

STATE OF WASHINGTON EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DEPARTMENT PO Box 9046 • Olympia WA 98507-9046

September 16, 2022

David Schumacher, Director Office of Finance Management P.O. Box 43113 Olympia, WA 98504

Dear David,

Enclosed is the Employment Security Department's (ESD) 2023-25 Biennial Capital Budget request of \$45.9 million in appropriation from the State Building Construction Account. This capital budget submittal addresses a preservation project to renovate and improve the Employment Security headquarters facility in close coordination with the Department of Enterprise Services.

ESD's Maple Park headquarter facility was built in 1962. A 60-year-old building requires significant capital improvements over time to ensure preservation of the facility.

More than eighty percent of ESD's funds are from federal and private/local sources which cannot be used for facility improvements. In addition, ESD has two dedicated state fund sources, the Administrative Contingency Account and the Employment Services Administrative Account. Resources from these two accounts are insufficient to support the capital improvements necessary.

These capital improvements are necessary to support ESD's efforts to ensure a healthy and safe environment, high quality service delivery and improve employment outcomes for citizens of Washington state by connecting employers and job seekers and providing benefits to Washingtonian's who lose their jobs through no fault of their own.

If you have questions about our budget or need additional information regarding our 2023-25 Biennial Capital Budget request, please contact Danielle Cruver, Chief Financial Officer at (360) 810-0901 or by email at danielle.cruver@esd.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

ami &: Feek

Cami Feek, Commissioner

540 - Employment Security Department Ten Year Capital Plan by Project Class 2023-25 Biennium

*

Version: 22 Building Renovation

Report Number: CBS001 **Date Run:** 9/16/2022 12:48PM

Project Class: Preservation

Agency <u>Priority</u> <u>Project by Account-EA Type</u>	Estimated <u>Total</u>	Prior <u>Expenditures</u>	Current <u>Expenditures</u>	Reapprop <u>2023-25</u>	New Approp <u>2023-25</u>	Estimated <u>2025-27</u>	Estimated <u>2027-29</u>	Estimated <u>2029-31</u>	Estimated <u>2031-33</u>
0 30000015 Building Renovat 057-1 State Bldg Constr-State	ion 45,980,000				4,476,000	22,501,000	19,003,000		
Total Account Summary									_

				New				
Estimate	d Prior	Current	Reapprop	Approp	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
Account-Expenditure Authority Type Tot	al Expenditures	Expenditures	<u>2023-25</u>	<u>2023-25</u>	<u>2025-27</u>	<u>2027-29</u>	<u>2029-31</u>	<u>2031-33</u>
057-1 State Bldg Constr-State 45,980,00)			4,476,000	22,501,000	19,003,000		

Ten Year Capital Plan by Project Class

*

Report Number: CBS001 Date Run: 9/16/2022 12:48PM

<u>Parameter</u>	Entered As	Interpreted As
Biennium	2023-25	2023-25
Functional Area	*	All Functional Areas
Agency	540	540
Version	22-A	22-A
Project Classification	*	All Project Classifications
Include Enacted	No	No
Sort Order	Project Class	Project Class
Include Page Numbers	Y	Yes
For Word or Excel	Ν	Ν
User Group User Id	Agency Budget *	Agency Budget All User Ids

Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director State Historic Preservation Officer



November 15, 2018

Mr. Jairus Rice Director of Office Services Washington State Employment Security Department MS 46000 PO Box 9046 Olympia, WA 98507

In future correspondence please refer to: Project Tracking Code: 2018-11-08797 Property: Washington State Capitol Campus Re: Employment Security Building Pre-Design

Dear Mr. Rice:

Thank you for contacting the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). The above referenced project has been reviewed on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) under provisions of Governor's Executive Order 05-05 (GEO 05-05). Our review is based upon communication that occurred on November 9, 2018 with Bill Ecker of KMB Architects.

Projects that become obligated with state legislative Capital Programs Funds, which have ground-altering activities included in their scopes of work should be sent to the State Archaeologist for review using our EZ-1 form. In addition, as provided in a previous letter dated February 27, 2017 (attached), DAHP is of the opinion that the Employment Security Building is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. DAHP therefore highly recommends continued consultation throughout the design phase of the project, although the project is exempt from DAHP review until the construction phase becomes obligated with capital funds.

These comments are based on the information available at the time of this review and on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in conformance with GEO 05-05. Also, we appreciate receiving copies of any correspondence or comments from concerned tribes and other parties that you receive as you consult. Should additional information become available, our assessment may be revised.

Finally, please note that in order to streamline our responses, DAHP requires that Resource documentation (HPI, Archaeology sites, TCP) and reports be submitted electronically. Correspondence must be emailed in PDF format to the appropriate compliance email address. For more information about how to submit documents to DAHP please visit: https://dahp.wa.gov/project-review. To assist you in conducting a cultural resource survey and inventory effort, DAHP has developed Guidelines for Cultural Resources Reporting. You can view or download a copy from our website.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. Please ensure that the DAHP Project Number (a.k.a. Project Tracking Code) is shared with any hired cultural resource consultants and is attached to any communications or submitted reports. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



HallyBA

Holly Borth Project Compliance Reviewer (360) 586-3533 holly.borth@dahp.wa.gov

cc: Bill Ecker (KMB Architects)



2023-25 Biennium

Version: 22 Building Renovation

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 9/16/2022 12:49PM

Project Number:	30000015
Project Title:	Building Renovation
Project Class:	Preservation

Description

Project Phase Title: Design Starting Fiscal Year: 2024 Agency Priority: 0

Project Summary

The results of a predesign study recommends a major renovation of the Employment Security Building. The renovations include upgrading all mechanical and building envelope systems to provide greater occupant comfort, controllability, energy efficiency and reduce maintenance costs. This renovation will also include seismic upgrades determined advisable by professional structural engineers. This capital budget request is to fund the design and preconstruction phase of this project.

Project Description

What is the problem/opportunity? Identify: priority, underserved people/communities, operating budget savings, public safety improvements & clarifying details. Preservation projects: include information about the current condition of the facility/system.

The Employment Security Building has been in operation since 1962 and has surpassed its useful life. Building-related deficiencies have begun to impact the working environment of the state employees in the building. Renovation of the building is necessary to ensure the continued functionality of the building and uninterrupted service to residents of Washington State.

A Predesign study completed in January 2019 by KMB architects identified significant deficiencies in the mechanical and building envelope systems, as well as restroom facilities with undersized stalls, minimal fixture counts, and substandard accessible stalls. These conditions have led to a work environment with energy systems that are inefficient, and restrooms that are inadequate.

The Employment Security Department has been dealing with these issues with a piecemeal approach up to now, when funding was available or system failure required work to be completed. In addition to providing a more comfortable work environment to ESD employees and creating a more energy efficient building, this renovation will reduce utility and ongoing maintenance costs.

Building codes, in particular those that relate to seismic bracing and accessibility, have evolved substantially since 1961 when this building was engineered. A seismic upgrade to the structure would provide a critical safety factor to both the occupants and the physical assets in the event of a seismic event. This renovation provides an opportunity to upgrade the building with these considerations while the building is vacated.

What will the request produce or construct (predesign/design of a building, additional space, etc.)? When will the projec start/end? Identify if the project can be phased, and if so, which phase is included in the request. Provide detailed cost backup.

This request is for funding of the design and preconstruction phase of this project. This phase will begin in July 2023 and will be completed in August of 2024. During this phase, all necessary designs and plans for the construction phase of the project will be prepared in collaboration with the general contractor to maximize opportunities for efficiencies in the construction phase. Upon completion of the design phase the construction phase of the project will begin, provided funding is received in the 23-25 Biennial Budget, and will continue for approximately 41 months.

How would the request address the problem or opportunity identified in question 1? What would be the result of not taking action?

2023-25 Biennium

Version: 22 Building Renovation

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 9/16/2022 12:49PM

Project Number:	30000015
Project Title:	Building Renovation
Project Class:	Preservation

Description

The major rehabilitation of the building will satisfy 100% of the functional needs of the Employment Security Department operations and meet or exceed the State goals for energy efficiency. It will also take advantage of the vacant building to add structural improvements to the seismic bracing systems, improving the building's resilience in the face of a major seismic event. The disruption to employee focus, comfort, and safety caused directly by drafty windows, failing HVAC systems, and inadequate plumbing fixtures will all be addressed. Architectural improvements will provide for universally accessible facilities and access. It will satisfy the needs for Life Safety improvements that indirectly benefit the safety and well-being of the staff of the Employment Security Department. A new fire protection system will replace the current out-of-date fire sprinkler system. All doors and hardware will feature accessible levers or push bars in place of the inconsistent collection of door hardware in place, and the restrooms will receive both functional and aesthetic improvements. Investing in major system upgrades now will reduce long term costs of utilities and maintenance.

No projects are planned or implemented to rectify existing deficiencies if no action is taken. Ineffective mechanical and electrical systems will remain intact and the existing window and walls on the exterior will remain. There will continue to be ongoing and extensive maintenance for the aging systems currently in place. The repairs needed for these systems will be at a high cost because of their age and the unavailability of replacement parts. The deficit in in accessibility of the restrooms will expose the Employment Security Department to potential legal action for non-accessible workspaces, in addition to the affront to dignity created by requiring any wheelchair bound visitor or employee to travel to the single restroom in the building with an ADA sized stall. The impact for staff working in a poor workplace environment will continue.

What alternatives were explored? Why was the recommended alternative chosen? Be prepared to provide detailed cost backup. If this project has an associated predesign, please summarize the alternatives the predesign considered.

Aside from the "No Action" alternative, which was explored above, there were two alternatives explored, each with different phasing options. Alternative #1 was a targeted renovation, which addresses the issues facing the Employment Security building, by implementing the mechanical, electrical, building envelope upgrades, and other needed repairs and renovations. As a part of Alternative #1, each floor will receive a redesign of the working spaces to create better access to natural light, update to existing finishes, increase in restroom fixture counts to accommodate increased occupancy, and the addition of unisex restrooms. Alternative #1 combines mechanical and thermal upgrades with functional improvements to the work environment.

Alternative #2 includes all the work in the targeted renovation plus the seismic upgrades to the structure of the building. The phasing options consist of either; (#2A) doing the renovation floor by floor while the building is still occupied and moving employees as needed, or (#2B) vacating the entire building and moving all the staff working in the building to a temporary work space while renovations are completed. Alternative #2B was chosen because it meets all the goals of the renovation and adds to the seismic integrity of the building, guarding against potential seismic events.

The choice to completely vacate the building during construction, as opposed to moving staff between floors, was made after engineering and architecture experts determined it was unlikely that basic building systems could be kept on-line for some floors while shutting down others was not feasible given the scale of the renovation. Additionally, it would be difficult and costly to try and keep staff safe while working in the building during construction. It would also mean moving staff 5 times throughout the construction period, as opposed to moving them twice by vacating the building entirely. The noise disruption and accommodations necessary to implementing the seismic upgrades make it unfeasible to keep employees working in the building during construction, meaning choosing between vacating the building entirely, or not implementing the seismic upgrades.

While the "No Action" alternative requires no immediate funding, it is projected to cost more over the life of the building than the other alternatives because of the higher maintenance and energy costs. Alternative #1 is less expensive than Alternative

2023-25 Biennium

Version: 22 Building Renovation

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 9/16/2022 12:49PM

Project Number:	30000015
Project Title:	Building Renovation
Project Class:	Preservation

Description

#2B because it does not include the seismic upgrades. However, the increased value of having these seismic upgrades incorporated into the building is deemed worthy of the additional expense. The possibility of a future earthquake, and damage to the building and loss of life makes it a wise investment to make at this time. It also makes economic sense to perform the structural upgrades at the same time as the other renovations. To come back in the future and perform these upgrades would mean the construction cost would be higher and the impacts to building occupancy would be significant.

Which clientele would be impacted by the budget request? Where and how many units would be added, people or communities served, etc.

In support of executive orders 14-02 and 14-04, the Employment Security Department (ESD) has begun consolidating all Olympia area employees into its federally owned Maple Park headquarters building on the Capitol campus. By doing so, ESD will vacate three area locations (by the end of the calendar year 2025) totaling 126,226 square feet, resulting in a cost savings of over \$2m annually. The increase of personnel in ESD's Maple Park location will be offset by creating a hybrid work environment, maximizing telework opportunities where possible.

Situating a significant portion of the agency in one location that with increased accessibility and environmental sustainability will benefit our Washington communities through the creation of a central source of information and enhanced assistance, more significant opportunities to recruit and retain a more diverse and ready workforce through the expansion of a modern-hybrid work model, and through cost savings resulting from retrofitting ESD's headquarters to meet current energy and efficiency standards.

Does this project or program leverage non-state funding? If yes, how much by source? If the other funding source requires cost share, also include the minimum state (or other) share OF project cost allowable and the supporting citation or documentation.

No. ESD is requesting funding from the State Building Construction Account.

Describe how this project supports the agency's strategic master plan or would improve agency performance. Reference feasibility studies, master plans, space programming, and other analyses as appropriate.

The Employment Security Department's strategic plan includes the goals of:

- Increase Employee Engagement;
- Meet the needs of our customers
- Improve organizational effectiveness.

This project supports these goals by creating a work environment more conducive to productivity and efficiency for both Employment Security Department employees and customers. It also supports the Results Washington goal of Efficient, Effective, and Accountable Government by investing in a plan to reduce overall maintenance and energy costs. It is also in line with Executive Order 18-01 by increasing the energy efficiency of the building. Additionally, the design of the building post-renovation will support continued advancement in support of Executive Order 16-07 Building a Modern Work Environment.

Does this project include IT-related costs, including hardware, software, cloud-based services, contracts or IT staff? If yes, IT Addendum

No additional IT service is required.

2023-25 Biennium

Version: 22 Building Renovation

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 9/16/2022 12:49PM

Project Number:30000015Project Title:Building RenovationProject Class:Preservation

Description

If the project is linked to the Puget Sound Action Agenda, describe the impacts on the Action Agenda, including expenditure and FTE detail. See Chapter 12 Puget Sound Recovery) in the 2021-23 Operating Budget Instructions.

This project is not linked to the Puget Sound Action Agenda.

How does this project contribute to meeting the greenhouse gas emissions limits established in RCW 70A.45.050, Clean Buildings performance standards in RCW 19.27A.210, or other statewide goals to reduce carbon pollution and/or improve energy efficiency? Please elaborate.

Yes. Improving the building thermal envelope by insulating exterior walls and installing energy-efficient insulated glass windows will provide a more controllable climate inside the building. This accomplishes multiple goals with one strike: better insulation means lower heat loss, consistent temperature control allows greater occupant comfort, and a more efficient envelope means the overall HVAC system has to work less, saving energy. Couple the improvements in the building envelope with modern, efficient heating and cooling systems served from a central plant, and the effect of the improvements multiplies. These improvements will also further compliment the array of Solar Photovoltaic panels that were installed on this facility in 2018.

How does this project impact equity in the state? Which communities are impacted by this proposal? Include both demographic and geographic communities. How are disparities in communities impacted?

This project will centralize most of ESD's support services into one location, thereby eliminating our current system of redundancies – enabling our customers to receive intentional and holistic services in an integrated way. Moreover, our historically marginalized and disenfranchised communities are at the forefront of our decision-making around our future operations in an upgraded workspace. This project creates a singular location for an expansive menu of critical offerings designed to meet our communities in their moments of need. ESD's Maple Park location will become the hub for many of our community services, with the ability to expand to meet the changing needs of those we serve.

Although this project is located in western Washington, the consolidation of our programs outside the Capitol campus has unintentionally created obstacles to a smooth service delivery model that this upgrade would correct. Furthermore, the cost savings – coupled with our ongoing efforts best to understand the unique needs of our Washington communities – presents the opportunity to enhance how our services are offered to reduce disparate outcomes, helping all Washingtonians arrive at successful outcomes.

Is there additional information you would like decision makers to know when evaluating this request?

No.

Location City: Olympia

County: Thurston

Legislative District: 022

Project Type

Remodel/Renovate/Modernize (Major Projects)

Growth Management impacts N/A

Funding

OFM

540 - Employment Security Department Capital Project Request

2023-25 Biennium

Version: 22 Building Renovation

Report Number: CBS002 Date Run: 9/16/2022 12:49PM

Project Number:30000015Project Title:Building RenovationProject Class:Preservation

Funding

			Expenditures		2023-25	Fiscal Period
Acct <u>Code</u>	Account Title	Estimated Total	Prior <u>Biennium</u>	Current Biennium	Reapprops	New Approps
057-1	State Bldg Constr-State	45,980,000				4,476,000
	Total	45,980,000	0	0	0	4,476,000
		F	uture Fiscal Perio	ods		
		2025-27	2027-29	2029-31	2031-33	
057-1	State Bldg Constr-State	22,501,000	19,003,000			
	Total	22,501,000	19,003,000	0	0	

Operating Impacts

Total one time start up and ongoing operating costs

Acct <u>Code</u> FTE Full Time Emp	- oyee	FY 2025 0.8	FY 2026	FY 2027 1.0	FY 2028 1.0	FY 2029 0.7
120-1 Admin Conting	-	619,000 619.000	366,000 366.000	366,000 366.000	366,000 366,000	2,374,000 2,374,000

Narrative

These costs include moving expenses to move out and back into the building, tenant improvement costs for the new office space used during the renovation, lease costs for office and warehouse areas, and furniture to put into the newly renovated building.

OFM

Capital Project Request

2023-25 Biennium *

<u>Parameter</u>	Entered As	Interpreted As
Biennium	2023-25	2023-25
Agency	540	540
Version	22-A	22-A
Project Classification	*	All Project Classifications
Capital Project Number	*	All Project Numbers
Sort Order	Project Class	Project Class
Include Page Numbers	Y	Yes
For Word or Excel	Ν	Ν
User Group	Agency Budget	Agency Budget
User Id	*	All User Ids

C-100(2014)

Quick Start Guide

GENERAL INFORMATION

1) The C-100(2014) tool was created to align with the estimating application in the Capital Budgeting System (CBS). The intended use is to enable project managers to communicate their project cost estimates to budget officers in the standard format required for capital project budget requests/submittals to OFM.

2) This workbook is protected so that the worksheets within it cannot be moved or deleted in the usual manner. This protection is necessary to ensure that the cost estimate details and formulas align with the estimating application in the Capital Budgeting System.

3) The estimating format to develop the maximum allowable construction cost (MACC) is presented in Uniformat II.

4) Form-calculated costs such as A/E Basic Design Service fees and Agency Project Management costs are dependent on other estimated project costs such as Acquisition, MACC, Equipment, etc.

5) Project estimates generated with this tool are not sufficient for budget request submittals to OFM. Use the Capital Budgeting System to submit capital project budget requests.

6) Contact your assigned OFM Capital Budget Analyst for questions regarding the C-100(2014).

OFM Capital Budget Analyst

INSTRUCTIONS

1) Only green cells are available for data entry.

2) Fill in all known cells in the 'Summary' tab prior to moving on to the cost entry tabs A-G.

3) It is recommended, but not required, to fill out cost entry tabs in the following order:

A. Acquisition, C. Construction Contracts, D. Equipment, G. Other Costs, B. Consultant Services, F. Project Management, then E. Artwork.

4) If additional rows are inserted to capture additional project costs, a description must be provided in the Notes column or within Tab H. Additional Notes. Be particularly detailed for additional costs estimated for contingencies and project management.

FORM-CALCULATED COSTS (FEE CALCULATIONS)

1) A/E Basic Design Services: AE Fee % (x) (MACC + Contingency)

2) Design Services Contingency: Contingency % (x) Consultant Services Subtotal

3) Construction Contingency: Contingency % (x) MACC

4) Artwork: 0.5% (x) MACC Escalated

5) Agency Project Management (Greater than \$1million): (AE Fee % - 4%) (x) (Acquisition Total + Consultant Services Total + MACC + Construction Contingency + Other Costs)

S TATE OF WASHINGTON

AGENCY / INSTITUTION PROJECT COST SUMMARY

Agency Project Name OFM Project Number

212 Maple Park Lane Renovation

ESD

Contact Information Name Phone Number Email

Statistics						
Gross Square Feet	93,500	MACC per Square Foot	\$262			
Usable Square Feet	84,600	Escalated MACC per Square Foot	\$325			
Space Efficiency	90.5%	A/E Fee Class	В			
Construction Type	Office buildings	A/E Fee Percentage	9.91%			
Remodel	Yes	Projected Life of Asset (Years)				
	Additional Project Details					
Alternative Public Works Project		Art Requirement Applies				
Inflation Rate	3.08%	Higher Ed Institution				
Sales Tax Rate %	9.40%	Location Used for Tax Rate				
Contingency Rate	10%					
Base Month	June-19					
Project Administered By	DES					

Schedule						
Predesign Start	October-18	Predesign End	January-19			
Design Start	October-23	Design End	October-24			
Construction Start	January-25	Construction End	January-28			
Construction Duration	36 Months					

Green cells must be filled in by user

Project Cost Estimate					
Total Project	\$37,304,821	Total Project Escalated	\$45,979,121		
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$45,979,000		

Cost Estimate Summary

Acquisition						
Acquisition Subtotal	on Subtotal \$0 Acquisition Subtotal Escalated					
	Consul	tant Services				
Predesign Services	\$0					
A/E Basic Design Services	\$1,845,447					
Extra Services	\$975,000					
Other Services	\$949,114					
Design Services Contingency	\$426,956					
Consultant Services Subtotal	\$4,196,516	Consultant Services Subtotal Escalated	\$4,972,687			

Construction					
Construction Contingencies	\$2,453,500	Construction Contingencies Escalated	\$3,042,340		
Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC)	\$24,535,000	Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC) Escalated	\$30,416,788		
Sales Tax	\$2,536,919	Sales Tax Escalated	\$3,145,159		
Construction Subtotal	\$29,525,419	Construction Subtotal Escalated	\$36,604,287		

Equipment					
Equipment	\$2,583,000				
Sales Tax	\$242,802				
Non-Taxable Items	\$0				
Equipment Subtotal	\$2,825,802	Equipment Subtotal Escalated	\$3,503,995		

Artwork				
Artwork Subtotal	\$152,084	Artwork Subtotal Escalated	\$152,084	

Agency Project Administration						
Agency Project Administration Subtotal	\$0					
DES Additional Services Subtotal	\$0					
Other Project Admin Costs	\$0					
Project Administration Subtotal	\$530,000	Project Administation Subtotal Escalated	\$657,200			

Other Costs				
Other Costs Subtotal	\$75,000	Other Costs Subtotal Escalated	\$88,868	

Project Cost Estimate					
Total Project	\$37,304,821	Total Project Escalated	\$45,979,121		
		Rounded Escalated Total	\$45,979,000		

Acquisition Costs					
Item	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes	
Purchase/Lease					
Appraisal and Closing					
Right of Way					
Demolition					
Pre-Site Development					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
ACQUISITION TOTAL	\$0	NA	\$0		

Consultant Services				
Item	Base Amount	Escalation	Escalated Cost	Notes
	base Amount	Factor	Listalated Cost	Notes
1) Pre-Schematic Design Services				
Programming/Site Analysis				
Environmental Analysis				
Predesign Study				
Other				
Insert Row Here				1
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.1406	\$0	Escalated to Design Start
2) Construction Documents				
A/E Basic Design Services	\$1,845,447			69% of A/E Basic Services
Other				
Insert Row Here		4 4 - 6 -		
Sub TOTAL	\$1,845,447	1.1581	\$2,137,212	Escalated to Mid-Design
2) Evitre Constant				
3) Extra Services				
Civil Design (Above Basic Svcs)				
Geotechnical Investigation Commissioning	¢175.000			
	\$175,000			
Site Survey				
Testing LEED Services	\$100,000 \$150,000			
Voice/Data Consultant	\$150,000			
Voice/Data Consultant	\$75,000			
Constructability Review	\$75,000			
Environmental Mitigation (EIS)	\$73,000			
Landscape Consultant	\$25,000			
Structural model & progressive	\$23,000			
collapse	\$50,000			
Interior Design	\$150,000			
Security Design	\$100,000			
Insert Row Here	<i>\</i>			
Sub TOTAL	\$975,000	1.1581	\$1 129 148	Escalated to Mid-Design
	\$773,000	1.1301	<i><i><i></i></i></i>	
4) Other Services				
Bid/Construction/Closeout	\$829,114			31% of A/E Basic Services
HVAC Balancing	\$120,000			
Staffing	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$949,114	1.2400	\$1,176,901	Escalated to Mid-Const.
	. ,			
5) Design Services Contingency				
Design Services Contingency	\$376,956			
ARG Fee	\$50,000			
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$426,956	1.2400	\$529,426	Escalated to Mid-Const.
	, ,,		, ,	
CONSULTANT SERVICES TOTAL	\$4,196,516		\$4,972,687	
	, , -,		, ,,- •,	1

Construction Contracts				
Item	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
) Site Work				
G10 - Site Preparation				
G20 - Site Improvements	\$100,000			
G30 - Site Mechanical Utilities				
G40 - Site Electrical Utilities				
G60 - Other Site Construction				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$100,000	1.1849	\$118,490	
?) Related Project Costs				
Offsite Improvements				
City Utilities Relocation				
Parking Mitigation	\$20,000			
Stormwater Retention/Detention			-	
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$20,000	1.1849	\$23,698	
B) Facility Construction				
A10 - Foundations	\$226,000			
A20 - Basement Construction				
B10 - Superstructure	\$1,080,000			
B20 - Exterior Closure	\$2,118,000			
B30 - Roofing	\$68,000			
C10 - Interior Construction	\$569,000			
C20 - Stairs				
C30 - Interior Finishes	\$1,708,000			
D10 - Conveying				
D20 - Plumbing Systems	\$1,469,000			
D30 - HVAC Systems	\$6,155,000			
D40 - Fire Protection Systems	\$605,000			
D50 - Electrical Systems	\$3,804,000			
F10 - Special Construction				
F20 - Selective Demolition	\$402,000			
General Conditions	\$3,311,000			
Video/IDS	\$250,000			
Site Lighting	\$125,000			
Security Hardening	\$225,000			
PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE	\$2,300,000			
FROORLJJIVE COLLAFJE				

MACC Sub TOTAL	\$24,535,000	\$30.416.788	1
MACC Sub TOTAL	\$24,535,000	\$30,410,700	

This Section is Intentionally Left Blank

7) Construction Contingency				
Allowance for Change Orders	\$2,453,500			
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$2,453,500	1.2400	\$3,042,340	
8) Non-Taxable Items				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.2400	\$0	
Sales Tax				
Sub TOTAL	\$2,536,919		\$3,145,159	
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS TOTAL	\$29,525,419		\$36,604,287	

Equipment					
Item	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes	
E10 - Equipment	\$4,000				
E20 - Furnishings	\$159,000				
F10 - Special Construction					
FFE	\$2,420,000				
Insert Row Here					
Sub TOTAL	\$2,583,000	1.2400	\$3,202,920		
1) Non Taxable Items					
Other					
Insert Row Here					
Sub TOTAL	\$0	1.2400	\$0		
Sales Tax					
Sub TOTAL	\$242,802		\$301,075		
			•		
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	\$2,825,802		\$3,503,995		

Artwork					
Item	Base Amount	Escalati Facto		Escalated Cost	Notes
Project Artwork	\$152,084				0.5% of Escalated MACC for new construction
Higher Ed Artwork	\$0	-			0.5% of Escalated MACC for new and renewal construction
Other					
Insert Row Here					
ARTWORK TOTAL	\$152,084	NA		\$152,084	

Project Management				
Item	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
Agency Project Management	\$0			
Additional Services				
E&AS Cost	\$390,000			IAA
Building & Ground	\$75,000			Desgin Review,Campus Support
Site Representative	\$30,000			
Campus Security	\$25,000			
Energy Review	\$10,000			
Insert Row Here				
PROJECT MANAGEMENT TOTAL	\$530,000	1.2400	\$657,200	

Other Costs				
Item	Base Amount	Escalation Factor	Escalated Cost	Notes
Mitigation Costs				
Hazardous Material Remediation/Removal	\$75,000			
Historic and Archeological Mitigation				
Other				
Insert Row Here				
OTHER COSTS TOTAL	\$75,000	1.1849	\$88,868	

C-100(2014) Additional Notes

Tab A. Acquisition	
Insert Row Here	

Tab B. Consultant Services

Insert Row Here	

Tab C. Construction Contracts	
nsert Row Here	

ab D. Equipment
sert Row Here

Tab E. Artwork
Insert Row Here

Tab F. Project Management	
Insert Row Here	

ab G. Other Costs	-
nsert Row Here	