# HVAC System 20520

	Heating	
S	Heating Source	Plant
S	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	
୯	Cooling Source	Plant
S	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
S	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan
S	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
S	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
୯	Other Central Distribution Type	
S	Fan Control	Constant Volume
S	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None
S	Outdoor Air Control	Temperature Economizer
	Zone Controls	
S	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	No
S	Pneumatic Control	Yes
S	Manual Thermostat	Yes
S	Programmable Thermostat	No
S	None	No
HVA	C System 20521	
	Heating	
S	Heating Source	Plant
୯	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	
S	Cooling Source	Plant
S	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
୯	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan
୯	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
S	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
୯	Other Central Distribution Type	
S	Fan Control	Constant Volume
C	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: French

# **Service Hot Water Systems**

### Plant

<b>(</b>	System Type	Plant
----------	-------------	-------

# **Process Loads: Renewables**

	Solar Thermal System	No
	Solar PV System	No
	Wind System	No
Â	None	No

Peak Generating Capacity

# **Process Loads: Backup Generation**

System Type

# **Process Loads: Data Centers**

- 👛 Total Area
- Metered Space
- Connected Load
- UPS Capacity
- 👛 PUE

No



9

# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: French

# **Process Loads: Commercial Kitchens**

- Connected Load
- Total Area of Commercial Kitchen



11

# UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: French

# Metered Energy Supply Source Details

None given

# **Energy Reporting Years**

Start Date	End Date	Metering entries	Delivery entries
06/01/2018	05/31/2019	2	0
06/01/2018	05/31/2019	2	0

# **Metered Energy**

### Energy Type: Electricity

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (kWh)	Cost (\$)	Peak (kW)	Load Factor	kWh / day	kBtu / day	
06/01/2018	06/01/2020	732	3984662.0	330704.0		0%	5444	18573	
Average Annual Total		2141862	165352	0	0%				
Energy Type: Utility District Steam									

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (MIbs)	Cost (\$)	MIbs / day	kBtu / day
06/01/2018	06/01/2020	732	19.361	203318.0	0	31581
Average Annual Total		10	101659			

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

# **Delivered Energy**

None given

# Building Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

Energy Type	Total Annual Use	Units	<b>Conversion Multiplier</b>	Thousands BTU	Total Annual Cost (\$)
Electricity	2141862.0	kWh	3.412	7308033.017	165352.0
Utility District Steam	9.879	Mlbs	1194000.0	11795525.654	101659.0
Chilled Water	264981.0	Ton-hour	12.0 3179772.0		31268.0
			То	tal 22283331	298279



UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: French

# Shared System Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

No annual summary available.

# Annual Summary for On-Site Renewable Energy Production

No annual summary available.

# Annual Summary for Exported Energy

No annual summary available.

# **Existing Building EUI/ECI**

Building Name	French
Gross Conditioned Square Feet	110000.0
EUI <sub>BLD</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	202.576
EUI <sub>SITE</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	202.576
Site ECI (energy cost index or \$/ft²/yr)	2.712

### Benchmarking

- Benchmarking Source
- Benchmarking Source (Other)
- Year Benchmarked
- Benchmark Site Energy Use Intensity
- Benchmark Site Energy Cost Intensity 0.0 0.0
- ✓ Target Site Energy Intensity
- Target Site Energy Cost
- Annual Energy Savings to Reach Target
- ଙ Annual Cost Savings to Reach Target 0.0
- Additional Comments

0.0

0



13

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: French

# **Building Energy Use by End Use**

#### Energy Type: Electricity

End Use		Electricity (kWh)	Electricity (kBtu)
Lighting		422083.0	1440147
Plug Loads		239700.0	817856
Air Distribution		1101768.0	3759232
Water Distribution		131418.0	448398
Process Loads		246893.0	842399
	Total	2141862	7308033
	Total (from annual summary)	2141862	7308033
	Difference	0	0
	% Difference	0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Chilled Water

End Use	Chilled Water (Ton-hour)	Chilled Water (kBtu)
Space Cooling	264981.0	3179772
Total	264981	3179772
Total (from annual summary)	264981	3179772
Difference	0	0
% Difference	0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

End Use	Utility District Steam (MIbs)	Utility District Steam (kBtu)
Space Heating	9.879	11795526
Total	10	11795526
Total (from annual summary)	10	11795526
Difference	0	0
% Difference	0%	0%

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

# **Building End Use Summary**

End Use	Total Energy Use (kBtu)	% of Total Energy Use (kBtu)
Lighting	1440147	6%
Plug Loads	817856	4%
Air Distribution	3759232	17%
Water Distribution	448398	2%
Process Loads	842399	4%
Space Cooling	3179772	14%
Space Heating	11795526	53%

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



14

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: French

Total22283331Total (from annual summary)22283331Difference0% Difference0%

100%



15

# ENERGY SAVINGS OPPORTUNITIES

Building Name: French

	Annual Energy	& Cost Sav	ings						
Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure Desc	ription		Total Cos Savings	st Peak Dema Savir (kW)	and ıgs	Electricity Savings (kWh)	Utility District Steam Savings (Mlbs)	Chilled Water Savings (Ton-hour)
Potential Capital Recommendations						I			
SE4 and SE2 Detrofit				146007.0			699652.0	0.104	79525.0
	OF1 Detrofit			140027.0			0000000.0	0.124	76555.0
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^2	SF1 Retrofit								
All HVAC Capital Measures				162673.0			954235.0	7.658	86478.0
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^^2	SF1 Retrofit								
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^^2	SF2 Retrofit								
Replace with variable speed pump; ^3; ~2	Replace with V	FD Pumps Occupancy Se	neore						
		Occupancy de	113013						
Capital Measures + Maintenance				169887.0			1018403.0	7.907	83883.0
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^^2	SF1 Retrofit								
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^^2	SF2 Retrofit								
Replace with variable speed pump; ^3; ^^2	Replace with V	FD Pumps							
Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2	LED Lighting +	Occupancy Se	ensors						
Clean and/or repair; 11; 112	Maintenance	nent and Coll							
Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2	Steam Traps M	laintenance							
Totals (recomm. measures)	Pavback with	h Incentive	s	478587.0	0		2661291.0	23.689	248896.0
Package Name	Measure	Potential	Measure	life Net I	neasure	Simpl	le ROI S	imple avback	Simple Pavback (w/
	COST	lincentives	(years)				(v in ve	v/o centives - ears)	incentives - years)
Potential Capital Recommendations								,	
SF1 and SF2 Retrofit				2248	382	6%	1	54	15.4
Replace or modify AHU: ^1: ^^2	1370371.0		25.0						
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^2	878011.0		25.0						
					700	001			
All HVAC Capital measures				2883	/20	0%	1	(.1	17.7
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^^2	1370371.0		25.0						
Replace with variable speed nump: A3: AA2	74884.0		25.0						
Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies: ^1: ^^2	560460.0		20.0						
Capital Measures + Maintenance				2886	126	6%	1	7.0	17.0
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^^2	1370371.0		25.0						
Replace or modify AHU; ^1; ^^2	878011.0		25.0						
Replace with variable speed pump; ^3; ^2	74884.0		20.0						
Clean and/or repair: A1: A2	2400.0		20.0						
Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2	2400.0		5.0						
							I		
i otais (recomm. measures)	8018234.0	U		8018	∠34.0				

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



16

# ENERGY SAVINGS PPORTUNITIES

#### Building Name: French

#### \* Measure Key

- ^ Status:
  - 1. Recommended 2. Further Study Recommended
  - 3. Not Recommended

  - 4. Implemented

- ^^ Modeling/Calculation Approach:
  - 1. Spreadsheet Calculations
- 2. Energy Modeling Software

Note: fields displayed in italics indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

#### Lighty Student Services

- Target EUI = 68
- Current EUI = 107
- Current EUI w/o Snow Melt<sup>1</sup> = **79** 
  - Annual Utility Cost = \$ 135,243
- Utility Cost \$/sf = \$
- Annual Carbon Emissions (Tons) = 722
  - EEM \$ = **\$ 123,225**
  - Area SF = **94,924**
  - EEM \$/SF = **\$ 1.30**
  - Potential Rebates = \$ 29,164
  - Potential Rebates/SF = \$ 0.31
    - Audit \$ = **\$ 17,086** 
      - Audit \$/SF = \$ 0.180

1.42

Target EUI prorated - See calculation below. This approach was approved by WA Commerce.

(Including chilled water energy use from energy modeling)

Snow melt EUI is estimated based on controls and metered energy data.

			Meets Pavback		EUI		Cost	Savings		Carbon			First	Cost <sup>2</sup>	Cost per	Simple	Measure	Potentia	Payback
		Criteria? <sup>7</sup>	New	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction (Tons)	% Savings	Annual Cars Off the Road	\$		\$/sf	EUI Reductio	Payback	Lifespan	Incentives	w/Incenti ves	
	EEM 5	Demand Controlled Ventilation <sup>3</sup>	Yes	82	11	10%	\$ 9,216	6.8%	82	11%	416	\$ 4	2,240	\$ 0.44	\$ 3,83	L 4.6	15	\$ 29,3	64 1.4
	EEM 10	Replace/Repair Steam Traps <sup>5</sup>	Yes	92	1	1%	\$ 1,191	0.9%	12	2%	59	\$	7,392	\$ 0.08	\$ 5,07	6.2	10	\$	6.2
	EEM 9	Retro-Commissioning <sup>4</sup>	Yes	80	14	13%	\$ 13,275	9.8%	99	14%	503	\$ 7	L,193	\$ 0.75	\$ 5,17	5.4	10	\$	5.4
EEMs	EEM 7	Coil and Filter Maintenance	Yes	92	1.2	1%	\$ 2,471	1.8%	8	1%	41	\$	2,400	\$ 0.03	\$ 2,08	5 1.0	2	\$	1.0
	Does Not Meet Payback Criteria - Not Required for Compliance with Annex X																		
	EEM 3	VFD Pumps	No	92	1	1%	\$ 2,728	2.0%	9	1%	46	\$ 10	L,336	\$ 1.07	\$ 79,77	37.1	20	\$ 4,7	00 35.4
	EEM 4	New LED Lighting System and Controls <sup>6</sup>	No	85	8	8%	\$ 16,420	12.1%	45	6%	226	\$ 48	3,646	\$ 5.10	\$ 60,04	1 29.5	20	\$ 68,0	03 25.3
Bundles								Bundled Me	asures										
	Bundle 1	EEM 4, EEM 5, EEM 9, EEM 10	Yes	63	31	29%	\$ 36,092	26.7%	214	30%	1,084	\$ 60	1,471	\$ 6.37	\$ 19,57	6 16.7	20	\$ 97,3	67 14.1
	Bundle 2	EEM 4, EEM 5, EEM 7, EEM 9, EEM 10	Yes	62	32	30%	\$ 38,316	28.3%	221	31%	1,121	\$ 60	5,871	\$ 6.39	\$ 19,01	5 15.8	20	\$ 97,3	67 13.3

1. Commerce will allow energy use from the snow melt system to be deducted if it is properly submetered. The snow melt system has a large EUI for Lighty and we recommend submetering this system.

2. First cost includes:

12% for General Conditions

10% for Overhead/Profit

10% for Contingency

3. This measure can be independent of EEM 9 but would ideally be implemented with it.

4. This assumes the entire building undergoes retro-commissioning. This measure is compatible with EEM 5 but would ideally be implemented before EEM 5.

5. We were unable to verify the number of steam traps per building and/or identify the number in need of repair. We assumed 7 traps for Lighty with 20% needing repair/replacement now, and 5% annual maintenance moving forward. New installed steam traps typically cost \$650-\$1,750 depending on material. 6. There are various LED lighting retrofit options - this one is shown since it has the highest first cost but also the lowest lifecycle cost due to lower maintenance and longer lifespan. It also has the lowest energy use and is the best for meeting the EUI target. Other LED retrofit options would meet the Payback Criteria and be required for Annex X compliance.

7. "Payback criteria" refers to the Investment Criteria in Annex X. If a measure has a shorter payback than its lifespan, it must be implemented in order to comply with Annex X.

Lighty summary:	Lighty ope complianc	erates relatively well compared to its EUI ta e.	rget. Similarly to SCUE, Lighty has a large snow melt system. Deducting this energy use (via p	proper metering) in tandem with implementing some energy efficiency measures should bring the building into
Building	EEM #	Measure	Current Conditions	Proposed Changes
	EEM 3	Pump VFDs	The primary chilled water pumps that serve the building and the secondary pumps which serve the AHUs are constant speed. The hot water pumps which serve the AHUs are also constant speed.	Replace the hot water pumps (Pumps 6, 7, 8, 9) with variable speed pumps. Replace the secondary chilled water pumps (Circ Pump - 1, 2, 3,4) with variable speed pumps. Include controls to allow the pumps to reduce the flowrate down to what is needed by the AHUs. This measure does not affect the primary chilled water pumps which serve the buildings.
	EEM 4	LED Lighting System Upgrade	Interior lighting in the building consists of flourescent T8 tube lighting controlled by manual switches. It is common for mechanical rooms to be lit 24/7. There is a centralized lighting controller which sweeps off ~75% of the lights in the common spaces and conference rooms.	Replace the flourescent fixtures with a new code-compliant LED lighting system. This includes daylighting and occupancy sensors where required. The first cost for this measure assumes the entire system is replaced - this has the highest first cost, lowest maintenance cost and longest system lifespan of LED upgrade options. Cheaper LED upgrade options are available (with lower energy savings) to reduce first cost.
	EEM 5	Demand Controlled Ventilation (DCV)	None of the four AHUs that serve Lighty have DCV functionality.	Install CO2 sensors in the return ducts of AHUs 1, 2, and 4. The units will modulate the amount of outdoor ventilation air based on a maximum allowable CO2 reading of 1200 parts per million (ppm).
Lighty	EEM 7	Filter and Coil Maintenance	It was reported to the audit team that hydronic coils in AHUs are seldom cleaned. Some filters are changed out regularly, every 3-6 months, while others are not.	Clean hydronic coils annually. Replace the pre-filters every 3 months and the MERV 13 filters every 6 months.
-6,	EEM 9	Retro-Commissioning	Lighty is almost 20 years old and has DDC controls. It is likely that some controls components are no longer working as intended and some controls strategies are outdated. AHUs 2 and 3 run 24/7. The supply air temperature is 55F during the summer and resets up to 60F when there is minimal need for cooling.	Retro-commission the building to find equipment and controls that are not functioning properly and implement the necessary fixes. This would include turning off AHU 2 and 3 during the nighttime and reviewing the SAT reset strategy. It would also look at the OA dampers to make sure they are functioning properly. The first costs and energy savings from this measure include not just the actual retro-commissioning but also implementing the fixes.
	EEM 10	Replace/Repair Steam Traps	Steam systems require frequent maintenance and should be inspected annually. While it was reported that the steam traps are in good condition and function relatively well, they don't receive regular scheduled maintenance.	Inspect and maintain the steam system annually to ensure optimal operation. Savings estimates for this measure are based on previous experience with steam traps and industry references.





# OVERVIEW

### **BUILDING INFORMATION**

**Lighty** 1815 Wilson Rd Pullman, WA 99164 Report Type

Gross Floor Area: Building ID #: Project Name WA Commerce Grants Report 94,924.0 ft<sup>2</sup> 12401 WSU Audits Report Status: Report Date: Year Built: Software Release: In Progress 05/27/2021 1996 2021.1.0.1459

# AUDIT TEAM

**Glumac** 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland, OR 97204 (503) 345-6337

# **DATA SUMMARY**

This report was generated from data entered into the Building Energy Asset Score (Asset Score) tool, developed by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Asset Score is a national standardized tool for assessing the physical and structural energy efficiency of commercial and multifamily residential buildings. It also facilitates building energy audit data collection and reporting.

This report follows the ASHRAE/ACCA Standard 211P, Standard for Commercial Building Energy Audits. It also includes additional data fields required by specific cities, where applicable. The icons below identify data categories.

SHRAE Level 2 inputs

City specific inputs

If this report is used to comply with a local energy audit ordinance, the fields marked with \* indicate the minimum data to be reported. The audit team listed above is responsible for any information entered and reported through Asset Score. DOE and PNNL do not warranty data accuracy, completeness, legality, and reliability.



# CONTACT INFORMATION AND AUDIT DETAILS

Building Name: Lighty

Sub	mission Information		
୯	Date of Submission	Never	
S	Submission Version	None	
Aud	it Details		
C	Date of Completion for Level 1 Audit	⊠ N/A	
ତ	Date of Completion for Level 2 Audit	05/01/2021	
୯	Date of Completion for Level 3 Audit	⊠ N/A	
S	Year of Last Renovation	⊠ N/A	
S	Year of Prior Energy Audit	⊠ N/A	
S	Year Last Commissioned	⊠ N/A	
S	Additional Comments		

# Audit Team and Building Staff

### **Auditor**

ର	Name* Company Name or Organization* Street Address City State Postal Code Phone* Email*	Mike Prier Glumac 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland OR 97204 (503) 345-6337 mprier@glumac.com
Buil	ding Owner	Phil Johnson
୍	Company Name or Organization*	WSU
୯	Street Address	
୯	City	
C	State	
S	Postal Code	
S	Phone*	(509) 335-9029
S	Email*	philrjohnson@wsu.edu



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Lighty

# **Building Characteristics**

ତ	Gross Floor Area*	94924.0
୯	Spaces Excluded from Gross Floor Area	
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Cooled Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated and Cooled	94924.0
୯	Total Conditioned Floor Area	94924.0
୯	Number of Floors Above-Grade, Conditioned	4
୯	Number of Floors Below-Grade, Conditioned	0
୯	General Building Shape	Rectangular
୯	Building Automation System?	Yes
୯	Historic Building?*	No

# **Use Types**

#### Office

୯	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area Type*	Office	
୯	Original Intended Use		
୯	Gross Floor Area*	94924.0	
୯	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0	
୯	Number of Occupants*	800	
୯	Use (hours/week)*	50.0	
୯	Use (weeks/year)*	52.0	
୯	Approximate Plug Loads	1.2	
୯	Number of Dwelling Units		⊠ N/A
୯	Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently		⊠ N/A
	Occupied		
ତ	Principal HVAC Type	VAV with Hot Water	Reheat
ତ	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent T8	

# Roofs

### **Built-Up with Concrete Deck**

- Roof Construction
- Roof R Value

Built-Up with Concrete Deck 30.0



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Lighty

C	Roof Condition	Good
C	Cool Roof	No
୯	Green Roof	No
୯	Blue Roof	No
C	Roof Area	25692.0

### Walls

# Brick/Stone on Steel Frame

- Wall Construction
- Above Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- Below Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- ☑ Total Exposed Above Grade Wall Area
- Below Grade Wall Area
- Above Grade Demising Wall Area
- Overall Enclosure Tightness Assessment
- ✓ Type of Exterior Door Construction

# Windows

### Metal, Double Pane

- Framing Material
- Window Glass Type
- Fenestration Seal Condition
- ✓ Window Wall Ratio

# **Foundation Types**

# Slab-On-Grade

- Floor Construction Type
- ✓ Ventilated Crawlspace
- R Value

# **Exterior Floors**

Brick/Stone on Steel Frame 9.0 ☑ N/A 51151.0

0.0 0.0 3 (standard = normal rate of infiltration/exfiltration) Insulated metal

Metal Double Pane 3 (standard = normal rate of infiltration/exfiltration)

### 0.25999999046325684

Slab-On-Grade No 0.0



5

# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Lighty

# None given

# Lighting

Controls							
Fixture (^^^); *	Manual	Photocell	Timer	Occupancy Sensor	Building Automation System	Advanced	Other
Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No



Building Name: Lighty

Fixture Locations				
Fixture (^^^); *	Location	Quantity Definition	Area Served (%)	Area Served (ft <sup>2</sup> )
Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3				
	Office	% Area Served	100.0	0.0

#### \* Fixture Key

^^^ Ballast Type:

- 1. Premium Electronic
- 2. Standard Electronic
- 3. Magnetic
- 4. N/A

# **Heating Plants**

# Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam

C	Heating Plant Type	Utility District Steam
୯	Fuel Type	Utility District Steam
	Controls	
C	Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
C	Direct Digital (DDC)	No
C	Pneumatic	Yes

# **Cooling Plants**

### District Chilled Water, Chilled Water

Cooling Plant Type	District Chilled Water
Fuel Type	Chilled Water
Controls	
Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
Direct Digital (DDC)	No
Pneumatic	Yes
	Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type <b>Controls</b> Building Automation System (BAS) Direct Digital (DDC) Pneumatic

# **Condenser Plants**

None given



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Lighty

# **HVAC Systems**

# HVAC System 20629

	Heating	
ତ	Heating Source	Plant
୯	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	
ତ	Cooling Source	Plant
C	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
୯	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan
୯	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
C	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
S	Other Central Distribution Type	
ତ	Fan Control	Variable Volume
S	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None
Q	Outdoor Air Control	Temperature Economizer
	Zone Controls	
C	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	Yes
R	Pneumatic Control	No
C	Manual Thermostat	No
S	Programmable Thermostat	No
S	None	No
HVA	C System 20630	
	Heating	
ତ	Heating Source	Plant
୯	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	
୯	Cooling Source	Plant
୯	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
୯	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan
S	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
ତ	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
S	Other Central Distribution Type	
R	Fan Control	Variable Volume
C	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None



8

# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Lighty

ତ	Outdoor Air Control	Temperature Economizer
-	Zone Controls	
S.	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	Yes
S S	Pneumatic Control	No
S S	Manual Thermostat	No
S C	Programmable Thermostat	No
C	None	NO
HVA	C System 20631	
	Heating	
S	Heating Source	Plant
S	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	
S	Cooling Source	Plant
S	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
S	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan
S	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
S	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
S	Other Central Distribution Type	
S	Fan Control	Variable Volume
S.	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None
Q	Outdoor Air Control	Temperature Economizer
_	Zone Controls	
© S	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	Yes
S.	Pneumatic Control	No
☑	Manual Thermostat	No
S S	Programmable Thermostat	No
U	None	No
HVA	C System 20632	
	Heating	
S	Heating Source	Plant
S	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	-
ତ	Cooling Source	Plant
୯	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
ଙ	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



9

# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

#### Building Name: Lighty

C	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
Ś	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
୯	Other Central Distribution Type	
୯	Fan Control	Variable Volume
S	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None
C	Outdoor Air Control	Temperature Economizer
	Zone Controls	
C	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	Yes
C	Pneumatic Control	No
C	Manual Thermostat	No
୯	Programmable Thermostat	No
C	None	No

# Service Hot Water Systems

Plant			
C	System Type	Plant	

### **Process Loads: Renewables**

Ĥ	Solar Thermal System	No
	Solar PV System	No
	Wind System	No
Â	None	No
-		

Peak Generating Capacity

# **Process Loads: Backup Generation**

System Type

# **Process Loads: Data Centers**

Total Area



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

No

Building Name: Lighty

- Metered Space
- Connected Load
- UPS Capacity
- 🛎 PUE

# **Process Loads: Commercial Kitchens**

- Connected Load
- Total Area of Commercial Kitchen



12

# UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: Lighty

# Metered Energy Supply Source Details

None given

# **Energy Reporting Years**

Start Date	End Date	Metering entries	Delivery entries
07/01/2018	06/30/2019	2	0
07/01/2019	06/30/2020	0	0

# **Metered Energy**

### Energy Type: Electricity

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (kWh)	Cost (\$)	Peak (kW)	Load Factor	kWh / day	kBtu / day
07/01/2018	07/01/2020	732	2009229.0	148700.0		0%	2745	9365
	Averag	e Annual Total	1008385	74350	0	0%		
Energy Ty	<b>pe:</b> Utility Dis	trict Steam						
Start Date	End Date	e [	Davs	Use (Mibs)	Cost (\$)	Mibs /	dav	kBtu / dav

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (MIbs)	Cost (\$)	Mlbs / day	kBtu / day
07/01/2018	07/01/2020	732	8.845	92760.0	0	14427
	Average Annual Total 4		4	46380		

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

# **Delivered Energy**

None given

# Building Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

Energy Type	Total Annual Use	Units	<b>Conversion Multiplier</b>	Thousands BTU	Total Annual Cost (\$)
Electricity	1008385.0	kWh	3.412	3440609.56	74350.0
Utility District Steam	4.433	Mlbs	1194000.0	5293002.105	46380.0
Chilled Water	122983.0	Ton-hour	12.0	1475796.0	14512.0
			То	otal 10209408	135242



# UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: Lighty

# Shared System Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

No annual summary available.

# Annual Summary for On-Site Renewable Energy Production

No annual summary available.

# Annual Summary for Exported Energy

No annual summary available.

# **Existing Building EUI/ECI**

Building Name	Lighty
Gross Conditioned Square Feet	94924.0
EUI <sub>BLD</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	107.553
EUI <sub>SITE</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	107.553
Site ECI (energy cost index or \$/ft²/yr)	1.425

# Benchmarking

- Benchmarking Source
- Benchmarking Source (Other)
- Year Benchmarked
- Benchmark Site Energy Use Intensity
- Benchmark Site Energy Cost Intensity 0.0 0.0
- ✓ Target Site Energy Intensity
- Target Site Energy Cost
- Annual Energy Savings to Reach Target
- ଙ Annual Cost Savings to Reach Target 0.0
- Additional Comments

0.0

0



14

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: Lighty

# **Building Energy Use by End Use**

Energy Type: Electricity

End Use		Electricity (kWh)	Electricity (kBtu)
Lighting		318113.0	1085402
Plug Loads		188400.0	642821
Air Distribution		368650.0	1257834
Water Distribution		87922.0	299990
	Total	963085	3286046
	Total (from annual summary)	1008385	3440610
	Difference	-45300	-154564
	% Difference	-4%	-4%

#### Energy Type: Chilled Water

End Use		Chilled Water (Ton-hour)	Chilled Water (kBtu)
Space Cooling		122983.0	1475796
	Total	122983	1475796
	Total (from annual summary)	122983	1475796
	Difference	0	0
	% Difference	0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

End Use		Utility District Steam (MIbs)	Utility District Steam (kBtu)
Space Heating		2.315	2764110
Other - Snow Melt		2.192	2617248
	Total	5	5381358
	Total (from annual summary)	4	5293002
	Difference	0	88356
	% Difference	2%	2%

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

# **Building End Use Summary**

End Use	Total Energy Use (kBtu)	% of Total Energy Use (kBtu)	
Lighting	1085402	11%	
Plug Loads	642821	6%	
Air Distribution	1257834	12%	
Water Distribution	299990	3%	
Space Cooling	1475796	15%	
Space Heating	2764110	27%	
Other	2617248	26%	

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov


15

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: Lighty

Total 10143200 Total (from annual summary) 10209408 Difference -66208 % Difference -1% 100%



16

### ENERGY SAVINGS OPPORTUNITIES

Building Name: Lighty

Α	nnual Energy	& Cost Sav	ings						
Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure Des	scription		Total C Saving	cost F  s [	Peak Demand Savings kW)	Electricit Savings (kWh)	y Utility District Steam Savings (MIbs)	Chilled Water Savings (Ton-hour)
Potential Capital Recommendations									
Capital Measures Replace with variable speed pump; ^3; ^^2 Retrofit with T-8; ^1; ^^2 Install demand control ventilation; ^1; ^^2	VFD Pumps LED Lighting DCV for AHU	+ Occupancy S s 1, 2, 4	ensors	36264.	0		248850.0	1.414	21165.0
Capital Measures + RCx + Maintenance 1 Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2 Install demand control ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Other; ^1; ^^2 Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2	LED Lighting DCV for AHU Retro-Commi Steam Trap M	+ Occupancy S s 1, 2, 4 ssioning laintenance	ensors	43606.	0		251755.0	1.988	31432.0
Capital Measures + Maintenance 2 Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2 Install demand control ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Other; ^1; ^^2 ; ^1; ^^2 Other; ^1; ^^2	LED Lighting DCV for AHU Retro-Commi Steam Trap N Filter Replace	+ Occupancy S s 1, 2, 4 ssioning laintenance ements	ensors	45830.	0		280564.0	1.988	31432.0
Totals (recomm. measures)	Payback wit	h Incentive	s	125700	).0 (	)	781169.0	5.39	84029.0
Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure cost	Potential incentives	Meas (years	ure life s)	Net m cost	easure	Simple ROI (%)	Simple Payback (w/o incentives - vears)	Simple Payback (w/ incentives - years)
Potential Capital Recommendations								<b>y</b> e e y	
Capital Measures Replace with variable speed pump; ^3; ^^2 Retrofit with T-8; ^1; ^^2 Install demand control ventilation; ^1; ^^2	101336.0 483646.0 32000.0		20.0 20.0 20.0		61698	2	6%	17.0	17.0
Capital Measures + RCx + Maintenance 1 Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2 Install demand control ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Other; ^1; ^^2 Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2	483646.0 32000.0 71193.0		20.0 20.0 10.0 5.0		58683	9	7%	13.5	13.5
Capital Measures + Maintenance 2 Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2 Install demand control ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Other; ^1; ^^2 ; ^1; ^^2 Other; ^1; ^^2	483646.0 32000.0 71193.0 2400.0		20.0 20.0 10.0 5.0 0.5		58923	9	8%	12.9	12.9
Totals (recomm. measures)	1793060.0	0			17930	60.0			

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



> ENERGY SAVINGS OPPORTUNITIES

#### Building Name: Lighty

#### \* Measure Key

- ^ Status:
  - 1. Recommended
  - 2. Further Study Recommended
  - 3. Not Recommended
- 4. Implemented

- ^^ Modeling/Calculation Approach:
  - 1. Spreadsheet Calculations
- 2. Energy Modeling Software

Note: fields displayed in italics indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

#### Eastlick Hall Target EUI = 183

Current ELLI = 196

Target EUI prorated - See calculation below. This approach was approved by WA Commerce.

(Including chilled water energy use from energy modeling) 553

	- 10	Cui
337,553	ost =	Annual Uti
2.74	/sf =	Utility (
723	ns) =	l Carbon Emissior
2,334,705	VI \$ =	
3,241	a SF =	
18.94	/SF =	E
90,811	tes =	Potentia
0.74	/SF =	Potential Re
33,275	it Ś =	

Annua

Audit \$/SF = \$ 0.270

			Meets Pavback		EUI		Cost S	avings		Carbon			Cost per FUI	Simple	Measure	Potential	Pavha
		Criteria? <sup>6</sup>	New	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction (Tons)	% Savings	Annual Cars Off the Road	First Cost <sup>1</sup>	Reduction	Payback	Lifespan	Incentives	w/Incen	
	EEM 1	Low Flow Fume Hoods <sup>2</sup>	Yes	184	13	6%	\$ 19,731	5.8%	97	6%	19	\$ 431,640	\$ 34,227	21.9	30	\$-	21.9
	EEM 2	AHU 1 and Exhaust Fans Variable Flow Lab Conversion	Yes	146	50	25%	\$ 75,721	22.4%	431	25%	85	\$ 1,391,174	\$ 27,847	18.4	20	\$-	18.4
	EEM 3	Replace / Repair Steam Traps <sup>7</sup>	Yes	192	4	2.1%	\$ 4,299	1.3%	42	2%	8	\$ 21,000	\$ 5,189	4.9	10	\$-	4.9
	EEM 6a	AHU 4 VFD Retrofit <sup>10</sup>	Yes	195	0.9	0.5%	\$ 2,547	0.8%	18	1%	3	\$ 16,800	\$ 18,389	6.6	20	\$ 15,000	0.7
	EEM 7a	AHU 6 VFD Retrofit <sup>10</sup>	Yes	195	0.8	0.4%	\$ 2,146	0.6%	52	3%	10	\$ 16,800	\$ 21,820	7.8	20	\$ 15,000	0.8
	EEM 8	Retro - Commissioning <sup>3</sup>	Yes	182	14	7%	\$ 21,360	6.3%	121	7%	24	\$ 123,241	\$ 8,706	5.8	10	\$-	5.8
	EEM 9	DDC Controls <sup>8</sup>	Yes	179	17	9%	\$ 24,960	7.4%	54	3%	11	\$ 331,650	\$ 19,169	13.3	20	\$ 60,811	10.9
EEMs	EEM 10	Filters Replacements and Coil Maintenance <sup>5</sup>	Yes	193	3	1.4%	\$ 7,665	2.3%	25	1%	5	\$ 2,400	\$ 873	0.3	0.5	\$-	0.3
	EEM 12	Turn Off AHU7	Yes	193	3	1.7%	\$ 3,726	1.1%	33	2%	7	\$-	\$-	-	-	-	-
	Does Not Meet Payback Criteria - Not Required for Compliance with Annex X																
	EEM 4	Convert All Non-Research Lab AHU's (3, 4, 6) to Full VAV	No	183	13	7%	\$ 23,448	6.9%	97	6%	19	\$ 1,580,964	\$ 122,893	67.4	20	\$ 50,000	65.3
	EEM 5	AHU 3 Full VAV Conversion <sup>9</sup>	No	194	3	1%	\$ 3,347	1.0%	18	1%	3	\$ 490,274	\$ 187,453	146.5	20	\$ 14,000	142.
	EEM 6	AHU 4 Full VAV Conversion <sup>9</sup>	No	190	6	3%	\$ 11,500	3.4%	52	3%	10	\$ 420,301	\$ 67,629	36.5	20	\$ 18,000	35.0
	EEM 7	AHU 6 Full VAV Conversion <sup>9</sup>	No	190	6.5	3%	\$ 11,120	3.3%	54	3%	11	\$ 651,631	\$ 99,793	58.6	20	\$ 18,000	57.0
	EEM 11	LED Lighting System and Controls <sup>4</sup>	No	189	8	4%	\$ 16,555	4.9%	54	3%	11	\$ 367,525	\$ 48,962	22.2	20	\$ 32,580	20.2
							Bund	led Measures									
Bundles	Bundle 1	EEM 3, EEM 10, EEM 11, EEM 12	Yes	179	18	9%	\$ 32,244	9.6%	154	9%	30	\$ 390,925	\$ 22,184	12.1	20	\$ 32,580	11.1
	Bundle 2	EEM 2, EEM 3, EEM 10, EEM 11, EEM 12	Yes	129	68	34%	\$ 107,966	32.0%	585	34%	115	\$ 1,782,099	\$ 26,371	16.5	21	\$ 32,580	16.2

1) First cost includes:

12% for General Conditions 10% for Overhead/Profit

10% for Contingency

2) This measure meets payback criteria for Annex X compliance, but could be deferred since existing fume hoods still have useful life

3) This assumes the entire building undergoes retro-commissioning. There is a phasing component to consider - it would not be done for systems that will be undergroing retrofits in the near future.

4) There are various LED lighting retrofit options - this one is shown since it has the highest first cost but also the lowest energy use and is the best for meeting the EUI target. Other LED retrofit options would meet the Payback Criteria and be required for Annex X compliance.

5) This is a routine maintenance measure and is shown to highlight the potential energy savings of this low-cost/no-cost measure.

6) "Payback criteria" refers to the Investment Criteria in Annex X. If a measure has a shorter payback than its lifespan, it must be implemented in order to comply with Annex X

7) We were unable to verify the number of steam traps per building and/or identify the number in need of repair. We assumed 30 traps for Eastlick with 20% needing repair/replacement now, and 5% annual maintenance moving forward. New installed steam traps typically cost \$650-\$1,750 depending on material. 8) This measure is only applied to AHU 3, 4, and 6. It assumes the controls will be upgraded for the other air handlers as part of EEM 2.

9) This measure assumes zone boxes are replaced with variable volume boxes, in addition to VFDs being added to fans (except for AHU 3, which already has a VFD). Replacing the boxes is expensive, so this is often pursued as an "end of life" measure to replace failing systems.

Adding VFDs to these units without replacing zone boxes (similar to what was done for SF-1 in Clark Hall) is covered in EEM 6a and 7a.

10) This measure only includes adding a VFD to the AHU fans. This is similar to what was done for SF-1 in Clark Hall.

Level	% of Total Area	Use	Notes	Table 7-2a EUIt	Table 7-2a Type
Basement	20%	Vivarium (Animal lab)		249	Technology/Science - Laboratory
Ground Level	20%	Teaching Lab	Light lab classrooms - a couple fume hoods each	249	Technology/Science - Laboratory
Level 1	20%	Teaching Lab	Light lab classrooms - a couple fume hoods each	249	Technology/Science - Laboratory
Level 2	20%	Teaching Lab	Light lab classrooms - no fume hoods	102	Education - College/University
Level 3	20%	Faculty Office		68	Office - Other office
Weighted				183.4	

Appendix C – ASHRAE Level 2 Energy Audit / Cost Estimate Prepared by: Glumac Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS



Eastlick Summary:	Eastlick o capital ree	perates ok compared to its Target EUI. The a quests.	nalysis shows it may be possible to reach the EUI target without a larger capital project, bu	t it would be close. We recommend carrying one of the larger capital projects (e.g. EEM 1, EEM 2) to be conservative in
Building	EEM #	Measure Low Flow Fume Hoods	Current Conditions Currently all fume hoods are constant volume and operate 24/7. Most hoods have face velocities of 100fpm	Proposed Changes Replace the current hoods with low flow hoods with face velocities of 80fpm. SF1 and associated exhaust fans would need rebalancing.
	EEM 2	EEM 2 AHU 1 and Exhaust Fans Variable Flow Lab Conversion	AHU1 is a dual duct constant volume system. SF1 has a VFD, but there are no controls in the zone/fume hood systems to allow it to turn down. Currently all fume hoods are constant volume and operate 24/7. Most hoods have face velocities of 100fpm. All controls are original and pneumatic.	Lab Supply and General Lab Exhaust: Replace (19) constant volume terminal units with VAV boxes on the supply side and pressure-independent fast-acting lab control valves on the lab general exhaust. Fume Hood Exhaust: Convert (5) fume hoods to variable volume. This includes a pressure-independent fast-acting lab control valve (or Venturi valve), hood sash sensor, and blanking off the bypass above the hood. Exhaust Fans: Per discussions with EH+S, it is unclear whether the current exhaust fans can turndown while maintaining a safe plume height for the surrounding buildings. The first cost assumes the fans will need to be replaced, but further study will need to be done by a contractor to determine the best method for modification/replacement. Controls: Replace the pneumatic controls with DDC to allow SF1 to modulate. Maintain minimum 6 ACH during occupied hours in all lab spaces served by SF1 and turndown to a minimum 2 ACH during nighttime unoccupied hours. Fume hoods must maintain minimum flows at all times. Implement a temperature setpoint of 70F and a cooling setpoint of 76F. Implement temperature setbacks at night where allowed.
	EEM 3	Replace/Repair Steam Traps	Steam systems require frequent maintenance and should be inspected annually. The system in this building does not receive regular scheduled maintenance and the traps are known to be in poor condition.	Inspect and maintain the steam system annually to ensure optimal operation. The audit team was not able to confirm the number of traps in the building. Savings estimates for this measure are based on previous experience with steam traps and industry references.
	EEM 4	Convert All Non-Research Lab AHU's (3, 4, 6) to Full VAV	This is a combination of the AHU 3, 4, and 6 measures below. See below for description.	This is a combination of the AHU 3, 4, and 6 measures below. See below for description.
	EEM 5	AHU 3 Full VAV Conversion	AHU 3 is a dual duct constant volume system mostly serving classrooms and teaching laboratories. SF3 and RF3 have VFDs but there are no controls in the zones to allow them to turn down.	Lab Supply and General Lab Exhaust: Replace (29) constant volume terminal units with VAV boxes. Fume Hood Exhaust: This measure would not change the operation of the exhaust systems in the teaching labs/classrooms. Controls: Replace all pneumatic controls with DDC controls to allow for variable flow operation. The variable flow operation would be load driven, not exhaust driven.
	EEM 6	AHU 4 Full VAV Conversion	AHU 4 is a single duct constant volume system mostly serving classrooms and teaching laboratories.	Fans: Replace the motors for SF4 and RF2 with inverter duty-rated motors and add VFDs. Lab Supply and General Lab Exhaust: Replace (34) constant volume terminal units with VAV boxes. Fume Hood Exhaust: This measure would not change the operation of the exhaust systems in the teaching labs/classrooms. Controls: Replace all pneumatic controls with DDC controls to allow for variable flow operation. The variable flow operation would be load driven, not exhaust driven.
Eastlick	EEM 7	AHU 6 Full VAV Conversion	AHU 6 is a dual duct constant volume system mostly serving offices and corridors.	Fans: Replace the motors for SF6 and RF1 with inverter duty-rated motors and add VFDs. Zone Systems: Replace (83) constant volume dual duct mixing boxes with variable volume dual actuator mixing boxes. Controls: Replace all pneumatic controls with DDC controls.
	EEM 6a	AHU 4 VFD Retrofit	AHU 4 is a dual duct constant volume system mostly serving classrooms and teaching laboratories.	Replace the motors for SF4 and RF2 with inverter duty-rated motors and add VFDs. Install a pressure sensor in the supply duct to allow for pressure reset. The supply fan will modulate slightly in order to maintain the pressure in the supply duct. This measure does not include modulating VAV operation, and is an energy saving measure with a much lower first cost than the full VAV conversion measure.
	EEM 7a	AHU 6 VFD Retrofit	AHU 6 is a dual duct constant volume system mostly serving offices and corridors.	Replace the motors for SF4 and RF2 with inverter duty-rated motors and add VFDs. Install a pressure sensor in the supply duct to allow for pressure reset. The supply fan will modulate slightly in order to maintain the pressure in the supply duct. This measure does not include modulating VAV operation, and is an energy saving measure with a much lower first cost than the full VAV conversion measure.
	EEM 8	Retro - Commissioning	Most of the HVAC system and pneumatic controls are original. The controls function poorly contributing to increased energy usage. There are no temperature setbacks at night because the pneumatic controls have limited functionality. The building gets very hot during the summer and cold during the winter.	Retro-commission the building to identify controls that are malfunctiong and scheduling that is no longer appropriate. This will help alleviate many of the comfort issues in the building while also decreasing the need for heating and cooling. This measure includes the implementation costs and energy savings for implementing the identified fixes. This assumes the entire building undergoes retro-commissioning. There is a phasing component to consider - it would not be done for systems that will be undergroing retrofits in the near future.
	EEM 9	DDC Controls	Most of the HVAC systems and pneumatic controls are original. It is expected that the controls function poorly contributing to increased energy usage. There are no temperature setbacks at night. The building gets very hot during the summer and cold during the winter.	Replace the pneumatic controls for AHUs 3,4, and 6 with new DDC to improve operation and occupant comfort. If the VAV conversion measures above are pursued, they will include DDC controls upgrades and this measure will be irrelevant.
	EEM 10	Filters Replacements and Coil Maintenance	It was reported to the audit team that hydronic coils in AHUs are seldom cleaned. Some filters are changed out regularly, every 3-6 months, while others are not.	Clean hydronic coils annually. Replace the pre-filters every 3 months and the MERV 13 filters every 6 months.
	EEM 11	LED Lighting System and Controls	Interior lighting in the building consists of a combination of incandescent and flourescent tube lights controlled by manual switches. The custodial staff sweep off the lights in corridors and common area at 9pm and sweep them on at 6am.	Replace the flourescent fixtures with a new code-compliant LED lighting system. This include daylighting and occupancy sensors where required. Connect the lab general exhaust to the occupancy sensors so the room exhaust may turn dow to 3 ACH when the space is unoccupied during regular operational hours. The first cost for this measure assumes the entire system is replaced - this has the highest first cost, lowest maintenance cost and longest system lifespan of LED upgrade options. Cheaper LED upgrade options are available (with lower energy savings) to reduce first cost.
	EEM 12	Turn Off AHU7	AHU 7 conditions the workshop on the 1st floor which is not used. This unit was running during the inspection.	This unit should be either turned off or the heating setpoint should be reduced to 55F if freezing is a concern.





# OVERVIEW

### **BUILDING INFORMATION**

**Eastlick** 300 Veterans Way Pullman, WA 99164 Report Type

Gross Floor Area: Building ID #: Project Name WA Commerce Grants Report 123,241.0 ft<sup>2</sup> 12395 WSU Audits Report Status: Report Date: Year Built: Software Release:

In Progress 05/27/2021 1977 2021.1.0.1459

### AUDIT TEAM

**Glumac** 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland, OR 97204 (503) 345-6337

### **DATA SUMMARY**

This report was generated from data entered into the Building Energy Asset Score (Asset Score) tool, developed by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Asset Score is a national standardized tool for assessing the physical and structural energy efficiency of commercial and multifamily residential buildings. It also facilitates building energy audit data collection and reporting.

This report follows the ASHRAE/ACCA Standard 211P, Standard for Commercial Building Energy Audits. It also includes additional data fields required by specific cities, where applicable. The icons below identify data categories.

SHRAE Level 2 inputs

City specific inputs

If this report is used to comply with a local energy audit ordinance, the fields marked with \* indicate the minimum data to be reported. The audit team listed above is responsible for any information entered and reported through Asset Score. DOE and PNNL do not warranty data accuracy, completeness, legality, and reliability.



# CONTACT INFORMATION AND AUDIT DETAILS

2

Building Name: Eastlick

Sub	mission Information	
୯	Date of Submission	Never
S	Submission Version	None
Aud	it Details	
C	Date of Completion for Level 1 Audit	☑ N/A
୯	Date of Completion for Level 2 Audit	05/01/2021
C	Date of Completion for Level 3 Audit	☑ N/A
୯	Year of Last Renovation	2017
C	Year of Prior Energy Audit	⊠ N/A
୯	Year Last Commissioned	☑ N/A
୯	Additional Comments	

### Audit Team and Building Staff

### **Auditor**

8 8 8	Name* Company Name or Organization* Street Address City State Postal Code Phone* Email*	Mike Prier Glumac 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland OR 97204 (503) 345-6337 mprier@glumac.com
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Name* Company Name or Organization* Street Address City State Postal Code Phone* Email*	Phil Johnson WSU (509) 335-9029 philrjohnson@wsu.edu



## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Eastlick

### **Building Characteristics**

୯	Gross Floor Area*	123241.0
ତ	Spaces Excluded from Gross Floor Area	
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Cooled Only	0.0
୯	Conditioned Floor Area, Heated and Cooled	92130.0
୯	Total Conditioned Floor Area	92130.0
୯	Number of Floors Above-Grade, Conditioned	5
୯	Number of Floors Below-Grade, Conditioned	0
୯	General Building Shape	Rectangular
ଙ	Building Automation System?	Yes
୯	Historic Building?*	No

### **Use Types**

### Other - Technology/Science (Original: Other - Technology/Science)

୯	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area Type*	Other - Technology/	Science
C	Original Intended Use	Other - Technology/	Science
S	Gross Floor Area*	73945.0	
ତ	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0	
୯	Number of Occupants*	720	
୯	Use (hours/week)*	78.0	
C	Use (weeks/year)*	52.0	
S	Approximate Plug Loads	3.1	
S	Number of Dwelling Units		☑ N/A
S	Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently Occupied		⊠ N/A
୯	Principal HVAC Type	Other	
C	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent T8	
Coll	ege/University (Original: College/University)		
୯	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area Type*	College/University	
C	Original Intended Use	College/University	
C	Gross Floor Area*	24648.0	
C	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0	
୯	Number of Occupants*	240	

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



### FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Eastlick

ାରେ ଜେବଜେବ	Use (hours/week)* Use (weeks/year)* Approximate Plug Loads Number of Dwelling Units Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently Occupied Principal HVAC Type	76.0 52.0 1.5 Other	⊠ N/A ⊠ N/A
<b>U</b>	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent 18	
Offic	e (Original: Office)		
C	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area Type*	Office	
S	Original Intended Use	Office	
୯	Gross Floor Area*	24648.0	
୯	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0	
S	Number of Occupants*	240	
୯	Use (hours/week)*	76.0	
୯	Use (weeks/year)*	52.0	
S	Approximate Plug Loads	1.2	
୯	Number of Dwelling Units		⊠ N/A
୯	Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently Occupied		⊠ N/A
ତ	Principal HVAC Type	Other	
ଙ	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent T8	

### Roofs

### **Built-Up with Concrete Deck**

ଙ	Roof Construction	Built-Up with Concrete Deck
୯	Roof R Value	4.0
୯	Roof Condition	Average
S	Cool Roof	No
C	Green Roof	No
୯	Blue Roof	No
୯	Roof Area	26000.0

Walls

4



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Eastlick

### Brick/Stone on Steel Frame

- Wall Construction
- Above Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- Below Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- Total Exposed Above Grade Wall Area
- Below Grade Wall Area
- ✓ Above Grade Demising Wall Area
- ☑ Overall Enclosure Tightness Assessment
- Type of Exterior Door Construction

### Windows

#### Metal, Single Pane

- Framing Material
- Window Glass Type
- Fenestration Seal Condition
- Window Wall Ratio

### **Foundation Types**

#### Slab-On-Grade

- Floor Construction Type
- ☑ Ventilated Crawlspace
- R Value

### **Exterior Floors**

None given

Lighting

Controls									
Fixture (^^^); *	Manual	Photocell	Timer	Occupancy Sensor	Building Automation System	Advanced	Other		

Brick/Stone on Steel Frame 4.0 4.0 50529.0 15218.0 0.0 2 (bad = higher rate of infiltration/exfiltration) Insulated metal

Metal Single Pane 3 (standard = normal rate of infiltration/exfiltration)

0.07999999821186066

Slab-On-Grade No 0.0



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Eastlick

Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Fixture 2: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Fixture 3: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

#### Building Name: Eastlick

Fixture Locations							
Fixture (^^^); *	Location	Quantity Definition	Area Served (%)	Area Served (ft <sup>2</sup> )			
Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3							
	Other - Technology/Science (Original: Other - Technology/Science)	% Area Served	100.0	0.0			
Fixture 2: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3							
	College/University (Original: College/University)	% Area Served	100.0	0.0			
Fixture 3: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3							
	Office (Original: Office)	% Area Served	100.0	0.0			

#### \* Fixture Key

- ^^^ Ballast Type:
- 1. Premium Electronic
- 2. Standard Electronic
- 3. Magnetic
- 4. N/A

### **Heating Plants**

### Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam

୯	Heating Plant Type	Utility District Steam
S	Fuel Type	Utility District Steam
	Controls	
S	Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
S	Direct Digital (DDC)	No
C	Pneumatic	Yes
2	ling Dianta	
-00	ling Plants	
	0	
Dist	rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water	
Disti ©	<i>rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</i> Cooling Plant Type	District Chilled Water
Disti © ©	<b>rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water</b> Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type	District Chilled Water Chilled Water
Disti © ©	rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type Controls	District Chilled Water Chilled Water
Disti © ©	rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type Controls Building Automation System (BAS)	District Chilled Water Chilled Water Yes
Disti © © ©	rict Chilled Water, Chilled Water Cooling Plant Type Fuel Type Controls Building Automation System (BAS) Direct Digital (DDC)	District Chilled Water Chilled Water Yes No



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Eastlick

### **Condenser Plants**

None given

### **HVAC Systems**

### HVAC System 20518

### Heating

Heating Source

- Heating Plant Cooling
- Cooling Source
- Cooling Plant
- **Distribution Equipment**
- Delivery Equipment Type
- Other Delivery Equipment Type
- Central Distribution Type
- Other Central Distribution Type
- San Control
- Energy Recovery Ventilation
- Outdoor Air Control **Zone Controls**
- Direct Digital Controls (DDC)
- Pneumatic Control
- Manual Thermostat
- Programmable Thermostat
- None

### HVAC System 20519

### Heating

- Heating Source Cooling
- Cooling Source **Distribution Equipment**
- Delivery Equipment Type
- Other Delivery Equipment Type
- Central Distribution Type
- C Other Central Distribution Type
- San Control

Plant Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam

Plant District Chilled Water, Chilled Water

Central Fan

Forced Air

**Constant Volume** Sensible Only **Temperature Economizer** 

No

Yes Yes No

No

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Eastlick

C	Energy Recovery Ventilation	
S	Outdoor Air Control	
	Zone Controls	
C	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	No
C	Pneumatic Control	No
C	Manual Thermostat	No
S	Programmable Thermostat	No
S	None	No

### **Service Hot Water Systems**

### Plant

System Type

Plant

#### **Process Loads: Renewables**

Â	Solar Thermal System	No
	Solar PV System	No
Ê	Wind System	No
	None	No

Peak Generating Capacity

### **Process Loads: Backup Generation**

System Type

### **Process Loads: Data Centers**

- Total Area
- Metered Space
- Connected Load
- UPS Capacity
- 👛 PUE

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov

No



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Eastlick

### **Process Loads: Commercial Kitchens**

- Connected Load
- Total Area of Commercial Kitchen



12

### UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: Eastlick

### Metered Energy Supply Source Details

Energy Supply Source	Account #	Metering Type	Rate Schedule
Electricity			

### **Energy Reporting Years**

Start Date	End Date	Metering entries	Delivery entries
07/01/2018	06/30/2019	2	0
07/01/2019	06/30/2020	0	0

### **Metered Energy**

#### Energy Type: Electricity

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (kWh)	Cost (\$)	Peak (kW)	Load Factor	kWh / day	kBtu / day
07/01/2018	07/01/2020	732	4963680.0	382626.0		0%	6781	23137
Average Annual Total		2478151	191313	0	0%			

### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (MIbs)	Cost (\$)	MIbs / day	kBtu / day	
07/01/2018	07/01/2020	732	17.406	209130.0	0	28392	
		Average Annual Tota	I 10	104565			

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

### **Delivered Energy**

None given

### Building Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

Energy Type	Total Annual Use	Units	Conversion Multiplier	Thousands BTU	Total Annual Cost (\$)
Electricity	2478151.0	kWh	3.412	8455451.065	191313.0
Utility District Steam	10.16	Mlbs	1194000.0	12131039.818	104565.0
Chilled Water	353183.0	Ton-hour	12.0	4238196.0	41676.0
			Total	24824687	337554

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: Eastlick

### Shared System Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

No annual summary available.

### Annual Summary for On-Site Renewable Energy Production

No annual summary available.

### Annual Summary for Exported Energy

No annual summary available.

### **Existing Building EUI/ECI**

Building Name	Eastlick
Gross Conditioned Square Feet	123241.0
EUI <sub>BLD</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	201.432
EUI <sub>SITE</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	201.432
Site ECI (energy cost index or \$/ft²/yr)	2.739

### Benchmarking

- Benchmarking Source
- Benchmarking Source (Other)
- Year Benchmarked
- Benchmark Site Energy Use Intensity
- Benchmark Site Energy Cost Intensity 0.0 0.0
- ✓ Target Site Energy Intensity
- Target Site Energy Cost
- Annual Energy Savings to Reach Target
- ଙ Annual Cost Savings to Reach Target 0.0
- Additional Comments

0.0

0



14

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: Eastlick

### **Building Energy Use by End Use**

Energy Type: Electricity

End Use		Electricity (kWh)	Electricity (kBtu)
Lighting		350929.0	1197370
Plug Loads		531727.0	1814252
Air Distribution		1270464.0	4334823
Water Distribution		108542.0	370345
Process Loads		216490.0	738664
	Total	2478152	8455454
	Total (from annual summary)	2478151	8455451
	Difference	1	3
	% Difference	0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Chilled Water

End Use		Chilled Water (Ton-hour)	Chilled Water (kBtu)
Space Cooling		299536.0	3594432
Process Loads		52646.0	631752
	Total	352182	4226184
	Total (from annual summary)	353183	4238196
	Difference	-1001	-12012
	% Difference	0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

End Use		Utility District Steam (MIbs)	Utility District Steam (kBtu)
Space Heating		10.16	12131040
	Total	10	12131040
	Total (from annual summary)	10	12131040
	Difference	0	0
	% Difference	0%	0%

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

### **Building End Use Summary**

End Use	Total Energy Use (kBtu)	% of Total Energy Use (kBtu)
Lighting	1197370	5%
Plug Loads	1814252	7%
Air Distribution	4334823	17%
Water Distribution	370345	1%
Process Loads	1370416	6%
Space Cooling	3594432	14%

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



15

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

#### Building Name: Eastlick

Space Heating		12131040	49%
	Total	24812678	100%
	Total (from annual summary)	24824687	
	Difference	-12009	
	% Difference	0%	



16

### **ENERGY SAVINGS PPORTUNITIES**

#### Building Name: Eastlick

Annual Energy & Cost Savings							
Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure Description	Total Cost Savings	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Electricity Savings (kWh)	Utility District Steam Savings (MIbs)	Chilled Water Savings (Ton-hour)	
Potential Capital Recommendations							
Variable Flow Exhaust Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	Variable Flow Exhaust	75721.0		446751.0	2.978	89764.0	
Minimal Measures + Lighting Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2 Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2 Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2 Other distribution; ^1; ^^2	LED Lighting + Occupancy Sensor Replace/Repair Steam Traps Filter Replacements and Coil Maintenance Turn Off AHU 7	32244.0		275368.0	0.791	23901.0	
Variable Flow Exhaust + Lighting + Maintenance Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Retrofit with T-8; ^1; ^^2 Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2 Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2 Other distribution; ^1; ^^2	Variable Flow Exhaust LED Lighting + Occupancy Sensor Replace/Repair Steam Traps Filter Replacements and Coil Maintenance Turn Off AHU 7	107966.0		722119.0	3.768	113666.0	

#### Totals (recomm. measures)

215931.0 0

1444238.0 7.537 227331.0

Deskare Neme	Maaaura	Detential	Maggurg life	Net measure	Simple ROI	Simple	Simple
Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	cost	incentives	(years)	cost	(%)	Payback (w/o incentives - years)	Payback (w/ incentives - years)
Potential Capital Recommendations			-				
Variable Flow Exhaust				879615	9%	14.2	11.6
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	1075615.0	196000.0	20.0				
Minimal Measures + Lighting				337345	10%	11.5	10.5
Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2	367525.0	32580.0	20.0				
Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2			5.0				
Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2	2400.0		0.5				
Other distribution; ^1; ^^2			20.0				
Variable Flow Exhaust + Lighting + Maintenance				1216960	9%	13.4	11.3
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	1075615.0	196000.0	20.0				
Retrofit with T-8; ^1; ^^2	367525.0	32580.0	20.0				
Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2							
Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2	2400.0		0.5				
Other distribution; ^1; ^^2			20.0	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Totals (recomm measures)	2891080 0	457160.0	i.	2433920.0	÷	·	1



17

### ENERGY SAVINGS OPPORTUNITIES

#### Building Name: Eastlick

#### \* Measure Key

- ^ Status:
  - 1. Recommended
  - 2. Further Study Recommended
  - 3. Not Recommended
- 4. Implemented

- ^^ Modeling/Calculation Approach:
  - 1. Spreadsheet Calculations
- 2. Energy Modeling Software

Note: fields displayed in italics indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

Clark Hall			
Target EUI =	249		(Laboratory - not prorated for office spaces)
Current EUI =	416		(Including chilled water energy use from energy modeling)
Annual Utility Cost =	\$	547,000	
Utility Cost \$/sf =	\$	5.25	
Annual Carbon Emissions (Tons) =	2,829		
EEM \$ =	\$	4,709,400	
Area SF =	104,20	)7	
EEM \$/SF =	\$	45.19	
Potential Rebates =	\$	683,720	
Potential Rebates/SF =	\$	6.56	
Audit \$ =	\$	26,573	
Audit \$/SF =	\$	0.255	

					EUI			Carbon		Со	t Savings								
		Meets Payback Criteria? <sup>7</sup>	New	Reduction	% Savings	Reduction (Tons)	% Savings	Annual Cars Off the Road	Reduct	on % Sa	ivings	First Cost <sup>1</sup>	Simple Payback (Yrs)	Cost per EUI Reduction	Measure Lifespan	Po Inc	tential entives	Payback w/ Incentives	
	EEM 1	Low Flow Fume Hoods <sup>2</sup>	Yes	378	39	9%	251	9%	50	\$ 51	000 9	9%	\$ 1,000,000	19.6	\$ 25,951	30	\$	-	19.6
	EEM 2	SF1 and Exhaust Fans Variable Flow Lab Conversion	Yes	231	186	45%	1,235	44%	244	\$ 214	000 3	9%	\$ 3,046,000	14.2	\$ 16,391	20	\$	499,000	11.9
	EEM 3	Replace / Repair Steam Traps <sup>3</sup>	Yes	398	18	4%	156	6%	31	\$ 16	000 3	8%	\$ 60,000	-	\$ 3,340	5	\$	-	5.0
	EEM 7	Retro - Commissioning <sup>4</sup>	Yes	318	98	24%	496	18%	98	\$ 93	000 1	7%	\$ 104,000	1.1	\$ 1,062	5	\$	137,800	-0.4
EEMs	EEM 8	LED Lighting System and Controls <sup>5</sup>	Yes	399	17	4%	75	3%	15	\$ 27	000 5	5%	\$ 495,000	18.3	\$ 28,383	20	\$	46,920	16.6
	EEM 9	Filters Replacements and Coil Maintenance <sup>6</sup>	Yes	412	4	1%	31	1%	6	\$ 9	000 2	2%	\$ 2,400	0.3	\$ 600	0.5	\$	-	0.3
	EEM 10	Insulate Walk-In Cooler Refrigerant Lines	Yes	415	1.6	0%	5	0%	1	\$ 2	000 0.	4%	\$ 2,000	1.0	\$ 1,246	25	\$	-	-
	Does Not Meet Payback Criteria - Not Required for Compliance with Annex X																		
	EEM 4	VFD Pumps	No	414	2	1%	27	1%	5	\$ 8	230 2	2%	\$ 172,000	20.8	\$ 75,859	20	\$	9,800	19.7
	EEM 5	VFD SF2 and RF1	No	390	27	6%	217	8%	43	\$ 33	000 6	5%	\$ 1,118,000	33.9	\$ 41,879	25	\$	30,000	33.0
								Bundled	Measures										
Bundles	Bundle 1	EEM 1 and 2		222	194	47%	1,294	46%	255	\$ 222	000 4	1%	\$ 4,046,000	18.2	\$ 20,819	20			
	Bundle 2	EEMs 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10	-	207	209	50%	1,388	49%	274	\$ 251	000 4	6%	\$ 4,542,000	18.2	\$ 21,700	20			

1) First cost includes:

12% for General Conditions

10% for Overhead/Profit

10% for Contingency

2) This measure meets payback criteria, but can be deferred since existing fume hoods still have useful life.

3) It was assumed that Clark has 30 steam traps of which 20% need to be replaced and that this maintenance would occur every year.

4) This assumes the entire building undergoes retro-commissioning. There is a phasing component to consider - it would not be done for systems that will be undergroing retrofits in the near future. Thus it is not included in the bundles.

5) There will be reduced maintenance associated with LED lighting compared to fluorescent, since the fixtures have much longer lifespans.

6) This is a routine maintenance measure and is shown to highlight the potential energy savings of this low-cost/no-cost measure.

7) "Payback criteria" refers to the Investment Criteria in Annex X. If a measure has a shorter payback than its lifespan, it must be implemented in order to comply with Annex X.

Clark Summary:	Clark operation	be required.						
uilding	FEM #	Μορειικο	Current Conditions	Pronosed Changes				
	EEM 1	Low Flow Fume Hoods	Currently all fume hoods are constant volume and operate 24/7. Most hoods have face velocities of 100fpm	Replace the current hoods with low flow hoods with face velocities of 80fpm. SF1 and associated exhaust fans would need rebalancing.				
	EEM 2	SF1 and Exhaust Fans Variable Flow Lab Conversion	AHU1 is a single duct constant volume system. SF1 has a VFD, but there are no controls in the zone/fume hood systems to allow it to turn down. Currently all fume hoods are constant volume and operate 24/7. Most hoods have face velocities of 100fpm. All controls are original pneumatic controls.	Lab Supply and General Lab Exhaust: Replace (135) constant volume terminal units with VAV boxes on the supply side and pressure-independent fast-acting lab control valves on the lab general exhaust. Fume Hood Exhaust: Convert (49) fume hoods to variable volume. This includes a pressure-independent fast-acting lab control valve (or Venturi valve), hood sash sensor, and blanking off the bypass above the hood. Exhaust Fans: Replace the motors for EF3, EF4, EF5, and EF6 with inverter duty-rated motors and add VFDs. Per discussions with EH+S, the fume hood exhaust fans (EF3 and EF4) may be able to be turned down without concerns of reduced plume height affecting surrounding buildings. The first cost assumes this is the case and does not include the cost for replacing those fans. If this is not the case, further study by a contractor will be needed to determine viable options to turndown the exhaust fans and maintain a safe plume height. This may require a common plenum on the discharge side of the exhaust fans along with a bypass fan. Controls: Replace the pneumatic controls with DDC to allow SF1 to modulate. Maintain minimum 6 ACH during occupied hours in all lab spaces served by SF1 and turndown to a minimum 2 ACH during nighttime unoccupied hours. Fume hoods must maintain minimum flows at all times. Implement a temperature setpoint of 70F and a cooling setpoint of 76F. Implement temperature setbacks at night where allowed.				
	EEM 3	Replace/Repair Steam Traps	Steam systems require frequent maintenance and should be inspected annually. The system in this building does not receive regular scheduled maintenance and the traps are known to be in poor condition.	Inspect and maintain the steam system annually to ensure optimal operation. The audit team was not able to confir the number of traps in the building. Savings estimates for this measure are based on previous experience with stear traps and industry references				
Clark	EEM 4	VFD Pumps	All pumps are constant speed.	Add VFDs and the necessary controls to all pumps including the chilled water pumps for the AHU coils, the reheat and radiator pumps, the heat recovery loop pump, and the condensate pump. (2 chilled water coil pumps, 1 heat recovery pump,1 terminal unit reheat pump, 2 perimeter radiator pumps, 1 condensate pump)				
	EEM 5	VFD SF2 and RF1	AHU2 is a single duct constant volume system serving the perimeter offices spaces. Heating is provided by hot water radiators. SF2 and RF1 do not have VFDs.	Fans: Replace the motors for SF2 and RF1 with inverter duty-rated motors and add VFDs. Zone Systems: Replace (120) constant volume terminal units with VAV terminal units (units do not have hot water coils). Replace (120) control valves for hot water radiators. Controls: Replace all pneumatic controls with DDC controls.				
	EEM 7	Retro - Commissioning	Most of the HVAC system and pneumatic controls are original. Controls function poorly contributing to increased energy usage. There are no temperature setbacks at night. The building gets very hot during the summer and cold during the winter.	Retro-commission all HVAC systems and controls to identify controls that are malfunctiong and scheduling that is no longer appropriate. This will help alleviate many of the comfort issues in the building while also decreasing the need fo heating and cooling. This would not include temperature setbacks at night because the pneumatic controls have limite functionality. This measure includes not just retro-commissioning the systems but also implementing the fixes. There is a phasing component to consider - it would not be done for systems that will be undergroing retrofits in the near future.				
	EEM 8	LED Lighting System Upgrade	Interior lighting mostly consists of flourescent fixtures with T8 bulbs. Lights are controlled by manual switches and are typically on from 5am - 11pm.	Replace the flourescent fixtures with a new code-compliant LED lighting system. This include daylighting and occupand sensors where required. Connect the lab general exhaust to the occupancy sensors so the room exhaust may turn dow to 3 ACH when the space is unoccupied during regular operational hours. The first cost for this measure assumes the entire system is replaced - this has the highest first cost, lowest maintenance cost and longest system lifespan of LED upgrade options. Cheaper LED upgrade options are available (with lower energy savings) to reduce first cost.				
	EEM 9	Filters Replacements and Coil Maintenance	It was reported to the audit team that hydronic coils in AHUs are seldom cleaned. Some filters are changed out regularly, every 3-6 months, while others are not.	Clean hydronic coils annually. Replace the pre-filters every 3 months and the MERV 13 filters every 6 months.				
	EEM 10	Insulate Walk-In Cooler Refrigerant Lines	It was reported that before COVID significant ice formed on the lines which supply refrigerant from the process water source heat pump to the walk-in coolers and freezers. These refrigerant lines are uninsulated in some areas.	Insulate the refrigerant lines.				





# OVERVIEW

### **BUILDING INFORMATION**

**Clark** 2000 Wilson Rd Pullman, WA 99164 Report Type

Gross Floor Area: Building ID #: Project Name WA Commerce Grants Report 104,207.0 ft<sup>2</sup> 12384 WSU Audits Report Status: Report Date: Year Built: Software Release: In Progress 05/27/2021 2021 2021.1.0.1459

### AUDIT TEAM

**Glumac** 900 SW 5th Ave #1600 Portland, OR 97204 (503) 345-6337

### **DATA SUMMARY**

This report was generated from data entered into the Building Energy Asset Score (Asset Score) tool, developed by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Asset Score is a national standardized tool for assessing the physical and structural energy efficiency of commercial and multifamily residential buildings. It also facilitates building energy audit data collection and reporting.

This report follows the ASHRAE/ACCA Standard 211P, Standard for Commercial Building Energy Audits. It also includes additional data fields required by specific cities, where applicable. The icons below identify data categories.

SHRAE Level 2 inputs

City specific inputs

If this report is used to comply with a local energy audit ordinance, the fields marked with \* indicate the minimum data to be reported. The audit team listed above is responsible for any information entered and reported through Asset Score. DOE and PNNL do not warranty data accuracy, completeness, legality, and reliability.



# CONTACT INFORMATION AND AUDIT DETAILS

Building Name: Clark

Sub	mission Information		
୯	Date of Submission	Never	
S	Submission Version	None	
_			
Aud	it Details		
୯	Date of Completion for Level 1 Audit		☑ N/A
C	Date of Completion for Level 2 Audit	05/01/2021	
C	Date of Completion for Level 3 Audit		☑ N/A
C	Year of Last Renovation	2005	
୯	Year of Prior Energy Audit		☑ N/A
C	Year Last Commissioned		☑ N/A
C	Additional Comments		

### Audit Team and Building Staff

### Auditor

୪	Name*	Mike Prier
୪	Company Name or Organization*	Glumac
୪	Street Address	900 SW 5th Ave #1600
୪	City	Portland
୪	State	OR
୪	Postal Code	97204
୪	Phone*	(503) 345-6337
۲	Email*	mprier@glumac.com
<b>R R R R R R R</b>	Name* Company Name or Organization* Street Address City State Postal Code Phone* Email*	Phil Johnson WSU (509) 335-9029 philrjohnson@wsu.edu

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



## FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Clark

### **Building Characteristics**

Gross Floor Area*	104207.0
Spaces Excluded from Gross Floor Area	
Conditioned Floor Area, Heated Only	0.0
Conditioned Floor Area, Cooled Only	0.0
Conditioned Floor Area, Heated and Cooled	104027.0
Total Conditioned Floor Area	104027.0
Number of Floors Above-Grade, Conditioned	4
Number of Floors Below-Grade, Conditioned	0
General Building Shape	Rectangular
Building Automation System?	Yes
Historic Building?*	No
	Gross Floor Area* Spaces Excluded from Gross Floor Area Conditioned Floor Area, Heated Only Conditioned Floor Area, Cooled Only Conditioned Floor Area, Heated and Cooled Total Conditioned Floor Area Number of Floors Above-Grade, Conditioned Number of Floors Below-Grade, Conditioned General Building Shape Building Automation System? Historic Building?*

### **Use Types**

### Other - Technology/Science (Original: Other - Technology/Science)

୯	Use Type / Space Function / Building Area Type*	Other - Technology/Science
୯	Original Intended Use	Other - Technology/Science
୯	Gross Floor Area*	104207.0
୯	Percentage of Space Conditioned	100.0
C	Number of Occupants*	1200
C	Use (hours/week)*	78.0
S	Use (weeks/year)*	52.0
C	Approximate Plug Loads	1.83
C	Number of Dwelling Units	⊠ N/A
୯	Percentage of Dwelling Units Currently Occupied	⊠ N/A
୯	Principal HVAC Type	VAV with Hot Water Reheat
୯	Principal Lighting Type	Fluorescent T8

### Roofs

### **Built-Up with Concrete Deck**

- Roof Construction
- Roof R Value

Built-Up with Concrete Deck 4.0



### FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Clark

୯	Roof Condition	Average
C	Cool Roof	No
C	Green Roof	No
୯	Blue Roof	No
୯	Roof Area	22585.0

### Walls

### Brick/Stone on Steel Frame

- Wall Construction
- Above Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- ☑ Below Grade Wall Insulation R Value
- Total Exposed Above Grade Wall Area
- Below Grade Wall Area
- Above Grade Demising Wall Area
- ☑ Overall Enclosure Tightness Assessment
- Type of Exterior Door Construction

### Windows

### Metal, Single Pane

- Framing Material
- Window Glass Type
- Fenestration Seal Condition
- Window Wall Ratio

### Foundation Types

### Slab-On-Grade

- Floor Construction Type
- Ventilated Crawlspace
- R Value

### Exterior Floors

None given

4.0 4.0 51000.0 8394.0 0.0 1 (poor = high infiltration/exfiltration) Insulated metal

Brick/Stone on Steel Frame

Metal Single Pane 3 (standard = normal rate of infiltration/exfiltration)

### 0.05999999865889549

Slab-On-Grade No 0.0



Building Name: Clark

### Lighting

Controls Occupancy Sensor Building Automation System Fixture (^^^); \* Manual Timer Other Photocell Advanced Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3 Yes No No No No No No



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Clark

Fixture Locations								
<b>Fixture</b> (^^^); *	Location	Quantity Definition	Area Served (%)	Area Served (ft <sup>2</sup> )				
Fixture 1: Fluorescent T8; ^^^3								
	Other - Technology/Science (Original: Other - Technology/Science)	% Area Served	100.0	0.0				

#### \* Fixture Key

^^^ Ballast Type:

- 1. Premium Electronic
- 2. Standard Electronic
- 3. Magnetic
- 4. N/A

### **Heating Plants**

### Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam

୯	Heating Plant Type	Utility District Steam
C	Fuel Type	Utility District Steam
	Controls	
C	Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
C	Direct Digital (DDC)	No
C	Pneumatic	Yes

### **Cooling Plants**

### District Chilled Water, Chilled Water

୯	Cooling Plant Type	District Chilled Water
C	Fuel Type	Chilled Water
	Controls	
୯	Building Automation System (BAS)	Yes
S	Direct Digital (DDC)	No
୯	Pneumatic	Yes

### **Condenser Plants**

None given



# FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Clark

### **HVAC Systems**

### HVAC System 20516

	Heating	
ଙ	Heating Source	Plant
ତ	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	
S	Cooling Source	Plant
C	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
C	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan
ତ	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
ତ	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
୯	Other Central Distribution Type	
୯	Fan Control	Constant Volume
S	Energy Recovery Ventilation	Sensible Only
S	Outdoor Air Control	Dedicated Outdoor Air System
	Zone Controls	
୯	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	No
S	Pneumatic Control	Yes
S	Manual Thermostat	Yes
S	Programmable Thermostat	No
S	None	No
HVA	C System 20517	
	Heating	
୯	Heating Source	Plant
ତ	Heating Plant	Utility District Steam, Utility District Steam
	Cooling	
ତ	Cooling Source	Plant
୯	Cooling Plant	District Chilled Water, Chilled Water
	Distribution Equipment	
ତ	Delivery Equipment Type	Central Fan
ତ	Other Delivery Equipment Type	
S	Central Distribution Type	Forced Air
ତ	Other Central Distribution Type	
ଙ	Fan Control	Constant Volume
୯	Energy Recovery Ventilation	None



### FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Clark

ତ	Outdoor Air Control	Temperature Economizer
	Zone Controls	
C	Direct Digital Controls (DDC)	No
S	Pneumatic Control	Yes
୯	Manual Thermostat	Yes
୯	Programmable Thermostat	No
S	None	No

### **Service Hot Water Systems**

### Plant

System Type Plant	
-------------------	--

### **Process Loads: Renewables**

<b>A</b>	Solar Thermal System	No
	Solar PV System	No
	Wind System	No
Â	None	No

Peak Generating Capacity

### **Process Loads: Backup Generation**

System Type

### **Process Loads: Data Centers**

- 👛 Total Area
- Metered Space
- Connected Load
- UPS Capacity
- 👛 PUE

No



9

### FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Building Name: Clark

### **Process Loads: Commercial Kitchens**

- Connected Load
- Total Area of Commercial Kitchen



11

### UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: Clark

### Metered Energy Supply Source Details

None given

### **Energy Reporting Years**

Start Date	End Date	Metering entries	Delivery entries
07/01/2018	06/30/2019	2	0

### **Metered Energy**

### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (MIbs)	Cost (\$)	MIbs / day	kBtu / day
07/01/2018	06/30/2019	365	18.034	184000.0	0	58993
Average Annual Total		18	184000			

### Energy Type: Electricity

Start Date	End Date	Days	Use (kWh)	Cost (\$)	Peak (kW)	Load Factor	kWh / day	kBtu / day
07/01/2018	06/30/2019	365	3515884.0	259000.0	2081.0	19%	9633	32866
	Average Annual Total		3515884	259000	0	0%		

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

### **Delivered Energy**

None given

### Building Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

Energy Type	Total Annual Use	Units	<b>Conversion Multiplier</b>	Thousands BTU	Total Annual Cost (\$)
Utility District Steam	18.034	Mlbs	1194000.0	21532596.474	184000.0
Chilled Water	890000.0	Ton-hour	12.0	10680000.0	105000.0
Electricity	3515884.0	kWh	3.412	11996196.0	259000.0
			То	tal 44208792	548000



UTILITY DATA AND BENCHMARKING

Building Name: Clark

### Shared System Annual Summary for Energy Use and Energy Cost

No annual summary available.

### Annual Summary for On-Site Renewable Energy Production

No annual summary available.

### Annual Summary for Exported Energy

No annual summary available.

### **Existing Building EUI/ECI**

Building Name	Clark
Gross Conditioned Square Feet	104207.0
EUI <sub>BLD</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	424.24
EUI <sub>SITE</sub> (kBtu/ft²/yr)	424.24
Site ECI (energy cost index or \$/ft²/yr)	5.259

### Benchmarking

- Benchmarking Source
- Benchmarking Source (Other)
- Year Benchmarked
- Benchmark Site Energy Use Intensity
- Benchmark Site Energy Cost Intensity 0.0 0.0
- ✓ Target Site Energy Intensity
- Target Site Energy Cost
- Annual Energy Savings to Reach Target
- ଙ Annual Cost Savings to Reach Target 0.0
- Additional Comments

0.0

0



13

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: Clark

### **Building Energy Use by End Use**

Energy Type: Electricity

End Use		Electricity (kWh)	Electricity (kBtu)
Lighting		420000.0	1433040
Plug Loads		959000.0	3272108
Air Distribution		1407000.0	4800684
Water Distribution		176000.0	600512
Process Loads		392000.0	1337504
	Total	3354000	11443848
	Total (from annual summary)	3515884	11996196
	Difference	-161884	-552348
	% Difference	-5%	-5%

#### Energy Type: Chilled Water

End Use	Chilled Water (Ton-hour)	Chilled Water (kBtu)
Space Cooling	890000.0	10680000
Total	890000	10680000
Total (from annual summary)	890000	10680000
Difference	0	0
% Difference	0%	0%

#### Energy Type: Utility District Steam

End Use		Utility District Steam (MIbs)	Utility District Steam (kBtu)
Space Heating		16.92	20202480
Process Loads		0.921	1099996
	Total	18	21302476
	Total (from annual summary)	18	21532596
	Difference	0	-230120
	% Difference	-1%	-1%

Note: fields displayed in green indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.

### **Building End Use Summary**

End Use	Total Energy Use (kBtu)	% of Total Energy Use (kBtu)
Lighting	1433040	3%
Plug Loads	3272108	8%
Air Distribution	4800684	11%
Water Distribution	600512	1%
Process Loads	2437500	6%
Space Cooling	10680000	25%

For more information on the input fields, calculation methods, and units of measure found in this report, please visit the Asset Score web site: https://buildingenergyscore.energy.gov



14

ENERGY USE BREAKDOWN AND QA/QC

Building Name: Clark

Space Heating		20202480	47%
	Total	43426324	100%
	Total (from annual summary)	44208792	
	Difference	-782468	
	% Difference	-2%	


Appendix C – ASHRAE Level 2 Energy Audit / Cost Estimate Prepared by: Glumac Clean Buildings Performance Standard Energy Efficiency Improvements - CBPS

15

## ENERGY SAVINGS OPPORTUNITIES

Building Name: Clark

Annual Energy & Cost Savings									
Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure Description	Total Cost Savings	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Utility District Steam Savings (MIbs)	Chilled Water Savings (Ton-hour)	Electricity Savings (kWh)			
Potential Capital Recommendations									
Low Flow Fume Hoods and Variable Flow Exhaust		222000.0		9.715	477000.0	313000.0			
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	Low Flow Fume Hoods								
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	Variable Flow Exhaust								
All Economical Measures		251000.0		9.76	512000.0	1178144.0			
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	Low Flow Fume Hoods								
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	Variable Flow Exhaust								
Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2	Replace/Repair Steam Traps								
Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2	LED Lighting + Occupancy Sensors								
Other; ^1; ^^2	Filters Replacement and Coil Maintenance								
Other; ^1; ^^2	Insulate WSHP Refrigerant Lines								

Totals (recomm. measures)

Payback with Incentives

Package Name Measure; Status (^); Modeling / Calculation Approach (^^) *	Measure cost	Potential incentives	Measure life (years)	Net measure cost	Simple ROI (%)	Simple Payback (w/o incentives - years)	Simple Payback (w/ incentives - years)
Potential Capital Recommendations					-		
Low Flow Fume Hoods and Variable Flow Exhaust				4045727	5%	18.2	18.2
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2	4045727.0	0.0	30.0				
All Economical Measures				4545400	6%	18.1	18.1
Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Other ventilation; ^1; ^^2 Clean and/or repair; ^1; ^^2 Retrofit with light emitting diode technologies; ^1; ^^2	1000000.0 3046000.0 495000.0		30.0				
Other; ^1; ^^2 Other; ^1; ^^2	2400.0 2000.0				-		

Totals (recomm. measures)

8591127.0 0.0

8591127.0

473000.0 0

19.475

989000.0

1491144.0

## \* Measure Key

- ^ Status:
  - 1. Recommended
  - 2. Further Study Recommended
  - 3. Not Recommended
  - 4. Implemented

- ^^ Modeling/Calculation Approach:
- 1. Spreadsheet Calculations
- 2. Energy Modeling Software

Note: fields displayed in italics indicate values calculated by the tool and not directly entered by the user.