# The Evergreen State College

# 2021-23 CAPITAL PROJECT EVALUATION LAB II HVAC UPGRADE

# 2020 PROJECT PROPOSAL CHECKLIST 2021-23 Biennium Four-year Higher Education Scoring Process

INSTITUTION	CAMPUS LOCATION
376 - The Evergreen State College	Olympia
PROJECT TITLE	FPMT UNIQUE FACILITY ID # (OR NA)
LAB II HVAC Upgrade	N/A
PROJECT CATEGORY	PROJECT SUBCATEGORY
Infrastructure	Standalone
PROP	OSAL IS
New or Updated Proposal (for scoring)	Resubmitted Proposal (retain prior score)
<ul> <li>New proposal</li> <li>Resubmittal to be scored (more than 2 biennia old or significantly changed)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Resubmittal from 2017-19 biennium</li> <li>Resubmittal from 2019-21 biennium</li> </ul>
CONTACT	PHONE NUMBER
William Ward	360 867 6115

# PROPOSAL CONTENT

- Project Proposal Checklist: this form; one for each proposal
- Project Proposal Form: Specific to category/subcategory (10-page limit)
- Appendices: templates, forms, exhibits and supporting/supplemental documentation for scoring.

# INSTITUTIONAL PRIORITY

Institutional Priority Form. Sent separately (not in this packet) to: Darrell Jennings.

Check the corresponding boxes below if the proposed project meets the minimum threshold or if the item listed is provided in the proposal submittal.

# MINIMUM THRESHOLDS

- Project is not an exclusive enterprise function such as a bookstore, dormitory or contract food service.
- Project meets LEED Silver Standard requirements.
- Institution has a greenhouse gas emissions reduction policy in place in accordance with RCW 70.235.070 and vehicle emissions reduction policy in place per RCW 47.01.440 or RCW 43.160.020 as applicable.
- Design proposals: A complete predesign study was submitted to OFM by July 1, 2020.
- Growth proposals: Based on solid enrollment projections and is more cost-effectively providing enrollment access than alternatives such as university centers and distance learning.
- □ Renovation proposals: Project should cost between 60 80% of current replacement value and extend the useful life of the facility by at least 25 years.
- Acquisition proposals: Land acquisition is not related to a current facility funding request.
- □ Infrastructure proposals: Project is not a facility repair project.
- Stand-alone, infrastructure and acquisition proposals: is a single project requesting funds for one biennium.

# 2020 PROJECT PROPOSAL CHECKLIST 2021-23 Biennium Four-year Higher Education Scoring Process

# **REQUIRED APPENDICES**

- Capital Project Report CBS 002
- Project cost estimate:
  - CBS 003 for projects between \$2 million and \$5 million
  - Excel C-100 for projects greater than \$5 million
- Degree Totals and Targets template to indicate the number of Bachelors, High Demand and Advanced degrees expected to be awarded in 2021. (Required for Overarching Criteria scoring criteria for Major Growth, Renovation, Replacement and Research proposals).
- Availability of Space/Campus Utilization template for the campus where the project is located. (Required for all categories/subcategories except Infrastructure and Acquisition proposals).
- □ Assignable Square Feet template to indicate program-related space allocation. (Required for Growth, Renovation and Replacement proposals, all categories/subcategories).

# **OPTIONAL APPENDICES**

Attach supplemental and supporting project documentation, *limit to materials directly related to and needed for the* evaluation criteria, such as:

- Degree and enrollment growth projections
- □ Selected excerpts from institutional plans
- Data on instructional and/or research space utilization
- Additional documentation for selected cost comparables (acquisition)
- □ Selected materials on facility conditions
- □ Selected materials on code compliance
- □ Tables supporting calculation of program space allocations, weighted average facility age, etc.
- Evidence of consistency of proposed research projects with state, regional, or local economic development plans
- □ Evidence of availability of non-state matching funds
- Selected documentation of prior facility failures, high cost maintenance, and/or system unreliability for infrastructure projects
- Documentation of professional assessment of costs for land acquisition, land cleanup, and infrastructure projects
- □ Selected documentation of engineering studies, site survey and recommendations, or opinion letters for infrastructure and land cleanup projects
- Other:

I certify that the above checked items indicate either that the proposed project meets the minimum thresholds or the corresponding items have been included in this submittal.

Name:	William Ward	Title:	Associate Vice President Facilities
Signature:	Hill Hore	Date:	August 12, 2020
Office of Fina	ncial Management		Revised: June 2020

Institution
The Evergreen State College
Project Title
LAB II HVAC Upgrades
Project Location (City)
Olympia

### **1.** Problem Statement (short description of the project – the needs and the benefits)

# SUMMARY NARRATIVE

The LAB II Building at the Evergreen State College has served the physical sciences needs of the campus since 1975. Its neighbor and academic partner, LAB I was built in 1973. Both have similar ventilation systems which are inefficient and ineffective for safe and reliable science education. This issue has been studied by staff, and by FSi & WILLDAN Consulting Engineers and a solution has been found that can be realized in a two-phase laboratory ventilation improvement project. Phase I of this project cost performed during the 2019-21 biennium at \$4.4 million implementation and \$4 million proposed in the second and final phase. With the first phase completion of LAB I providing an estimated \$100,000 savings in energy usage and maintenance cost reductions annually, the college is now requesting funding approval to proceed with phase II to be completed during the 2021-2023 biennium. This request is for a standalone upgrade project that will affect the LAB II science building only.

This proposal recommends completion of comprehensive ventilation upgrades to improve energy efficiency and reduced maintenance costs to the LAB II Building at The Evergreen State College for a total cost of \$4 million.

### **Statement of Problem**

LABs I & II together have benefitted from a total of 10 major remodels since their original commissioning for an average of around 9 years between improvements. The last improvements for both buildings were begun in the 2015-17 biennium for basement improvements which added to the usable space inventory. Historically, laboratory building improvements have been intended to modernize classroom areas to keep pace with science facilities in higher education settings, and in fact, with high school labs which are becoming ever more sophisticated. The remodels tended to be improved workstations, cabinetry, floor resurfacing and finishes on a floor-by-floor basis. There have been roughly six projects on six different floors of the two buildings. Some of the above projects, plus a ventilation project in 2010 added improved fume extraction from chemistry and other spaces with the intent to improve safety.

While the above improvements added a modern appeal, each project was completed as a standalone package of work. In addition, each building was assumed to be isolated, from the ventilation system of the other. As changes were made to the buildings, this turned out to be

false. Each project added a separate set of ventilation controls which attempted to provide for the needs of that floor only. Although several projects added substantially to the fume extraction capacity of the two laboratories, no provision was made to improve the centralized ventilation supply systems, which were original to each building and are now operating at over 100% of their design capacity. Projects were managed this way because altering the singlepoint-of-delivery supply system for the whole building was not possible with case-by- case funding for a collection of individual, piecemeal projects. The added fume extraction capacity at several locations further impaired overall building air balances because fume hoods are variable volume devices, for the most part. When the fume hood sashes are open, they run at maximum exhaust airflow. When the sashes are closed, they withdraw far less volume. Rooms can go from low to high exhaust volumes in a matter of seconds depending on the number of students in the room who are using workstation ventilation equipment. The sudden change in pressure has a cascading effect from floor-to-floor and room-to-room as individual control systems try to manage the imbalances that occur from areas of the building they don't recognize. This causes doors to fly open or closed and sends fume hood air-flow safety sensors into alarm.

One should note that the effect of the combined ventilation problems is more serious in a laboratory environment than with an ordinary "office-type" building because all laboratories operate on what is termed a 100% outside air cycle for ventilation. This means that unlike other buildings air brought into a laboratory is never recycled. This is done for purposes of safety and it means that laboratories when operating perfectly, from a ventilation point of view, are costly to operate. Every ounce of air which passes only once through the laboratory has to be heated, cooled, filtered, etc.

HVAC costs for laboratories soar when increased extraction volume, coupled with the active controls and control elements that may be acting independently of each other and of other floors, cause parts of the system to be working against the other parts. In the case of LABs I and II, this happens virtually all of the time. The result is highly inefficient operation, increased service costs, wasted energy, and an untenable science education environment.

### **Proposed Solution**

The solution to the above set of problems is a comprehensive, renovation to the ventilation systems of both laboratory buildings, in a two-phase project, starting with LAB I in the 2019-2021 biennium. The solution, which will be the same for both buildings will increase supply air capacities and will replace the floor-by-floor controls with a single building control system for each structure, capable of varying the rates of supply and exhaust flows as operating conditions require. The detailed aspects of the ventilation systems of the two buildings. Work on LAB II when complete will elevate the ventilation performance of LAB II to the same quality as that of completed LAB I.

Other alternatives considered included a plan to upgrade both buildings at once, and the no action alternative. Upgrading both buildings at once was more expensive of the two scenarios because the impacts to academic program space scheduling difficulties required

much more of the work to be done during non-standard work hours. The difference was on the order of the expected escalation in cost which will result from commencing the LAB II upgrades in the 2021-2023 biennium.

The "no-action" alternative is believed to be unacceptable because of the perceived small but real role the laboratory ventilation problem plays in FTE retention.

# 1. History of the project or facility

The LAB II Building at the Evergreen State College has served the physical sciences needs of the campus since 1975. Its neighbor and academic partner, LAB I was built in 1972. That science education is important to the college is evidenced by the fact that there has been a laboratory building on campus for 94 percent of its existence. Originally, flow at fume hoods and in general occupancy areas were controlled by fixed dampers that moderated the flow in the building between fixed speed supply fans and fixed speed exhaust fans. Dampers at fume hoods were set so that minimum ventilation flow was provided for the worst-case sash position. Such a system had few moving parts, was relatively easy to balance and was acceptably economical to operate because of low energy costs.

As energy costs rose and rooms were upgraded to offer more modern workstations and appearance, variable speed exhaust fans and some active dampers on modernized fume hoods were also added to reduce energy costs. In addition, the number of fume hoods grew substantially during laboratory remodels within the last 10 years. Variable speed exhaust fans were added to accommodate the increased and more highly variable load. Electronic hardware and software were also added with each project to control the increasingly complex ventilation system operation and requirements. As much of this work was done on an isolated, project-by-project basis, ventilation performance in the laboratories fell from an acceptable point to the point where it is today. Both laboratories have ventilation systems which are inefficient and ineffective for safe and reliable science education.

# 2. History of the project or facility

The LAB II Building at the Evergreen State College has served the physical sciences needs of the campus since 1975. Its neighbor and academic partner, LAB I was built in 1972. Science education is important to the college since there has been a laboratory building on campus for 94 percent of its existence. Originally, flow at fume hoods and in general occupancy areas were controlled by fixed dampers that moderated the flow in the building between fixed speed supply fans and fixed speed exhaust fans. Dampers at fume hoods were set so that minimum ventilation flow was provided for the worst-case sash position. Such a system had few moving parts, was relatively easy to balance and was acceptably economical to operate because of low energy costs.

As energy costs rose and rooms were upgraded to offer more modern workstations and appearance, variable speed exhaust fans and some active dampers on modernized fume hoods were also added to reduce energy costs. In addition, the number of fume hoods grew

substantially during laboratory modifications during the last ten years. Variable speed exhaust fans were added to accommodate the increased and more highly variable load. Electronic hardware and software were also added with each project to control the increasingly complex ventilation system operation and requirements. As much of this work was done on an isolated, project-by-project basis, ventilation performance in the laboratories fell from an acceptable point to the point where it is today. Both laboratories have ventilation systems which are inefficient and ineffective for safe and reliable science education.

# 3. University programs addressed or encompassed by the project

The interdisciplinary nature of many of the College's programs prompt students, who might not otherwise take science and math, into coursework that is offered in both school's laboratories. This interdisciplinary approach is one of the five foci of learning at The Evergreen State College. The others are collaborative learning, learning across significant differences, personal engagement and linking theory with practical applications. A solid science component to learning enhances all of the above.

The proposal supports state and national goals of improving education in the areas of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM.) The project is also consistent with the governor's sustainable and clean energy goal to transition to more efficient buildings and industrial processes.

One hundred percent of the school's electrical energy is provided by sustainable sources through use of green energy credits. Reduction of energy use on campus frees up green energy availability, in general, allowing some transfer from fossil fuel generated energy to energy from renewable resources. Energy reduction in general is in line with the governor's clean energy objectives under Sustainable Energy Goals and Clean Environment, Executive order 18-01, Part 1, Paragraph e.; Part 2 (Agency Leadership, Paragraph e.) and Part 3, State Energy and Environmental Performance (SEEP.) The proposal is consistent with the institution's 2014 plan regarding improved efficiency in energy use and was approved by the College Board of Trustees in June of 2018. It is also consistent with Evergreen's greenhouse gas emissions plan.

### 4. Significant Health, Safety, and Code Issues:

The building does not meet fire codes in certain areas that use plenums rather that ductwork for air handling. The building will meet all such codes at the completion of the project. public building codes will be done at the same time. Fume hoods and associated valves and duct work is not of the type of material appropriate for the types of chemicals use in the Labs and have deteriorated to a state that needs upgraded. These conditions will be corrected by this project.

# 5. Evidence of increased repairs and/or service interruption:

The LAB II science building is in average (3) condition as defined in the 2016 Higher Education Facility Comparable Framework Study. The Facility Condition Survey Summary gives the overall building a rating of 2.68, and its HVAC system a rating of 2 in all categories except terminal and package units, which it gives a score of "0" (see the Facility Condition Survey in the Appendix.) When laboratory fume hood sashes are open they run at maximum exhaust airflow. When the sashes are closed, they withdraw far less volume. Rooms can go from low to high exhaust volumes in a matter of seconds depending on the number of students in the room who are using workstation ventilation equipment. The sudden change in pressure has a cascading effect from floor-to-floor and room-to-room as individual control systems try to manage the imbalances that occur from areas of the building they don't recognize. This causes doors to fly open or closed and sends fume hood air-flow safety sensors into alarm.

The Evergreen Laboratory Operations Manager, who has held laboratory management positions for over twenty years, asserts that the ventilation performance of the two Evergreen College laboratory buildings has steadily declined to the point where science education in them is now possible only through constant effort to address ventilation deficiencies. Laboratory staff, and facilities maintenance staff must respond weekly to situations related to ventilation. This challenges both faculty ability to teach and student ability to learn. From work order records, it is estimated that maintenance labor, material and services is around \$50,000 per year for both laboratories with the costs about evenly split between the two.

# 6. Impact on Institutional Operations without the Infrastructure Project:

The adequacy of the teaching space in LAB II is compromised by poor ventilation on all floors and potentially unsafe conditions. Fume hood alarms are a constant occurrence and when they happen, the student using the fume hood must be moved to another workstation. In addition, the inadequate ventilation system controls cause false ventilation failure or fire alarms to occur on an average of one or two times a year for each of the laboratory buildings. For students using LAB II this results in approximately 110 lost student-hours of instruction each year.

The Evergreen Laboratory Operations Manager, who has held laboratory management positions for over twenty years, asserts that the ventilation performance of the two Evergreen College laboratory buildings has steadily declined to the point where science education in them is now possible only through constant effort to address ventilation deficiencies. Laboratory staff, and facilities maintenance staff must respond weekly to situations related to ventilation. This challenges both faculty ability to teach and student ability to learn. The LAB II ventilation upgrade project will save energy, improve student retention and enrollment, and will reduce maintenance costs and the administrative effort required to keep the building useful for its intended purpose.

Poor ventilation in both of the laboratories causes about three emergency responses per year by the Black Lake Fire Department to check out the presence of fire or toxic fumes that aren't really present. When that happens, around 75 Evergreen employees are forced to leave their workstation for about an hour until the "all-clear" is sounded. These evacuation events cause work and education interruptions that are significant and according to an analysis by the College Engineer may be as much as \$7,500 per year.

# 7. Reasonable Estimate:

If one goal of the governor's Results Washington initiative is to provide a "world-class" education to all who attend Washington's schools of higher learning is to be met, then costs associated with correcting the factors which hinder that achievement should not be the sole determinant of whether the remedy is undertaken. Yet costs are important and it's therefore imperative that some attempt be made to assess practical validity of the proposal. This section attempts to do so. The Builders Association, in partnership with Builders Exchange and the Association of General Contractors provides general information about the relative cost of various building elements across building types. According to their most recent report, heating, ventilation and air-conditioning costs, including building controls represent about 23 percent of the cost of new construction in a laboratory building.

At the same time, the expected project cost in adjusted dollars of science labs for Washington schools of higher education in the 2019-2021 Capital Project Evaluation System manual is \$577 per GSF for the proposed project's midpoint of construction. The spot replacement value of LAB I would therefore be around \$49,000,000. The estimated cost of this proposal in terms of the expected new construction cost is  $($3,500,000) \div ($49,000,000) \times 100\% = 7.1\%$  or about a third of the cost of new ventilation construction.

Even so, the LAB II ventilation upgrade project will save energy, improve student retention and enrollment, and will reduce maintenance costs and the administrative effort required to keep the building useful for its intended purpose. All of the above factors have associated costs, some of which are undocumented. Energy and maintenance costs for the subject building, on the other hand are quite well documented.

The Evergreen State College keeps close track of its utility and maintenance costs on a building-by building basis. Also, projects of this type are relatively common with state government buildings seeking to improve building energy use by guaranteed performance improvement contracts and certificates of participation. The companies providing such services are commonly referred to as ESCOs or Energy Service Companies. A certified Washington ESCO provider estimated that the energy savings (electric, heating and cooling) for a project such as the one envisioned in this proposal should be around 20%. Assuming that half of the electric energy supplied to LAB II operates ventilation equipment and that all of the recorded spending for steam and chilled water for the building are subject to savings, the expected reduction in direct operating costs should be around \$50,000 per year.

False alarms are another cost. Poor ventilation in both of the laboratories causes about three emergency responses per year by the Black Lake Fire Department to check out the presence of fire or toxic fumes that aren't really present. When that happens, around 75 Evergreen employees are forced to leave their workstation for about an hour until the "all-clear" is sounded. These evacuation events cause work and education interruptions that are significant and according to an analysis by the College Engineer may be as much as \$5,000 per year. The difficult to quantify effect of lab and building emergencies and inconsistent lab operation has an effect on enrollment and retention of both graduate and undergraduates. The College Engineer estimates that impact on enrollment could be as much as \$40,000 per year. The proposed lab ventilation improvement project portion of the request will cost around \$4 million, and the expected life of the equipment installed is about 25 years.

cost per year of the improvements over its life is around \$140,000. It can be argued that over half of that annualized construction cost (\$75,000) can be offset by readily identifiable or reasonably assumable expenses. But there are other costs as well that can't be assumed or estimated. These real costs include faculty turnover, administrative effort for laboratory staff, and the cost of false alarms which cause the Black Lake Fire Department to respond to spurious faults (about three times per year.) The latter not only endangers college personnel and students but puts the general public at risk because of unnecessarily engaged emergency response capacity. The cost of a true emergency response being thwarted by a false alarm is unmeasurable.

# 8. Engineering Study:

The detailed aspects of the proposal (Phase I for LAB I and Phase II for LAB II) are provided in an engineering study authored by FSi Consulting Engineers, copy attached. Treating the upgrade of each building as a stand-alone project will preserve the ability to operate them as separate entities at negligible additional cost and will have a lower impact on science education by allowing the uninvolved laboratory to receive a higher teaching load temporarily for the other during construction. This request is for \$4 million for the second phase of the FSi Consulting Engineers recommendations. Funding will allow LAB II to operate according to the high standards of other competing higher education laboratories.

# 9. Supports Facilities Plan:

The proposal supports FTE retention. The "no-action" alternative is believed to be unacceptable because of the perceived small but real roll the laboratory ventilation problem plays in FTE retention. The difficult to quantify effect of lab and building emergencies and inconsistent lab operation has an effect on enrollment and retention of both graduate and undergraduates. The College Engineer estimates that impact on enrollment could be as much as \$40,000 per year.

Space utilization will improve by approval of the proposal because LAB II will be deemed more reliable for conducting lab and STEM based classes. The proposal supports state and national goals of improving education in the areas of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM.)

Efficiency of space allocation will not change; however, LAB II is already in accordance with the 1994 FEPG recommendations. See C-100 form in the Appendix. The proposal is consistent with the institution's 2014 plan regarding improved efficiency in energy use and was approved by the College Board of Trustees in June of 2018. It is also consistent with Evergreen's greenhouse gas emissions plan.

### 10. Resource Efficiency and Sustainability:

One hundred percent of the school's electrical energy is provided by sustainable sources through use of green energy credits. Reduction of energy use on campus frees up green energy availability, in general, allowing some transfer from fossil fuel generated energy to energy

from renewable resources. Energy reduction in general is in line with the governor's clean energy objectives under Sustainable Energy Goals and Clean Environment, Executive order 18-01, Part 1, Paragraph e.; Part 2 (Agency Leadership, Paragraph e.) and Part 3, State Energy and Environmental Performance (SEEP.) HVAC costs for laboratories soar when increased extraction volume, coupled with the active controls and control elements that may be acting independently of each other and of other floors, cause parts of the system to be working against the other parts. In the case of LABs I and II, this happens virtually all of the time. The result is highly inefficient operation, increased service costs, wasted energy, and an untenable science education environment. The proposed improvements will eliminate much of this waste A certified Washington ESCO provider estimated that the energy savings (electric, heating and cooling) for a project such as the one envisioned in this proposal should be around 20%. Assuming that half of the electric energy supplied to LAB II operates ventilation equipment and that all of the recorded spending for steam and chilled water for the building are subject to savings, the expected reduction in direct operating costs should be around \$50,000 per year. These wasted resources will be free for other uses or for overall reduction in consumption.

# 11. Minimum Thresholds:

The following points are offered to certify that the proposed project meets minimum thresholds for evaluation.

- The proposed project is not an exclusive enterprise function.
- LEED certification is not an aspect of the proposed project.
- The Evergreen State College has a greenhouse gas and vehicle emissions reduction policy in place in compliance with RCW 70.236.070 and RCW 47.01.440.

# Appendices

<u>Appendix</u>	<b>Description</b>
Α	Excel C-100 Form
В	CBS 002
С	CBS 003
D	Engineering Recommendation by FSi for HVAC system

A

Excel C-100 Form

# C-100(2020)

Updated June 2020

# **Quick Start Guide**

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

1) The C-100(2020) tool was created to align with the estimating application in the Capital Budgeting System (CBS). The intended use is to enable project managers to communicate their project cost estimates to budget officers in the standard format required for capital project budget requests/submittals to OFM.

2) This workbook is protected so that the worksheets within it cannot be moved or deleted in the usual manner. This protection is necessary to ensure that the cost estimate details and formulas align with the estimating application in the Capital Budgeting System.

3) The estimating format to develop the maximum allowable construction cost (MACC) is presented in Uniformat II.

4) Form-calculated costs such as A/E Basic Design Service fees and Agency Project Management costs are dependent on other estimated project costs such as Acquisition, MACC, Equipment, etc.

5) Project estimates generated with this tool are not sufficient for budget request submittals to OFM. Use the Capital Budgeting System to submit capital project budget requests.

6) Contact your assigned OFM Capital Budget Analyst with questions.

OFM Capital Budget Analyst

#### INSTRUCTIONS

1) Only green cells are available for data entry.

2) Fill in all known cells in the 'Summary' tab prior to moving on to the cost entry tabs A-G.

3) It is recommended, but not required, to fill out cost entry tabs in the following order:

A. Acquisition, C. Construction Contracts, D. Equipment, G. Other Costs, B. Consultant Services, F. Project Management, then E. Artwork.

4) If additional rows are inserted to capture additional project costs, a description must be provided in the Notes column or within Tab H. Additional Notes. Be particularly detailed for additional costs estimated for contingencies and project management.

#### FORM-CALCULATED COSTS (FEE CALCULATIONS)

1) A/E Basic Design Services: AE Fee % (x) (MACC + Contingency)

2) Design Services Contingency: Contingency % (x) Consultant Services Subtotal

3) Construction Contingency: Contingency % (x) MACC

4) Artwork: 0.5% (x) Total Project Cost

5) Agency Project Management (Greater than \$1million): (AE Fee % - 4%) (x) (Acquisition Total + Consultant Services Total + MACC + Construction Contingency + Other Costs)

# STATE OF WASHINGTON AGENCY / INSTITUTION PROJECT COST SUMMARY Updated June 2020

 Updated June 2020

 Agency
 The Evergreen State College

 Project Name
 LAB II HVAC Upgrades

 OFM Project Number
 Image: College C

Contact Information			
Name	William Ward		
Phone Number	360- 867-6115		
Email	wardw@evergreen.edu		

Statistics				
Gross Square Feet	85,269	MACC per Square Foot	\$26	
Usable Square Feet	68,439	Escalated MACC per Square Foot	\$28	
Space Efficiency	80.3%	A/E Fee Class	В	
Construction Type	Science labs (teaching)	A/E Fee Percentage	12.49%	
Remodel	Yes	Projected Life of Asset (Years)	50	
	Addition	al Project Details		
Alternative Public Works Project		Art Requirement Applies	No	
Inflation Rate	2.38%	Higher Ed Institution	Yes	
Sales Tax Rate %	9.20%	Location Used for Tax Rate	Olympia	
Contingency Rate	10%			
Base Month	June-20	OFM UFI# (from FPMT, if available)		
Project Administered By	Agency			

Schedule			
Predesign Start		Predesign End	
Design Start	July-21	Design End	March-22
Construction Start	May-22	Construction End	August-22
Construction Duration	3 Months	]	

Project Cost Estimate				
Total Project	\$3,831,424	Total Project Escalated	\$4,009,304	
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$4,009,000	

# STATE OF WASHINGTON AGENCY / INSTITUTION PROJECT COST SUMMARY Updated June 2020

Agency Project Name **OFM** Project Number The Evergreen State College LAB II HVAC Upgrades

# **Cost Estimate Summary**

Acquisition				
Acquisition Subtotal	\$0	Acquisition Subtotal Escalated	\$0	
	Consul	tant Services		
Predesign Services	\$0			
A/E Basic Design Services	\$213,398			
Extra Services	\$413,316			
Other Services	\$125,874			
Design Services Contingency	\$75,259			
Consultant Services Subtotal	\$827,847	Consultant Services Subtotal Escalated	\$858,928	

	Сон	nstruction	
Construction Contingencies	\$225,105	Construction Contingencies Escalated	\$236,181
Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC)	\$2,251,050	Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC) Escalated	\$2,361,802
Sales Tax	\$227,806	Sales Tax Escalated	\$239,015
Construction Subtotal	\$2,703,961	Construction Subtotal Escalated	\$2,836,998

Equipment			
Equipment	\$90,000		
Sales Tax	\$8,280		
Non-Taxable Items	\$0		
Equipment Subtotal	\$98,280	Equipment Subtotal Escalated	\$103,116

Artwork			
Artwork Subtotal	\$19,947	Artwork Subtotal Escalated	\$19,947

Agency Project Administration					
Agency Project Administration	\$181,390				
Subtotal	\$101,590				
DES Additional Services Subtotal	\$0				
Other Project Admin Costs	\$0				
Project Administration Subtotal	\$181,390	Project Administation Subtotal Escalated	\$190,315		

Other Costs			
Other Costs Subtotal	\$0	Other Costs Subtotal Escalated	\$0

Project Cost Estimate				
Total Project	\$3,831,424	Total Project Escalated	\$4,009,304	
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$4,009,000	

	Acquisition Costs				
Item	Base Amount		Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
Purchase/Lease					
Appraisal and Closing					
Right of Way					
Demolition					
Pre-Site Development					
Other					
Insert Row Here			_		
ACQUISITION TOTAL	\$0		NA	\$0	

	Consul	tant Services		
Item	Base Amount	Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notes
	Base Amount	Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
1) Pre-Schematic Design Services				
Programming/Site Analysis				
Environmental Analysis				
Predesign Study				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0258	\$0	Escalated to Design Start
2) Construction Documents				
A/E Basic Design Services	\$213,398			69% of A/E Basic Services
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$213,398	1.0338	\$220,611	Escalated to Mid-Design
3) Extra Services				
Civil Design (Above Basic Svcs)				
Geotechnical Investigation				
Commissioning	\$135,000			
Site Survey				
Testing				
LEED Services				
Voice/Data Consultant				
Value Engineering	\$23,999			
Constructability Review				
Environmental Mitigation (EIS)	\$200,000			
Landscape Consultant				
Other	\$54,317			
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$413,316	1.0338	\$427,287	Escalated to Mid-Design
4) Other Services				
Bid/Construction/Closeout	\$95,874			31% of A/E Basic Services
HVAC Balancing	\$30,000			
Staffing				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$125 <i>,</i> 874	1.0492	\$132,068	Escalated to Mid-Const.
5) Design Services Contingency				
Design Services Contingency	\$75,259			
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$75 <i>,</i> 259	1.0492	\$78,962	Escalated to Mid-Const.
CONSULTANT SERVICES TOTAL	\$827,847		\$858,928	
				-
Green cells must be filled in by user				

		tion Contracts		
Item	Base Amount	Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notes
	Dave Fillount	Factor		
1) Site Work				
G10 - Site Preparation				
G20 - Site Improvements				
G30 - Site Mechanical Utilities				
G40 - Site Electrical Utilities				
G60 - Other Site Construction				
Other				
Insert Row Here		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0461	\$0	
2) Related Project Costs				
Offsite Improvements				
City Utilities Relocation				
Parking Mitigation				
Stormwater Retention/Detention				
Other				
Insert Row Here		·i		
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0461	\$0	
3) Facility Construction				
A10 - Foundations				
A20 - Basement Construction				
B10 - Superstructure				
B20 - Exterior Closure				
B30 - Roofing				
C10 - Interior Construction	\$150,000			
C20 - Stairs				
C30 - Interior Finishes	\$20,000			
D10 - Conveying				
D20 - Plumbing Systems				
D30 - HVAC Systems	\$1,671,000			
D40 - Fire Protection Systems				
D50 - Electrical Systems				
F10 - Special Construction				
F20 - Selective Demolition	\$55,050			
General Conditions	\$135,000			
Other				
Integrated Automatic HVAC Controls	\$220,000			
Sub TOTAL	\$2,251,050	1.0492	\$2,361,802	
4) Maximum Allowable Construction C	ost			
MACC Sub TOTAL	\$2,251,050		\$2,361,802	

	This Section is Ir	ntentionally Left	Blank	
7) Construction Contingency				
Allowance for Change Orders	\$225,105			
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$225,105	1.0492	\$236,181	
8) Non-Taxable Items				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.0492	\$0	
Sales Tax	4000 0		4004	
Sub TOTAL	\$227,806		\$239,015	
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS TOTAL	\$2,703,961		\$2,836,998	

	Ec	qui	pment		
Item	Base Amount		Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
E10 - Equipment	\$90,000				
E20 - Furnishings					
F10 - Special Construction					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
Sub TOTAL	\$90,000		1.0492	\$94,428	
1) Non Taxable Items					
Other					
Insert Row Here			_		
Sub TOTAL	\$0		1.0492	\$0	
-					
Sales Tax					
Sub TOTAL	\$8,280			\$8,688	
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	\$98,280			\$103,116	
Croon calls must be filled in buyers					

	Artwork				
Item	Base Amount		Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
Project Artwork	\$0				0.5% of total project cost for new construction
Higher Ed Artwork	\$19,947				0.5% of total project cost for new and renewal construction
Other					
Insert Row Here					
ARTWORK TOTAL	\$19,947		NA	\$19,947	

Project Management				
Item	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
Agency Project Management	\$181,390			
Additional Services				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
PROJECT MANAGEMENT TOTAL	\$181,390	1.0492	\$190,315	

Other Costs					
Item	Base Amount		Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
Mitigation Costs					
Hazardous Material					
Remediation/Removal					
Historic and Archeological Mitigation					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
OTHER COSTS TOTAL	\$0		1.0461	\$0	

# C-100(2020)

# **Additional Notes**

Insert Row Here
Tab B. Consultant Services
Insert Row Here
Tab C. Construction Contracts
Insert Row Here
Tab D. Equipment
Insert Row Here
Tab E. Artwork
Insert Row Here
Tab F. Project Management
Insert Row Here
Tab G. Other Costs

Insert Row Here

Tab A. Acquisition

B

*CBS 002* 

# 376 - The Evergreen State College Capital Project Request

2021-23 Biennium

Version: P1 Working Draft

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 8/12/2020 11:02AM

Project Number: 40000047 Project Title: Lab II HVAC Upgrades

#### Description

 Starting Fiscal Year:
 2022

 Project Class:
 Preservation

 Agency Priority:
 1

#### Project Summary

This project will be the second phase to holistically address the HVAC issues in the Lab I/Lab II complex, with Lab II being the focus of the second phase following the successful completion of Phase I in Lab I. The project will also address technology upgrades to keep pace with the most recent developments in this area. This project will improve the energy efficiency of the building while acknowledging the need for properly functioning fume hoods in the science labs to eliminate persistent safety issues that constantly cause instructional interruptions. The project will also encompass any programmatic modifications that have occurred from emerging curriculum paths.

#### **Project Description**

Lab II was built in 1975 and the building systems (electrical, mechanical, roofs, specific building application equipment, finishes, life

safety codes, seismic, circulation, instructional technology, etc.) that have not been touched by one of the smaller remodels will be at

or beyond their practical life. Those that have been improved by the various floor renovations, such as the HVAC, need to be evaluated and commissioned on a building-wide level.

The modernization of the infrastructure will reduce the utility and maintenance costs to operate this facility similar to the lab I project

estimated at \$75,000 annually and reduce the deferred maintenance backlog of the College, allow the staff to perform more effective

preventive/predictive maintenance, and make the facility's environment more conducive for teaching and learning.

Although the primary focus of this project is on building infrastructure, facility upgrade's will be made to ensure that the labs continue to support and be responsive to developments in the sciences and qualifications required for students to be successful in graduate programs or the job market.

Prior remodels met LEED Silver standards. This project will maintain LEED Silver in these areas and will bring sections of the building not yet impacted by previous remodels up to a minimum of LEED Silver.

This College is requesting funding from state general obligation bonds, fund 057.

#### Location

City: Olympia

County: Thurston

Legislative District: 022

**Project Type** 

Intermediate

#### **Growth Management impacts**

As this project involves renovating an existing facility, there are no impacts to growth management.

Funding

		Expenditures		2021-23 Fiscal Period		
Acct		Estimated	Prior	Current		New
Code	Account Title	Total	Biennium	Biennium	Reapprops	Approps
057-1	State Bldg Constr-State	4,000,000				4,000,000
	Total	4,000,000	0	0	0	4,000,000

OFM

# 376 - The Evergreen State College

# **Capital Project Request**

2021-23 Biennium

Version: P1 Working Draft

**Report Number:** CBS002 **Date Run:** 8/12/2020 11:02AM

#### Project Number: 40000047 Project Title: Lab II HVAC Upgrades

#### Funding

	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
057-1 State Bldg Constr-State				
Total	0	0	0	0

## **Schedule and Statistics**

	Start Date	End Date
Predesign		
Design	7/1/2021	3/1/2022
Construction	5/1/2022	8/1/2022
	Total	
Gross Square Feet:	85,269	
Usable Square Feet:	68,439	
Efficiency:	80.3%	
Escalated MACC Cost per Sq. Ft.:	28	
Construction Type:	Laboratories	
Is this a remodel?	Yes	
A/E Fee Class:	А	
A/E Fee Percentage:	13.93%	

#### **Cost Summary**

Acquisition Costs Total	<u>Escalated Cost</u> 0	<u>% of Project</u> 0.0%
Consultant Services		
Pre-Schematic Design Services	0	0.0%
Construction Documents	246,046	6.2%
Extra Services	371,134	9.3%
Other Services	112,189	2.8%
Design Services Contingency	73,856	1.9%
Consultant Services Total	803,223	20.1%
Maximum Allowable Construction Cost(MACC)	2,361,802	
Site work	0	0.0%
Related Project Costs	0	0.0%
Facility Construction	2,361,802	59.1%
GCCM Risk Contingency	0	0.0%
GCCM or Design Build Costs	0	0.0%
Construction Contingencies	236,180	5.9%
Non Taxable Items	0	0.0%
Sales Tax	239,015	6.0%

# 376 - The Evergreen State College Capital Project Request

2021-23 Biennium

Version: P1 Working Draft

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 8/12/2020 11:02AM

#### Project Number: 40000047

Project Title: Lab II HVAC Upgrades

#### **Cost Summary**

Construction Contracts Total	Escalated Cost 2,836,997	<u>% of Project</u> 70.9%
Equipment		
Equipment	94,428	2.4%
Non Taxable Items	0	0.0%
Sales Tax	8,687	0.2%
Equipment Total	103,115	2.6%
Art Work Total	19,898	0.5%
Other Costs Total	0	0.0%
Project Management Total	236,341	5.9%
Grand Total Escalated Costs	3,999,574	
Rounded Grand Total Escalated Costs	4,000,000	
Operating Impacts		

### No Operating Impact

#### Narrative

The modernization of the infratructure will reduce the utility cost to operate this facility, reduce the deferred maintenance backlog of the College, allow the staff to perform more effective preventive/predictive maintenance, and make the facility's environment more conducive for teaching and learning.

<u>Parameter</u>	Entered As	Interpreted As
Biennium	2021-23	2021-23
Agency	376	376
Version	P1-A	P1-A
Project Classification	*	All Project Classifications
Capital Project Number	40000047	40000047
Sort Order	Project Priority	Priority
Include Page Numbers	Υ	Yes
For Word or Excel	Y	Y
User Group	Agency Budget	Agency Budget
User Id	*	All User Ids

С

CBS 003

# OFM

# 376 - The Evergreen State College Cost Estimate Summary 2021-23 Biennium

\*

Cost Estimate Number Cost Estimate Title:	: 91 Lab II HVAC Renovation	-	-	<b>ber:</b> CBS003 8/12/2020 11:05A	M
Version: Project Number: Project Title:	P1 Working Draft 40000047 Lab II HVAC Upgrades		Agency Preferred:	Yes	
Project Phase Title:					
Contact Info	Contact Name:		William Ward	Contact Numbe	r:360.867.6115
Statistics					
Gross Sq. Ft.:	85,269				
Usable Sq. Ft.:	68,439				
Space Efficiency:	80%				
MACC Cost per Sq. F					
Escalated MACC Cos					
Remodel?	Yes				
Construction Type:	Laboratories				
A/E Fee Class:	A				
A/E Fee Percentage:	13.93%				
	Start Date	Find Data			
Schedule		End Date			
Predesign:					
Design:	07-2021	03-2022			
Construction:	05-2022	08-2022			
Duration of Constructi		00-2022			
Cost Summary Esc					
Acquisition Costs Tota					0
Pre-Schematic Design				0	·
Construction Docum	-			246,046	
Extra Services				371,134	
Other Services				112,189	
Design Services Cor	ntingency			73,856	
<b>Consultant Services To</b>	otal				803,223
Site work				0	
Related Project Cos	ts			0	
Facility Construction	I			2,361,802	
Construction Conting	gencies			236,180	
Non Taxable Items				0	
Sales Tax				239,015	
Construction Contract					2,836,997
Maximum Allowabi Equipment	e Construction Cost(MACC)	2,361,802		94,428	
Non Taxable Items				94,428	
Sales Tax				8,687	
Equipment Total				0,007	103,115
Art Work Total					19,898
Other Costs Total					0
Project Management T	otal				236,341
Grand Total Escalated	Costs				3,999,574
Rounded Grand Total I	Escalated Costs				4,000,000
Additional Details					
Alternative Public Wo	rks Proiect:	No			
		110			

### **Additional Details**

Cost Estimate Number: 91

State Construction Inflation Rate:2.38%Base Month and Year:06-2020Project Administration By:AGYProject Admin Impact to DES that is NOT Included in Project Total: \$0

# OFM

# 376 - The Evergreen State College Cost Estimate Detail

2021-23 Biennium

Analysis Date: August 11, 2020

Cost Estimate Title: Lal	II HVAC Renovation			
Project Title: Lab Project Phase Title: Location: Thur	I 0047 I HVAC Upgrades ston County <b>tact Name:</b>		William Ward	Contact Number:360.867.6115
Statistics				
Gross Sq. Ft.: Usable Sq. Ft.: Rentable Sq. Ft.: Space Efficiency: Escalated MACC Cost per Sq. I Escalated Cost per S. F. Explar				
Construction Type: Remodel? A/E Fee Class:	Laboratories Yes A			
A/E Fee Percentage: Contingency Rate:	13.93% 10.00%			
Contingency Explanation				
Projected Life of Asset (Years) Location Used for Tax Rate: Tax Rate: Art Requirement Applies: Project Administration by: Higher Education Institution?: Alternative Public Works?:	50 Thurston County 9.20% Yes AGY Yes No			
	Start Date	End Date		
Project Schedule				
Predesign: Design: Construction: Duration of Construction (Month State Construction Inflation Rat	-	03-2022 08-2022		
Base Month and Year:	6-2020			
Project Cost Summary				
MACC: MACC (Escalated):	\$ 2,251 \$ 2,361			

Current Project Total:	\$ 3,821,719
Rounded Current Project Total:	\$ 3,822,000
Escalated Project Total:	\$ 3,743,337
Rounded Escalated Project Total:	\$ 3,743,000

135,000 23,999 200,000	358,999	1.0338	238 246 371
23,999	358,999	1.0338	246
23,999	358,999	1.0338	
23,999	358,999	1.0338	371
23,999	358,999	1.0338	371
	358,999	1.0338	371
200,000	358,999	1.0338	371
	328,999	1.0338	31
			106
			112
			114
70 393			
70,000	70,393	1 0492	73
	10,000	1.0432	
	774,321	1.0373	803
150,000			
20,000			
1,671,000			
55,050			
135,000			
220,000			
	2,251,050	1.0492	2,361
225,105			
	225,105	1.0492	236
	227,807	1.0492	239
	2,703,962	1.0492	2,836
	2 251 050	1.0500	2,361
	_,,		_,
90,000	90,000	1.0492	94
	8,280	1.0492	٤
	98,280	1.0492	103
			19
	20,000 1,671,000 55,050 135,000 220,000	70,393         774,321         150,000         20,000         1,671,000         55,050         135,000         220,000         225,105         225,105         225,105         227,807         2,703,962         2,251,050         90,000         90,000         8,280	70,393       1.0492         774,321       1.0373         150,000       20,000         1,671,000       55,050         55,050       1.0492         220,000       2,251,050       1.0492         225,105       1.0492       227,807         225,105       1.0492       2,703,962       1.0492         20,000       2,251,050       1.0492       2,703,962       1.0492         20,000       90,000       1.0492       2,8280       1.0492         90,000       90,000       1.0492       3,8280       1.0492         98,280       1.0492       3,8280       1.0492

#### **PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

#### **PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

Agency Project Management
Total: Project Management

225,258

**225,258** 1.0492

236,341

# OFM

# **Cost Estimate Summary and Detail**

2021-23 Biennium \*

Cost Estimate Number:	91
Cost Estimate Title:	Lab II HVAC Renovation

Report Number: CBS003 Date Run: 8/12/2020 11:05AM

All User Ids

Parameter	Entered As	Interpreted As
Associated or Unassociated	Associated	Associated
Biennium	2021-23	2021-23
Agency	376	376
Version	P1-A	P1-A
Project Classification	*	All Project Classifications
Capital Project Number	40000047	40000047
Cost Estimate Number	91	91
Sort Order	Cost Estimate Title	Title
Include Page Numbers	Y	Yes
For Word or Excel	Y	Υ
User Group	Agency Budget	Agency Budget

User Id

Engineering Recommendation by FSi for HVAC system

# The Evergreen State College Lab I Ventilation System

Study and Report 08/15/18

FSi Project No. 17039.02





Baltimore 1014 West 36<sup>th</sup> St. Baltimore, Maryland 21211 410.929.6894 or 206.300.7368

Spokane 304 West Pacific Ave. Suite 210 Spokane, Washington 99201 509.413.7320
## Contents

Purpose	1
Executive Summary	1
Observations, Findings, and Evaluation	2
Lab I	2
Lab I Airflows	2
Lab I Controls	3
Recommendations	3
General Lab I and II Supply and Exhaust Air Recommendations	3
Lab I Controls Recommendations	3
Lab I Air-Side Recommendations	3
Impact on Energy Consumption	4
Construction Cost Estimates	4
Construction Phasing Plan	5
Phase 1	5
Lab I	5
Phase 2	6
Lab I	6
Appendix A – Air Distribution Single Line Diagram	A-1
Appendix B – Cost Estimates	B-1

## Purpose

Lab I and Lab II Buildings at The Evergreen State College (TESC) have building pressurization issues that cause problems including insufficient makeup air in the lab spaces and recurrent fume hood alarms. The purpose of this study is to assess the ventilation system, and to develop solutions to improve building performance. TESC is looking for a cost-effective solution to the pressurization problems that costs no more than \$3.5 million to construct, excluding design costs, construction contingencies, project administration, and sales tax. TESC asked FSi to identify only solutions that could be completed with two biennia, to accommodate limited biannual budgets. This report summarizes the solution FSi identified for Lab I.

# **Executive Summary**

FSi's scope of work includes field investigation, review all existing documentation, development of options for meeting project goals, and a cost estimate and phasing plan of the recommended system.

This study examines the ventilation systems of the Lab I building at TESC to determine the source and potential solutions to problems with building pressurization and is support by a similar study for Lab II building. The building includes a basement and three floors of laboratories with various types of fume hoods and airflow requirements. The building has an air handler located in the basement for supply, and general lab exhaust fans and fume hood exhaust fans located on the roof. Each floor of the building has been individually remodeled as a separate project, and the buildings now have an assortment of HVAC and control systems which are not working well together. The results are unbalanced rooms and floors, and recurrent fume hood alarms due to insufficient makeup air.

Based on our discussions with TESC and our investigation, we recommend the following improvements to the ventilation system for both buildings.

- Install a Niagara Tridium 4 Control System to communicate with all the existing control systems and incorporate them into a single control system.
- Install airflow measurement devices and pressure sensors in the main supply and exhaust ducts serving the floors to maintain the correct airflows on each floor.
- Install variable volume venturi air valve, fume hood monitor, and sash sensor on the fume hoods without one to provide individual hood control.
- Provide adequate makeup air to the fume hoods by installing transfer ducts where the existing supply to the room is not capable of providing required airflow.



• Reroute ductwork and install fume hood exhaust fans on the roof such that each fume hood exhaust fan serves one floor only.

TESC informed us the funding for this project will come in two phases.

# Observations, Findings, and Evaluation

FSi met with Thomas Lindahl, TESC campus engineer, on April 19, 2018, to discuss the airflow issues in both Lab I and II. We discussed the multiple airflow issues and budget constraints. TESC is looking for a cost-effective and innovative solution to solve the airflow issues. The purpose of this study is to assess the ventilation system, and to develop solutions to improve building performance.

FSi performed pre-commissioning and took air measurements to determine the supply and exhaust of Lab I building and performed site visits. Our findings are described below.

#### Lab I

Lab I includes a basement and three floors of laboratories with various types of fume hoods and airflow requirements.

#### Lab I Airflows

The lab areas are served by an air handler located in the basement supplying 100% outside air to all lab spaces. This air handler has a variable frequency drive (VFD) but only operates in two airflow settings: 100% during the day and 30% as a nighttime setback. The general lab exhaust is provided by two exhaust fans (EF-1 and EF-2) located on the roof. These exhaust fans have VFDs and turn on or off based on occupancy schedules. Supply air and general exhaust air for Lab I are distributed to each floor from four mechanical shafts, one in each corner of the building, with no volume control dampers. Our pre-commissioning study found the existing air handler and general lab exhaust fans operate within ±10% of the total designed airflows.

The lab fume hoods are connected to two large exhaust fans (FEF-1 and FEF-2), which are on VFDs, and four smaller exhaust fans (FEF-4, FEF-2SE, FEF-5, and FEF-2NW). The fume hoods are constant volume. The fume hood exhaust fans run continuously. Exhaust airflow changes depending on the number of fume hoods that are open for use.

Each floor of the building was remodeled separately, and each has its own HVAC control system. The separate systems are not functioning well together. Every change in airflow on one floor affects the airflow on the other floors. This method of providing building ventilation in



laboratory setting is not generally recommended because it results in the kind of pressurization problems seen in the building.

The existing air handler is operating at its maximum capacity and may need to be replaced as part of this project. We will determine whether replacement is required during the design phase. The cost of replacement is included in our cost estimate.

#### Lab I Controls

We found the existing controls in Lab I to be a combination of Plexus controls, Niagara, and pneumatic controls. Each floor has a standalone control system and the systems do not communicate with one another. This results in the systems often fighting each other and accounts for most of the airflow issues in the building.

### Recommendations

### General Lab I Supply and Exhaust Air Recommendations

We recommend updating the controls and systems in Lab I to address the airflow issues. In order to accomplish this, the following would be needed.

#### Lab I Controls Recommendations

• Install a Niagara Tridium 4 Control System to communicate with all the existing control systems and incorporate them into one control system. The different controllers currently in use work on different control protocols (BACnet, LonWorks, etc.). The Tridium 4 system has the capability to communicate with all these different protocols which makes it ideal for this application. This will allow TESC to avoid the costly replacement of the control devices in the building and simply connect to the existing floors main controllers.

#### Lab I Air-Side Recommendations

- Install airflow measurement dampers in the general lab exhaust main ducts to each floor.
- Install airflow measurement stations in the supply main ducts to each floor and in each floor fume hood exhaust duct.
- Install a pressure sensor in the supply air ductwork to control the supply air handler variable frequency drive (VFD).



- Install variable volume venturi air valve, fume hood monitor, and sash sensor on each fume hood. This will be used in conjunction with the airflow measurement dampers and stations to maintain the correct airflows on each floor.
- Install VFDs on any exhaust fan missing a VFD.
- Provide adequate makeup air to the fume hoods by installing transfer ducts where the existing supply to the room is not capable of providing the required airflow.
- Balance each floor.

#### Lab I Fume Hood Exhaust Recommendations

We recommend rerouting ductwork and installing fume hood exhaust fans on the roof so each fume hood exhaust fan serves one floor only. In order to accomplish this, the following would be needed.

- Install four new fume hood exhaust fans on the roof to exhaust the fume hoods located on the basement and second floor. FEF-1 and FEF-2 will remain in place to exhaust the fume hoods located on the third floor.
- Reroute ductwork for FEF-1 and FEF-2 to serve the third floor only.
- Reroute ductwork to connect the four new fume hood exhaust fans to the fume hoods on the basement and second floor.

## Impact on Energy Consumption

While it was not specifically a part of FSi's evaluation, it is very likely that the modifications will reduce the energy consumption of the building. Simple savings will exist when the controls are consolidated so the various systems are not fighting each other. It is also likely that the overall supply and exhaust airflows are reduced at times, based on the increased level of control. The reduced airflow will in turn, reduce both fan energy and the energy for heating and cooling the air.

## **Construction Cost Estimates**

FSi has provided an opinion of rough construction costs as part of this report. This opinion has been developed mainly through the use of vendor interviews and examination of Means construction cost estimating guides, along with our understanding of the current construction climate. This cost is intended to include the following:

- Cost of the new mechanical equipment
- Cost of the new electrical work
- Contractor's supervision, overhead, profit, mobilization, bond, insurance, etc.



- General contractor's markup on subcontractor work
- 3% inflation per year

Estimated costs are not intended to cover:

- Design or other project soft costs
- Cost of any architectural or structural work (none anticipated)
- Hazardous material abatement
- Washington State sales tax

This opinion of construction cost carries a contingency to represent some of the miscellaneous costs not yet fully developed at this preliminary phase of the project. These contingencies are not intended to cover contractor's change order costs due to changed or unforeseen conditions, etc. We recommend TESC includes their estimate of this based on prior projects in the facility. FSi's opinion of rough construction cost for the system upgrades is summarized as follows:

Phase	Estimated Cost
Phase 1– Lab I (2019/2021 – includes 3% inflation per year for 2 years)	\$746,000
Phase 2 – Lab I (2019/2021 – includes 3% inflation per year for 2 years)	\$1,321,000
Grand Total	\$2,067,000

## **Construction Phasing Plan**

TESC indicated the funding for this project will come in two phases. We recommend the following phasing plan:

#### Phase 1

Phase 1 will consist of:

• Updating the main controller for both lab buildings with a Tridium 4 system. The Tridium 4 system can integrate the various control systems and incorporate them into a single control system that will communicate with and provide feedback to all control systems in the building.

#### Lab I

• Install a pressure sensor in supply air ductwork to control the supply air handler VFD.



• Install airflow measurement dampers in the general lab exhaust main ducts to each floor. Install airflow measurement stations in the supply main ducts to each floor and in each floor fume hood exhaust duct. These will be used to monitor and control the amount of air supplied to and exhausted from the floor.

### Phase 2

#### Lab I

- Provide adequate makeup air to the rooms with fume hoods by installing transfer ducts where needed.
- Install variable volume venturi air valve, fume hood monitor, and sash sensor on each fume hood. Install pressure sensors in each fume exhaust duct main to control the exhaust fan VFDs.
- Install four new fume hood exhaust fans on the roof to exhaust the fume hoods located on the basement and second floor. FEF-1 and FEF-2 will remain in place to exhaust the fume hoods located on the third floor.
- Reroute ductwork for FEF-1 and FEF-2 to serve the third floor only.
- Reroute ductwork to connect the four new fume hood exhaust fans to the fume hoods on the basement and second floor.



# Appendix A – Air Distribution Single Line Diagram



TESC Labs I and II Ventilation System Study and Report

A-2



# Appendix B – Cost Estimates



FSi consulting engineers 506 Second Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, WA 98104 206 622-3321 / fax 206 622-5804 www.fsi-engineers.com

Date: 8/15/2018 Project : TESC Lab I & Lab II Balancing FSi # 17039.02 Est. by:

BAJ/SJB

OPINION OF MECH CONSTRUCTION COSTS - Lab I Study LEVEL\*

Description		Unit	Material/Equip		Labor		Eqpt +	With OH&F and other	
	Qty		Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Labor/Mat'l	Project Fa	
- PHASE I									
Demo									
HVAC demolition	1	ls	\$0	\$0	\$11,000	\$11,000	\$11,000	\$1	
Misc (25%)	1	ls	\$0	\$0	\$2,750	\$2,750	\$2,750	\$	
Subtotal							\$13,750	\$2	
Air-side Systems									
Air Measuring Stations Floor Supply Ducts	16	ea	\$4,000	\$64,000	\$900	\$14,400	\$78,400	\$12	
Air Measuring Dampers Floor Building Exhaust Ducts	16	ea	\$4,000	\$64,000	\$1,900	\$30,400	\$94,400	\$14	
Air Measuring Stations in Floor Fume Hood Exhaust Ducts	10	ea	\$1,500	\$15,000	\$900	\$9,000 \$23,400	\$24,000 \$24,700	\$3 \$2	
Testing and balancing Commissioning	1	ls Is	\$1,300 \$600	\$1,300 \$600	\$23,400 \$9,500	\$23,400	\$24,700	φ2 \$1	
Misc (25%)	1	IS	\$36,075	\$36,075	\$19,300	\$19,300	\$55,375	\$8	
Subtotal			<i><b>4</b>00,010</i>	\$00,010	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$286,975	\$43	
Controls									
Tridium Niagra Controller (for Lab I & II) and Jace including AMS	1	ls	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$16,400	\$16,400	\$136,400	\$15	
Supply, AMD Exhaust and AMS Fume Hood integration and commissioning			<b>600 000</b>	<b>600 000</b>	64.400	64.400	<b>604 400</b>		
Misc (25%) Subtotal	1	ls	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$4,100	\$4,100	\$34,100 <b>\$170,500</b>	\$3 \$18	
							¢110,000	<b>\$</b> 10	
Miscellaneous Mobilize, demobilize	1	ls	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$14,000	\$14.000	\$17,000	\$2	
Bond & insurance	3	%	ψ3,000	\$3,000	ψ14,000	\$18,724	\$18,724	\$2	
Subtotal						\$10,7 <u>2</u> 1	\$35,724	\$5	
TOTAL LAB I - PHASE 1								\$70	
TOTAL LAB I - PHASE 1 w/ two years of escalation at 3%								\$74	
	1		I		· · · ·				
- <u>-PHASE 2</u> Demo	1		02	02	\$17,000	\$17.000	\$17.000	¢J	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo	1	Is Is	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$17,000 \$4 250	\$17,000 \$4,250	\$17,000 \$4,250		
- <u>-PHASE 2</u> Demo	1	ls Is	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$17,000 \$4,250	\$17,000 \$4,250	\$17,000 \$4,250 <b>\$21,250</b>	\$	
PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal							\$4,250	\$	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems			\$0				\$4,250	\$ \$3	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation	1	ls		\$0 \$15,000	\$4,250	\$4,250	\$4,250 <b>\$21,250</b>	\$ <b>\$3</b> \$4	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems	1 500 4 1	ls If	\$0 \$30	\$0	\$4,250 \$30	\$4,250 \$15,000	\$4,250 <b>\$21,250</b> \$30,000	\$ <b>\$3</b> \$4 \$13	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood exhaust fans (Utility Fans)	1 500 4 1 125	ls If ea	\$0 \$30 \$19,200	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800	\$4,250 \$30 \$2,300	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200	\$4,250 <b>\$21,250</b> \$30,000 \$86,000	\$ <b>\$3</b> \$4 \$13 \$3	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Ventur controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods	1 500 4 1 125 30	ls If ea If ea	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$255,000	\$4,250 \$30 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$3,750 \$102,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$6,250 \$357,000	\$ <b>\$3</b> \$13 \$3 \$56	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts	1 500 4 1 125 30 450	ls If ea If ea If	\$0 \$30 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$16,900 \$255,000 \$255,000 \$9,000	\$4,250 \$30 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400 \$30	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$3,750 \$102,000 \$13,500	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500	\$ \$3 \$13 \$13 \$56 \$3	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood exhaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Transfer ducts Testing and balancing	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1	Is If ea If ea If If Is	\$0 \$30 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$255,000 \$9,000 \$1,400	\$4,250 \$30 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$3,750 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500 \$22,500	\$4 \$3 \$13 \$3 \$5 \$5 \$3 \$2	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1	ls lf ea lf ea lf ls ls	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$9,000 \$1,400 \$600	\$4,250 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000 \$10,000	\$4,250 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$10,000	\$4,250 <b>\$21,250</b> \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$10,600	\$ <b>\$3</b> \$13 \$3 \$56 \$33 \$2 \$56 \$33 \$2 \$1	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood exhaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Transfer ducts Testing and balancing	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1	Is If ea If ea If If Is	\$0 \$30 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$255,000 \$9,000 \$1,400	\$4,250 \$30 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$3,750 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500 \$22,500	\$ \$3 \$13 \$3 \$56 \$36 \$56 \$33 \$21 \$21	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Ventur controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Msc (25%) Subtotal	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1	ls lf ea lf ea lf ls ls	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$9,000 \$1,400 \$600	\$4,250 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000 \$10,000	\$4,250 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$10,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$10,600 \$137,688	\$ \$3 \$13 \$3 \$56 \$36 \$56 \$33 \$21 \$21	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fum Hood stainless S	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1	ls lf ea lf ea lf ls ls ls	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$8,500 \$20 \$8,500 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150	\$0 \$15,000 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$255,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150	\$4,250 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$25,000 \$10,000 \$43,538	\$4,250 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$10,000 \$43,538	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$137,688 \$699,038	\$ \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$56 \$3 \$2 \$1 \$21 \$1,08	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Ventur controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Msc (25%) Subtotal	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1	ls lf ea lf ea lf ls ls	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$9,000 \$1,400 \$600	\$4,250 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000 \$10,000	\$4,250 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$10,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$10,600 \$137,688	\$ \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$56 \$33 \$22 \$1,08 \$1,08	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controliers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Msc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1	Is If ea If Is Is Is Is ea	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$2,55,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$24,400	\$4,250 \$30 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$43,538 \$20,800	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$6,250 \$357,000 \$22,500 \$10,600 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$23,200	\$2 \$ \$3 \$13 \$13 \$3 \$56 \$33 \$21 \$1,08 \$21 \$1,08 \$22 \$1 \$23 \$1,08 \$23 \$1,08 \$23 \$1,08 \$23 \$1,08 \$23 \$23 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Msc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration Msc (25%) Subtotal	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1	Is If ea If Is Is Is Is ea	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$2,55,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$24,400	\$4,250 \$30 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$43,538 \$20,800	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$22,600 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$10,600 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$23,200 \$5,800	\$ \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$56 \$56 \$56 \$33 \$22 \$1 \$21 \$1,08 \$22 \$1,08 \$22 \$1,08 \$22 \$1 \$23 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25 \$25	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood exhaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Misc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration Misc (25%)	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1	Is If ea If Is Is Is Is ea	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$20 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$2,55,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$24,400	\$4,250 \$30 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$30 \$25,000 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$43,538 \$20,800	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$22,600 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$10,600 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$23,200 \$5,800	\$ \$3 \$13 \$13 \$3 \$566 \$33 \$21 \$1,08 \$21 \$1,08 \$22 \$1 \$1,08 \$23 \$3 \$3	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fune hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fusing and balancing Commissioning Misc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration Miscellaneous	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1 1 4	Is If ea If Is Is Is Is Is Is	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$8,500 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$600 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$16,900 \$2,500 \$255,000 \$1,400 \$94,150 \$294,150 \$2,400 \$600	\$4,250 \$2,300 \$5,700 \$30 \$3,400 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200 \$5,200	\$4,250 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$20,800 \$5,200	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$10,600 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$23,200 \$5,800 \$29,000	\$ \$3 \$13 \$13 \$3 \$56 \$56 \$56 \$556 \$10 \$108 \$108 \$21 \$108 \$22 \$1 \$22 \$1 \$22 \$1 \$22 \$1 \$22 \$1 \$22 \$22	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Msc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration Msc (25%) Subtotal Miscellaneous Miscellaneous	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1 1 4 1	Is If ea If ea If Is Is Is ea Is Is	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$4,150 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$9,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$2,400 \$600 \$3,000	\$4,250 \$30 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200 \$5,200 \$14,500	\$4,250 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$3,750 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$25,000 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$20,800 \$5,200 \$14,500	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$22,500 \$22,500 \$10,600 \$10,600 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$ <b>\$699,038</b> \$ <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$29,000</b> \$17,500	\$ \$3 \$13 \$56 \$56 \$22 \$1,08 \$1,08 \$2 \$1,08 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood exhaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Msc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration Msc (25%) Subtotal Miscellaneous Mobilize, demobilize Patch, Paint, Drywall	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1 1 4 1	Is If ea If ea If Is Is Is Is Is Is	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$4,00 \$600 \$94,150 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$9,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$2,400 \$600 \$3,000	\$4,250 \$30 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200 \$5,200 \$14,500	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$43,538 \$20,800 \$5,200 \$14,500 \$6,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$22,500 \$26,400 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$23,200 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$23,200 \$17,580 \$7,500	\$ \$3 \$13 \$3 \$56 \$56 \$35 \$1,08 \$1,08 \$2 \$1,08 \$2 \$1,08 \$2 \$1,08 \$2 \$1,08 \$2 \$1,08 \$2 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood schaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Msc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration Msc (25%) Subtotal Miscellaneous Mobilize, demobilize Patch, Paint, Drywall Bond & insurance	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1 1 4 1	Is If ea If ea If Is Is Is Is Is Is	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$4,00 \$600 \$94,150 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$9,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$2,400 \$600 \$3,000	\$4,250 \$30 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200 \$5,200 \$14,500	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$43,538 \$20,800 \$5,200 \$14,500 \$6,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$22,600 \$22,500 \$22,500 \$10,600 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$ <b>599,038</b> \$ <b>23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,	\$ \$3 \$13 \$55 \$55 \$22 \$1,08 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	
- PHASE 2 Demo HVAC demolition including Fume Hood Duct Demo Msc (25%) Subtotal Air-side Systems Fume hood Stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood stainless Steel Ductwork w/o insulation Fume hood exhaust fans (Utility Fans) Replace Supply Fan SF-1 Ductwork transition for SF-1 Add Venturi controllers to all constant volume Fume Hoods Transfer ducts Transfer ducts Testing and balancing Commissioning Misc (25%) Subtotal Controls Fume Hood Exhaust Fan Integration Misc (25%) Subtotal Miscellaneous Mobilize, demobilize Patch, Paint, Dywall Bond & insurance Subtotal	1 500 4 1 125 30 450 1 1 1 1 4 1	Is If ea If ea If Is Is Is Is Is Is	\$0 \$19,200 \$16,900 \$20 \$8,500 \$4,00 \$600 \$94,150 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600 \$600	\$0 \$15,000 \$76,800 \$2,500 \$2,500 \$9,000 \$1,400 \$600 \$94,150 \$2,400 \$600 \$3,000	\$4,250 \$30 \$5,700 \$3,400 \$10,000 \$43,538 \$5,200 \$5,200 \$14,500	\$4,250 \$15,000 \$9,200 \$5,700 \$102,000 \$13,500 \$43,538 \$20,800 \$5,200 \$14,500 \$6,000	\$4,250 \$21,250 \$30,000 \$86,000 \$22,600 \$22,600 \$22,500 \$22,500 \$10,600 \$137,688 <b>\$699,038</b> \$ <b>599,038</b> \$ <b>23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800 <b>\$23,200</b> \$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,800\$\$5,700\$\$5,800\$\$5,	9 \$2 \$13 \$15 \$55 \$55 \$22 \$1,06 \$2 \$2 \$1,06 \$2 \$2 \$1,06 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	